

FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION
FOI/PA
DELETED PAGE INFORMATION SHEET
Civil Action# 20-cv-3269

Total Deleted Page(s) = 117

Page 10 ~ b6 -- 1, 2, 7; b7C -- 1, 2, 7; b7D -- 2, 3, 4; b7E -- 3;
Page 11 ~ b6 -- 1, 2, 7; b7C -- 1, 2, 7; b7D -- 2, 3, 4; b7E -- 3;
Page 17 ~ b6 -- 1, 2, 6, 7; b7C -- 1, 2, 6, 7; b7D -- 2, 3, 4; b7E -- 3;
Page 18 ~ b6 -- 1, 2, 6, 7; b7C -- 1, 2, 6, 7; b7D -- 2, 3, 4; b7E -- 3;
Page 19 ~ b6 -- 1, 2, 6; b7C -- 1, 2, 6; b7D -- 2, 3, 4; b7E -- 3;
Page 20 ~ b6 -- 1, 2, 6; b7C -- 1, 2, 6; b7D -- 2, 3, 4; b7E -- 3;
Page 24 ~ Duplicate;
Page 25 ~ b6 -- 1, 2, 6, 7; b7C -- 1, 2, 6, 7; b7D -- 2, 3, 4; b7E -- 3;
Page 26 ~ b6 -- 1, 2, 6, 7; b7C -- 1, 2, 6, 7; b7D -- 2, 3, 4; b7E -- 3;
Page 27 ~ b6 -- 1, 2, 6, 7; b7C -- 1, 2, 6, 7; b7D -- 2, 3, 4; b7E -- 3;
Page 30 ~ b6 -- 1, 2; b7C -- 1, 2; b7D -- 2, 4; b7E -- 3;
Page 34 ~ Duplicate;
Page 37 ~ Duplicate;
Page 39 ~ Duplicate;
Page 40 ~ Duplicate;
Page 41 ~ Duplicate;
Page 42 ~ Duplicate;
Page 43 ~ Duplicate;
Page 44 ~ Duplicate;
Page 46 ~ Duplicate;
Page 47 ~ Duplicate;
Page 48 ~ Duplicate;
Page 49 ~ Duplicate;
Page 50 ~ Duplicate;
Page 51 ~ Duplicate;
Page 52 ~ Duplicate;
Page 53 ~ Duplicate;
Page 58 ~ Duplicate;
Page 59 ~ Duplicate;
Page 61 ~ Duplicate;
Page 62 ~ Duplicate;
Page 64 ~ Duplicate;
Page 65 ~ Duplicate;
Page 74 ~ Duplicate;
Page 76 ~ b6 -- 5, 6; b7C -- 5, 6; b7D -- 5, 6;
Page 77 ~ b6 -- 2, 5, 6; b7C -- 2, 5, 6; b7D -- 1;
Page 89 ~ b6 -- 1, 8; b7C -- 1, 8;
Page 90 ~ b6 -- 1, 8; b7C -- 1, 8;
Page 93 ~ b6 -- 1, 2; b7C -- 1, 2; b7D -- 2, 3, 4; b7E -- 3;
Page 94 ~ b6 -- 1, 2; b7C -- 1, 2; b7D -- 2, 3, 4; b7E -- 3;
Page 95 ~ b6 -- 1; b7C -- 1; b7D -- 2, 3; b7E -- 3;
Page 96 ~ b6 -- 1; b7C -- 1; b7D -- 2, 3; b7E -- 3;
Page 104 ~ Duplicate;
Page 107 ~ b6 -- 1, 2; b7C -- 1, 2; b7D -- 2, 3, 4; b7E -- 3;
Page 108 ~ b6 -- 1, 2; b7C -- 1, 2; b7D -- 2, 3, 4; b7E -- 3;
Page 117 ~ Duplicate;
Page 118 ~ Duplicate;
Page 119 ~ b6 -- 1, 2, 6, 7; b7C -- 1, 2, 6, 7; b7D -- 2, 3, 4; b7E -- 3;
Page 120 ~ b6 -- 1, 2, 6, 7; b7C -- 1, 2, 6, 7; b7D -- 2, 3, 4; b7E -- 3;
Page 121 ~ b6 -- 1, 2, 6, 7; b7C -- 1, 2, 6, 7; b7D -- 2, 3, 4; b7E -- 3;
Page 122 ~ b6 -- 1, 2, 6, 7; b7C -- 1, 2, 6, 7; b7D -- 2, 3, 4; b7E -- 3;
Page 148 ~ Duplicate;

Page 149 ~ Duplicate;
Page 150 ~ Duplicate;
Page 151 ~ Duplicate;
Page 152 ~ Duplicate;
Page 153 ~ Duplicate;
Page 154 ~ Duplicate;
Page 155 ~ Duplicate;
Page 156 ~ Duplicate;
Page 157 ~ Duplicate;
Page 158 ~ Duplicate;
Page 159 ~ Duplicate;
Page 160 ~ Duplicate;
Page 161 ~ Duplicate;
Page 162 ~ Duplicate;
Page 163 ~ Duplicate;
Page 164 ~ Duplicate;
Page 165 ~ Duplicate;
Page 166 ~ Duplicate;
Page 167 ~ Duplicate;
Page 168 ~ Duplicate;
Page 169 ~ Duplicate;
Page 170 ~ Duplicate;
Page 172 ~ Duplicate;
Page 173 ~ Duplicate;
Page 174 ~ Duplicate;
Page 175 ~ Duplicate;
Page 176 ~ Duplicate;
Page 177 ~ Duplicate;
Page 178 ~ Duplicate;
Page 179 ~ Duplicate;
Page 180 ~ Duplicate;
Page 181 ~ Duplicate;
Page 182 ~ Duplicate;
Page 183 ~ Duplicate;
Page 184 ~ Duplicate;
Page 185 ~ Duplicate;
Page 186 ~ Duplicate;
Page 187 ~ Duplicate;
Page 188 ~ Duplicate;
Page 189 ~ Duplicate;
Page 190 ~ Duplicate;
Page 191 ~ Duplicate;
Page 192 ~ Duplicate;
Page 193 ~ Duplicate;
Page 194 ~ Duplicate;
Page 195 ~ Duplicate;
Page 198 ~ b6 -- 1, 2, 5, 6; b7C -- 1, 2, 5, 6; b7D -- 1;
Page 199 ~ b6 -- 1, 2, 5, 6; b7C -- 1, 2, 5, 6; b7D -- 1;
Page 201 ~ b6 -- 1, 5, 6; b7C -- 1, 5, 6; b7D -- 1;
Page 206 ~ b6 -- 1, 2, 5, 6; b7C -- 1, 2, 5, 6; b7D -- 1;
Page 208 ~ b6 -- 1, 5, 6, 7; b7C -- 1, 5, 6, 7; b7D -- 1;
Page 209 ~ b6 -- 5, 6, 7; b7C -- 5, 6, 7; b7D -- 1;
Page 210 ~ b6 -- 5, 6, 7; b7C -- 5, 6, 7; b7D -- 1;
Page 212 ~ b6 -- 1, 5, 7; b7C -- 1, 5, 7; b7D -- 1;
Page 214 ~ b6 -- 1, 5, 7; b7C -- 1, 5, 7; b7D -- 1;
Page 215 ~ b6 -- 1, 2, 5, 6, 7; b7C -- 1, 2, 5, 6, 7; b7D -- 1;
Page 216 ~ b6 -- 1, 5, 6, 7; b7C -- 1, 5, 6, 7; b7D -- 1;
Page 228 ~ Duplicate;

Page 229 ~ Duplicate;
Page 237 ~ b6 -- 1, 2, 7; b7C -- 1, 2, 7; b7D -- 2, 3, 4; b7E -- 3;
Page 238 ~ b6 -- 1, 2, 7; b7C -- 1, 2, 7; b7D -- 2, 3, 4; b7E -- 3;
Page 239 ~ b6 -- 1, 2, 6; b7C -- 1, 2, 6; b7D -- 2, 3, 4; b7E -- 3;
Page 240 ~ b6 -- 1, 2, 6; b7C -- 1, 2, 6; b7D -- 2, 3, 4; b7E -- 3;
Page 241 ~ b6 -- 1, 2, 6; b7C -- 1, 2, 6; b7D -- 2, 3, 4; b7E -- 3;
Page 243 ~ b6 -- 2, 5, 6; b7C -- 2, 5, 6; b7D -- 1;

XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX
X Deleted Page(s) X
X No Duplication Fee X
X For this Page X
XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX

UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT

Memorandum

TO : SAC, BOSTON (179-262)

FROM : *[Signature]* SAC, MIAMI (179-469) (P)

SUBJECT: [Redacted] aka;
ET AL;
[Redacted] - VICTIM
ECT
(OO: BOSTON)

DATE: 4/14/76

b6 - 2, 7
b7C - 2, 7
b7D - 1

Re Boston airtel to Miami dated 12/22/75.

Enclosed for Boston are 9 copies of an FD-302 interview of [Redacted]

b6 - 5
b7C - 5
b7D - 1

It will be noted that attempts to locate and interview [Redacted] during January and February, 1976, were unproductive.

[Redacted] stated during the course of the interview that he would make a thorough search of his personal effects in an effort to [Redacted]

b6 - 5, 6
b7C - 5, 6
b7D - 1

[Redacted] Miami will recontact [Redacted] in an effort to obtain the [Redacted]

2 - Boston (Enc. 9) *MB*
1 - Miami
FJP:lfw
(3)



5010-110

179-262-272

SEARCHED	INDEXED
SERIALIZED	FILED
APR 16 1976	
FBI - BOSTON	

[Redacted]

b6 - 1
b7C - 1

Buy U.S. Savings Bonds Regularly on the Payroll Savings Plan

FBI(20-cv-3269)-3015

UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT

Memorandum

TO : SAC, BOSTON (179-262)

FROM : SAC, MIAMI (179-469) (P)

DATE: 4/14/76

SUBJECT: [redacted] aka;
ET AL: [redacted] - VICTIM
ECT
(OO: BOSTON)

b6 - 2, 7
b7C - 2, 7
b7D - 1

Re Boston airtel to Miami dated 12/22/75.

Enclosed for Boston are 9 copies of an FD-302 interview of [redacted]

It will be noted that attempts to locate and interview [redacted] during January and February, 1976, were unproductive.

b6 - 5
b7C - 5
b7D - 1

[redacted] stated during the course of the interview that he would make a thorough search of his personal effects in an effort to [redacted]

[redacted]
Miami will recontact [redacted] in an effort to obtain the above canceled checks.

b6 - 5, 6
b7C - 5, 6
b7D - 1

2 - Boston (Enc. 9)
1 - Miami
FJP:lfw
(3)

79-262-272

SEARCHED	INDEXED
SERIALIZED	FILED
APR 16 1976	
FBI - BOSTON	



S A C (179-262)

4/20/76

SA [redacted]

b6 - 1, 2, 7
b7C - 1, 2, 7
b7D - 1

[redacted], aka;
et al;
[redacted] - VICTIM
ECT

b6 - 5
b7C - 5
b7D - 1

Enclosed for New Bedford is one copy of an FD-302 reflecting interview of [redacted]

During December, 1975, [redacted] advised [redacted] and ROBERT VISCONTI [redacted] According to the informant, [redacted] and VISCONTI.

b6 - 2
b7C - 2
b7D - 2, 3

Based on the above information, [redacted] was ultimately located and interviewed by agents [redacted]

b6 - 5, 6
b7C - 5, 6
b7D - 1

LEAD

At North Attleboro, Mass.

b6 - 2, 6
b7C - 2, 6
b7D - 1

Locate and interview [redacted]

from ROBERT VISCONTI at Vico Sales, Main St., Medford, Mass.

b6 - 2
b7C - 2

JMM:gm
(3)

Expedite to be sent to [redacted]

77-362-273

SEARCHED	INDEXED
SERIALIZED	FILED
APR 20 1976	
BOSTON	

4/C

W/ends

FBI(20-cv-3269)-3017

UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT

Memorandum

TO : SAC (179-262)

DATE: 4/20/76

FROM : SA [redacted]

b6 - 1, 2, 7
b7C - 1, 2, 7
b7D - 1

SUBJECT: [redacted] aka;
[redacted] et al.
[redacted] - VICTIM
ECT

b6 - 5
b7C - 5
b7D - 1

Enclosed for New Bedford is one copy of an FD-302 reflecting interview of [redacted]

During December, 1975, [redacted] advised [redacted] and ROBERT VISCONTI [redacted] According to the informant, [redacted] and VISCONTI.

b6 - 2
b7C - 2
b7D - 2, 3

Based on the above information, [redacted] was ultimately located and interviewed by agents [redacted]

b6 - 5, 6
b7C - 5, 6
b7D - 1

LEAD

At North Attleboro, Mass.

Locate and interview [redacted]

b6 - 2, 6
b7C - 2, 6
b7D - 1

from ROBERT VISCONTI at Vico Sales, Main St., Medford, Mass.

JMM:gm
(3)

179-262-273

SEARCHED	INDEXED
SERIALIZED	FILED
APR 20 1976	
FBI - BOSTON	

b6 - 1
b7C - 1



5010-110

Buy U.S. Savings Bonds Regularly on the Payroll Savings Plan FBI(20-cv-3269)-3018

S A C (179-262)

4/20/76

SA [redacted]

b6 - 1, 2, 7
b7C - 1, 2, 7
b7D - 1

[redacted] aka;
et al;

[redacted] VICTIM

ECT

b6 - 5
b7C - 5
b7D - 1

Enclosed for New Bedford is one copy of an FD-302 reflecting interview of [redacted]

During December, 1975, [redacted] advised

b6 - 2
b7C - 2
b7D - 2, 3

and ROBERT VISCONTI [redacted]

According to the informant, [redacted]

and VISCONTI.

Based on the above information, [redacted] was ultimately located and interviewed by agents [redacted]

b6 - 5, 6
b7C - 5, 6
b7D - 1

LEAD

At North Attleboro, Mass.

Locate and interview [redacted]

b6 - 2, 6
b7C - 2, 6
b7D - 1

from ROBERT VISCONTI at Vico Sales, Main St., Medford, Mass.

JMM:gm
(3)

179-262-272

SEARCHED	INDEXED
SERIALIZED	FILED
APR 20 1976	
FBI - BOSTON	

FBI(20-cv-3269)-3019

~~Two convicted~~
of loansharking

A US District Court jury yesterday found two men guilty of loansharking charges after a trial in which Gennaro (Jerry) Angiulo, reputed Boston organized crime leader, was alleged to be the source of their money.

Richard (Vinnie) DeVincent of Thistle road, Woburn, and Robert Visconti of Lake street, Brighton, were convicted of making an extortionate loan and of conspiracy. They were acquitted of another conspiracy charge and of making a second loan.

Chief Judge Andre A. Caffrey scheduled sentencing May 7.

The victim, Peter J. Pallotta, testified he borrowed \$700 from the defendants at an annual interest of 156 percent. When he fell behind on his \$28-a-week payments, Pallotta said DeVincent threatened to get him with an ice pick and to break his legs. Pallotta said DeVincent had a reputation as "a head crusher."

Indicate page, name of newspaper, city and state

THE BOSTON HERALD
AMERICAN
BOSTON, MASS.

@ 26 THE BOSTON GLOBE
BOSTON, MASS.

THE CHRISTIAN
SCIENCE MONITOR
BOSTON, MASS.

DATE: 4/17/76

EDITION: DAILY

AUTHOR: not listed

EDITOR: T. WINSHIP

TITLE: 2 Convicted
of loansharking.

CHARACTER:
or

CLASSIFICATION:

Submitting Office: BOSTON

Enclosures:

G. C. BUREAU

179-268-274

SEARCHED	INDEXED
SERIALIZED	FILED
APR 17 1976	
b6 - 1 b7c - 1	
V-269-3020	

UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT

Memorandum

TO : SAC, BOSTON (179-262)

DATE: 4/23/76

FROM : SA [REDACTED]

b6 - 1, 2, 7
b7C - 1, 2, 7
b7D - 1

SUBJECT: [REDACTED]

ET AL;

ECT

[REDACTED] - VICTIM

On 4/22/76, SA [REDACTED] advised that an informant had advised him that [REDACTED]

b6 - 2, 4, 6
b7C - 2, 4, 6
b7D - 1

FORM 0-1
DATE RECEIVED 5/7/76
DATE RETURNED 5/10/76
REPORT BY 5/14/76



JC/po's

(2)

1-12-17

179-262-275

SEARCHED	INDEXED
SERIALIZED	FILED
APR 23 1976	
FBI - BOSTON	

b6 - 1
b7C - 1

Boston Police

BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION SERVICES

GENERAL INFORMATION RECORD

REPORT NO.

DATE

PAGE 1 OF

b6 - 1, 2, 3

b7C - 1, 2, 3

DISSEMINATION AND DECLASSIFICATION

TO:

IDENTIFICATION

179-268-276

SEARCHED	INDEXED
SERIALIZED	FILED
APR 31 1976	
FBI - BOSTON	

b6 - 1
b7C - 1

FBI(20-cv-3269)-3022

Boston Police
BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

GENERAL INFORMATION RECORD

REFERENCE

DATE

PAGE 1 OF

DISSEMINATION AND DISSEMINATION
TO:

IDENTIFICATION

FBI(20-cv-3269)-3023

INVESTIGATION

SUMMARY OF PROSECUTIVE ACTION IN ORGANIZED CRIME CASES

ENTER AN X IN APPROPRIATE BLOCK

Date 4/30/76

- ☐ New Case
- ☒ Additional Data or Modification of Previously Submitted Information
- ☐ Complete Deletion of Entire Record (Give reason for deletion in block #50)

PART 1 - IDENTIFICATION INFORMATION (This information must always be provided)

01 Field Office Abbreviation BS	02 Field Office File Number 179-262	03 Bureau File No. 179-1143	b6 - 2 b7C - 2
04 Subject's Name (Last Name First - One Subject per Form)			05 Total Number of Subjects in Case 11

PART 2 - INDICTMENT OR COMPLAINT INFORMATION (Not required if submitted previously)

20 Type of Process <input type="checkbox"/> A Indictment <input type="checkbox"/> B Complaint <input type="checkbox"/> C Other (Describe)	21 Statute(s) Charged A Title Sect B Title Sect C Title Sect D Title Sect	22 Date(s) of Process A / / B / / C / / D / /	23 Title III <input type="checkbox"/> A X if Title III coverage was in connection with process <input type="checkbox"/> B <input type="checkbox"/> C <input type="checkbox"/> D	24 <input type="checkbox"/> X if Subject is an LCN Member (If X'd, complete Blocks 25 and 26)
25 LCN Family Name				
26 LCN Rank <input type="checkbox"/> A Boss <input type="checkbox"/> B Underboss <input type="checkbox"/> C Consigliere <input type="checkbox"/> D Capodecina <input type="checkbox"/> E Former Leader <input type="checkbox"/> F Soldier <input type="checkbox"/> G Proposed Member <input type="checkbox"/> H Possible Member <input type="checkbox"/> I Unknown <input type="checkbox"/> J Other (Describe)				

PART 3 - CONVICTION DATA

30 Conviction Statute(s)	31 Conviction Date(s)	32 Sentence Date(s)	33 Actual Jail Term(s)	34 Suspended Sentence(s)	35 Probated Sentence(s)	36 Fines Imposed
A Title 18 Sect 892	A 4 / 16 / 76	A 5 / 7 / 76	A yrs mos	A yrs mos	A yrs mos	A
B Title 18 Sect 894	B 4 / 16 / 76	B 5 / 7 / 76	B yrs mos	B yrs mos	B yrs mos	B
C Title 18 Sect 2	C 4 / 16 / 76	C 5 / 7 / 76	C yrs mos	C yrs mos	C yrs mos	C
D Title Sect	D / /	D / /	D yrs mos	D yrs mos	D yrs mos	D
37 Conviction Comments						

PART 4 - PROSECUTION DISMISSED OR ACQUITTED

40 Statute(s) Dismissed A Title Sect B Title Sect C Title Sect D Title Sect	41 Date(s) Dismissed A / / B / / C / / D / /	42 Reason
---	--	-----------

PART 5 - MISCELLANEOUS COMMENTS

50	51 Was Form #2 Submitted? <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> Yes Date Submitted
1 - Bureau (2) - Boston (1 - 179-262) (1 - 94-536)	

SEARCHED

SERIALIZED

INDEXED

FILED

b6 - 1
b7C - 1

FBI(20-cv-3269)-3026

179-262-278

SUMMARY OF PROSECUTIVE ACTION IN ORGANIZED CRIME CASES

ENTER AN X IN APPROPRIATE BLOCK

Date 4/30/76

- ☐ New Case
- ☒ Additional Data or Modification of Previously Submitted Information
- ☐ Complete Deletion of Entire Record (Give reason for deletion in block #50)

PART 1 - IDENTIFICATION INFORMATION (This information must always be provided)

01 Field Office Abbreviation BS	02 Field Office File Number 179-262	03 Bureau File No. 179-1143
04 Subject's Name (Last Name First - One Subject per Form) VISCONTI, ROBERT LIBBY		05 Total Number of Subjects in Case 11

PART 2 - INDICTMENT OR COMPLAINT INFORMATION (Not required if submitted previously)

20 Type of Process <input type="checkbox"/> A Indictment <input type="checkbox"/> B Complaint <input type="checkbox"/> C Other (Describe)	21 Statute(s) Charged A Title Sect B Title Sect C Title Sect D Title Sect	22 Date(s) of Process A / / B / / C / / D / /	23 Title III <input type="checkbox"/> A X if Title III coverage was in connection with process <input type="checkbox"/> B <input type="checkbox"/> C <input type="checkbox"/> D	24 <input type="checkbox"/> X if Subject is an LCN Member (If X'd, complete Blocks 25 and 26)
25 LCN Family Name				
26 LCN Rank <input type="checkbox"/> A Boss <input type="checkbox"/> B Underboss <input type="checkbox"/> C Consigliere <input type="checkbox"/> D Capodecina <input type="checkbox"/> E Former Leader <input type="checkbox"/> F Soldier <input type="checkbox"/> G Proposed Member <input type="checkbox"/> H Possible Member <input type="checkbox"/> I Unknown <input type="checkbox"/> J Other (Describe)				

PART 3 - CONVICTION DATA

30 Conviction Statute(s)	31 Conviction Date(s)	32 Sentence Date(s)	33 Actual Jail Term(s)	34 Suspended Sentence(s)	35 Probated Sentence(s)	36 Fines Imposed
A Title 18 Sect 892	A 4 / 16 / 76	A 5 / 7 / 76	A yrs mos	A yrs mos	A yrs mos	A
B Title 18 Sect 894	B 4 / 16 / 76	B 5 / 7 / 76	B yrs mos	B yrs mos	B yrs mos	B
C Title 18 Sect 2	C 4 / 16 / 76	C 5 / 7 / 76	C yrs mos	C yrs mos	C yrs mos	C
D Title Sect	D / /	D / /	D yrs mos	D yrs mos	D yrs mos	D
37 Conviction Comments						

PART 4 - PROSECUTION DISMISSED OR ACQUITTED

40 Statute(s) Dismissed A Title Sect B Title Sect C Title Sect D Title Sect	41 Date(s) Dismissed A / / B / / C / / D / /	42 Reason
---	--	-----------

PART 5 - MISCELLANEOUS COMMENTS

50	51 Was Form #2 Submitted? <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> Yes Date Submitted
1 - Bureau	2 - Boston (1 - 179-262) (1 - 94-536)

SEARCHED

SERIALIZED

INDEXED

b6 - 1

b7C - 1

FBI(20-cv-3269)-3027

179-262-279

SUMMARY OF PROSECUTIVE ACTION IN ORGANIZED CRIME CASES

ENTER AN X IN APPROPRIATE BLOCK

Date 8/30/76

- ☐ New Case
- ☒ Additional Data or Modification of Previously Submitted Information
- ☐ Complete Deletion of Entire Record (Give reason for deletion in block #50)

PART 1 - IDENTIFICATION INFORMATION (This information must always be provided)

01 Field Office Abbreviation BS	02 Field Office File Number 179-262	03 Bureau File No. 179-1143
04 Subject's Name (Last Name First - One Subject per Form) <div style="border: 1px solid black; height: 15px; width: 100%;"></div>		05 Total Number of Subjects in Case 11 b6 - 2 b7C - 2

PART 2 - INDICTMENT OR COMPLAINT INFORMATION (Not required if submitted previously)

20 Type of Process <input type="checkbox"/> A Indictment <input type="checkbox"/> B Complaint <input type="checkbox"/> C Other (Describe)	21 Statute(s) Charged A Title Sect B Title Sect C Title Sect D Title Sect	22 Date(s) of Process A / / B / / C / / D / /	23 Title III <input type="checkbox"/> A X if Title III coverage was in connection with process <input type="checkbox"/> B <input type="checkbox"/> C <input type="checkbox"/> D	24 <input type="checkbox"/> X if Subject is an LCN Member (If X'd, complete Blocks 25 and 26)
25 LCN Family Name				
26 LCN Rank <input type="checkbox"/> A Boss <input type="checkbox"/> B Underboss <input type="checkbox"/> C Consigliere <input type="checkbox"/> D Capodecina <input type="checkbox"/> E Former Leader <input type="checkbox"/> F Soldier <input type="checkbox"/> G Proposed Member <input type="checkbox"/> H Possible Member <input type="checkbox"/> I Unknown <input type="checkbox"/> J Other (Describe)				

PART 3 - CONVICTION DATA

30 Conviction Statute(s)	31 Conviction Date(s)	32 Sentence Date(s)	33 Actual Jail Term(s)	34 Suspended Sentence(s)	35 Probated Sentence(s)	36 Fines Imposed
A Title Sect B92	A 3 / 23/76	A 5/12/76	A yrs mos	A yrs mos	A yrs mos	A
B Title Sect	B / /	B / /	B yrs mos	B yrs mos	B yrs mos	B
C Title Sect	C / /	C / /	C yrs mos	C yrs mos	C yrs mos	C
D Title Sect	D / /	D / /	D yrs mos	D yrs mos	D yrs mos	D
37 Conviction Comments						

PART 4 - PROSECUTION DISMISSED OR ACQUITTED

40 Statute(s) Dismissed A Title Sect B Title Sect C Title Sect D Title Sect	41 Date(s) Dismissed A / / B / / C / / D / /	42 Reason
---	--	-----------

PART 5 - MISCELLANEOUS COMMENTS

50	51 Was Form #2 Submitted? <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> Yes Date Submitted <u>8/30/76</u>	FBI(20-cv-3269)-3028
1 - Bureau (2) - Boston (1 - 179-262) (1 - 94-536)		79-262-280

SUMMARY OF PROSECUTIVE ACTION IN ORGANIZED CRIME CASES

ENTER AN X IN APPROPRIATE BLOCK

Date 4/30/76

- ☐ New Case
- ☒ Additional Data or Modification of Previously Submitted Information
- ☐ Complete Deletion of Entire Record (Give reason for deletion in block #50)

PART 1 - IDENTIFICATION INFORMATION (This information must always be provided)

01 Field Office Abbreviation BS	02 Field Office File Number 179-262	03 Bureau File No. 179-1143
04 Subject's Name (Last Name First - One Subject per Form) <div style="border: 1px solid black; height: 15px; width: 100%;"></div>		05 Total Number of Subjects in Case 11 b6 - 2 b7C - 2

PART 2 - INDICTMENT OR COMPLAINT INFORMATION (Not required if submitted previously)

20 Type of Process <input type="checkbox"/> A Indictment <input type="checkbox"/> B Complaint <input type="checkbox"/> C Other (Describe)	21 Statute(s) Charged A Title Sect B Title Sect C Title Sect D Title Sect	22 Date(s) of Process A / / B / / C / / D / /	23 Title III <input type="checkbox"/> A X if Title III coverage was in connection with process <input type="checkbox"/> B <input type="checkbox"/> C <input type="checkbox"/> D	24 <input type="checkbox"/> X if Subject is an LCN Member (If X'd, complete Blocks 25 and 26)
25 LCN Family Name				
26 LCN Rank <input type="checkbox"/> A Boss <input type="checkbox"/> B Underboss <input type="checkbox"/> C Consigliere <input type="checkbox"/> D Capodecina <input type="checkbox"/> E Former Leader <input type="checkbox"/> F Soldier <input type="checkbox"/> G Proposed Member <input type="checkbox"/> H Possible Member <input type="checkbox"/> I Unknown <input type="checkbox"/> J Other (Describe)				

PART 3 - CONVICTION DATA

30 Conviction Statute(s)	31 Conviction Date(s)	32 Sentence Date(s)	33 Actual Jail Term(s)	34 Suspended Sentence(s)	35 Probated Sentence(s)	36 Fines Imposed
A Title 18 Sect 892	A 3 / 23 / 76	A 5 / 12 / 76	A yrs mos	A yrs mos	A yrs mos	A
B Title Sect	B / /	B / /	B yrs mos	B yrs mos	B yrs mos	B
C Title Sect	C / /	C / /	C yrs mos	C yrs mos	C yrs mos	C
D Title Sect	D / /	D / /	D yrs mos	D yrs mos	D yrs mos	D
37 Conviction Comments						

PART 4 - PROSECUTION DISMISSED OR ACQUITTED

40 Statute(s) Dismissed A Title Sect B Title Sect C Title Sect D Title Sect	41 Date(s) Dismissed A / / B / / C / / D / /	42 Reason <div style="border: 1px solid black; height: 40px; width: 100%;"></div>
---	--	--

PART 5 - MISCELLANEOUS COMMENTS

50 <div style="border: 1px solid black; height: 40px; width: 100%;"></div>	51 Was Form #2 Submitted? <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> Yes Date Submitted 1 - Bureau (2) - Boston (1 - 179-262) (1 - 94-536)
---	---

FBI(20-cv-3269)-3029

/79-262-281

Boston Police
BUREAU OF INSPECTIONAL SERVICES

GENERAL INFORMATION RECORD

REPORT

DATE

b6 - 1, 2

b7C - 1, 2

DISSEMINATION: NO DISSEMINATION
TO:

IDENTIFICATIONS

[Redacted Box]

179-268-282

~~92-2240~~

179-162

SEARCHED	INDEXED
SERIALIZED	FILED
MAY 6 1975	
FBI - BOSTON	
b6 - 1 b7C - 1	
FBI(20-cv-3269)-3030	

5/10/76

AIRTEL

TO: ADIC LOS ANGELES
FROM: SAC, BOSTON (179-262) (P)
SUBJECT: [REDACTED] aka;
ET AL.
[REDACTED] - VICTIM
ECT

b6 - 2, 7
b7C - 2, 7
b7D - 1

Subject [REDACTED]
[REDACTED] FBI No. [REDACTED] among others, is currently under indictment for violation ECT and Conspiracy statutes. It is anticipated trial will begin within next 30 - 45 days in USDC, Boston, Mass.

b6 - 2
b7C - 2

Review of subject's Identification Record reflects series of arrests in [REDACTED] area which appear to result in [REDACTED]

[REDACTED] was sentenced to 25 years custody of Attorney General as a result of this conviction.

[REDACTED] is described as follows:

b6 - 2
b7C - 2

Name: [REDACTED]
Alias: [REDACTED]
Sex: Male
Race: White
DOB: [REDACTED]
POB: [REDACTED]
Height: 6'0"
Weight: 220 pounds
Hair: Brown
Eyes: Brown
SSAN: [REDACTED]
FBI No: [REDACTED]

179-262-285

2 Los Angeles
② Boston
JMM:mm
(4)

SEARCHED
SERIALIZED
INDEXED

b6 - 1
b7C - 1

BS 179-262

LEADS

LOS ANGELES

AT LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA

Review indices re [redacted] aka
[redacted] and furnish Boston details of his
criminal activities in Los Angeles, California area, in-
cluding associates.

b6 - 2
b7C - 2

Obtain certified and exemplified copies of all
convictions in Los Angeles, California area. (Include
appearance slip or appropriate document to reflect
[redacted] was represented by counsel).

5/10/76

AIRTEL

TO: ADIC LOS ANGELES
FROM: SAC, BOSTON (179-262) (P)
SUBJECT: [REDACTED], aka;
ET AL;
[REDACTED] - VICTIM
ECT

b6 - 2, 7
b7C - 2, 7
b7D - 1

Subject [REDACTED]
[REDACTED] FBI No. [REDACTED] among others, is currently under indictment for violation ECT and Conspiracy statutes. It is anticipated trial will begin within next 30 - 45 days in USDC, Boston, Mass.

b6 - 2
b7C - 2

Review of subject's Identification Record reflects series of arrests in [REDACTED] area which appear to result in [REDACTED]

[REDACTED] was sentenced to 25 years custody of Attorney General as a result of this conviction.

b6 - 2
b7C - 2

[REDACTED] is described as follows:

Name: [REDACTED]
Alias: [REDACTED]
Sex: Male
Race: White
DOB: [REDACTED]
POB: [REDACTED]
Height: 6'0"
Weight: 220 pounds
Hair: Brown
Eyes: Brown
SSAN: [REDACTED]
FBI No: [REDACTED]

2 Los Angeles
② Boston
JMM:mm
(4)

SEARCHED

SERIALIZED

INDEXED

FILED

FBI(20-cv-3269)-3032

5/12/76

AIRTEL

TO: SAC, LOS ANGELES
FROM: SAC, BOSTON (179-262) (P)
SUBJECT: [REDACTED] aka;
[REDACTED] ET AL;
[REDACTED] - VICTIM
ECT

b6 - 2, 7
b7C - 2, 7
b7D - 1

Re Boston airtel to Los Angeles dated 5/10/76.

Re Boston airtel set out lead to obtain certified and exemplified copies of convictions for subject [REDACTED] and furnish background information relative to his activities while in California.

b6 - 2
b7C - 2

Since setting out of above mentioned leads, Boston has ascertained that the trial judge has reviewed the defendants' motions in case involving [REDACTED] and will rule on them shortly. The judge is expected to call this matter for trial late 5/76 and intends to begin trial no later than first week of June, 1976.

b6 - 2
b7C - 2

In view of the above, Los Angeles is requested to expedite requested investigation and report results thereof in line with above.

2 Los Angeles
② Boston
JMM:lm
(4)

SEARCHED
SERIALIZED
INDEXED
FILED

b6 - 1
b7C - 1

179-262-288
FBI(20-cv-3269)-3042

5/12/76

AIRTEL

TO: SAC, LOS ANGELES
FROM: SAC, BOSTON (179-262) (P)
SUBJECT: [REDACTED] aka;
ET AL;
[REDACTED] VICTIM
ECT

b6 - 2, 7
b7C - 2, 7
b7D - 1

Re Boston airtel to Los Angeles dated 5/10/76.

Re Boston airtel set out lead to obtain certified and exemplified copies of convictions for subject [REDACTED] and furnish background information relative to his activities while in California.

b6 - 2
b7C - 2

Since setting out of above mentioned leads, Boston has ascertained that the trial judge has reviewed the defendants' motions in case involving [REDACTED] and will rule on them shortly. The judge is expected to call this matter for trial late 5/76 and intends to begin trial no later than first week of June, 1976.

b6 - 2
b7C - 2

In view of the above, Los Angeles is requested to expedite requested investigation and report results thereof in line with above.

2 Los Angeles
② Boston
JMM:mm
(4)
mm

JH

179-262-288

SEARCHED	_____
SERIALIZED	<i>B</i>
INDEXED	<i>JS</i>
FILED	_____

5/13/76

AIRTEL

TO: SAC, CINCINNATI
FROM: SAC, BOSTON (179-262) (P)
SUBJECT: [REDACTED], aka
ET AL
[REDACTED] - VICTIM
ECT

b6 - 2, 7
b7C - 2, 7
b7D - 1

Re Boston nitel to Cincinnati, 4/14/76.

As Cincinnati is aware, [REDACTED]
FBI number [REDACTED] was to have been a defense witness in the
second of four trials in this matter. [REDACTED] testi-
mony and all parallel testimony was excluded. [REDACTED]
testimony was to have concerned an allegation that the
[REDACTED]

The defense also produced two other witnesses, i.e.
[REDACTED] and [REDACTED] who testified [REDACTED]
had made statements regarding the defendants while he was [REDACTED]
[REDACTED] They were also to testify re- [REDACTED]
garding [REDACTED] however, that portion of testimony
excluded.

It should be noted it is strongly believed [REDACTED]
[REDACTED] committed perjury. Both were arrested (together)
only two days prior to testifying for [REDACTED]
[REDACTED] in connection with a [REDACTED]
Intelligence information reflects [REDACTED] who independently
could not make bail was bailed by defendant [REDACTED]
Further, there is no record whatsoever relative to the alleged

2 - Cincinnati
2 - Boston
JTT/po's
(4)

SEARCHED

SERIALIZED

INDEXED

FILED



b6 - 1
b7C - 1

179-262-290
FBI(20-cv-3269)-3045

b6 - 2, 7
b7C - 2, 7
b7D - 1

[redacted] most emphatically denies same. Boston of the opinion lawyers for defendants in the remaining two trials may attempt to utilize [redacted] testimony. It is also known the Investigator for one of the defendants in the [redacted] trial is also Investigator for the law firm handling two defendants in one of the remaining trials.

Boston desirous of at least neutralizing potential testimony of [redacted] and further determining how he was contacted, when contacted, and as many details as he will furnish relative to his testifying in previously disposed of matter and any matters forthcoming. The next trial is expected to begin during the first week of June, 1976 and it is requested investigation set out below be conducted expeditiously. b6 - 2
b7C - 2

LEAD

CINCINNATI

AT COLUMBUS, OHIO

Interview [redacted]

[redacted] as set out above.

b6 - 2
b7C - 2

5/13/76

AIRTEL

TO: SAC, CINCINNATI
FROM: SAC, BOSTON (179-262) (P)
SUBJECT: [REDACTED] aka
ET AL
[REDACTED] - VICTIM
ECT

b6 - 2, 7
b7C - 2, 7
b7D - 1

Re Boston nitel to Cincinnati, 4/14/76.

As Cincinnati is aware, [REDACTED]
FBI number [REDACTED] was to have been a defense witness in the
second of four trials in this matter. [REDACTED] testi-
mony and all parallel testimony was excluded. [REDACTED]
testimony was to have concerned an allegation that the
[REDACTED]

The defense also produced two other witnesses, [REDACTED]
[REDACTED] and [REDACTED] who testified [REDACTED]
had made statements regarding the defendants while he was [REDACTED]
[REDACTED] They were also to testify re-
garding [REDACTED] however, that portion of testimony
excluded.

b6 - 2, 7
b7C - 2, 7
b7D - 1

It should be noted it is strongly believed [REDACTED]
[REDACTED] committed perjury. Both were arrested (together) [REDACTED]
only two days prior to testifying for [REDACTED]
[REDACTED] in connection with a [REDACTED]
Intelligence information reflects [REDACTED] who independently
could not make bail was bailed by defendant [REDACTED]
Further, there is no record whatsoever relative to the alleged

b6 - 2
b7C - 2

2 - Cincinnati
(2) - Boston
JPM/po's
(4)
[Handwritten signature]

179-262-290
SEARCHED
SERIALIZED
INDEXED
FILED
MAY 14 1976
FBI - CINCINNATI
[Handwritten initials]

FBI(20-cv-3269)-3047

179-262

b6 - 2, 7
b7C - 2, 7
b7D - 1

On the same date, source advised that the word was
around that [redacted] during the [redacted]
[redacted]

Above info discussed with [redacted]

[redacted] on

5/12/76

[redacted] advised [redacted] during

discussing

next trial will be [redacted]
[redacted]

from
5/13/76

b6 - 4, 7
b7C - 4, 7
b7D - 1

FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

REPORTING OFFICE BOSTON	OFFICE OF ORIGIN BOSTON	DATE 5/13/76	INVESTIGATIVE PERIOD 1/23 - 5/12/76
TITLE OF CASE [REDACTED] aka [REDACTED] - VICTIM		REPORT MADE BY [REDACTED]	TYPED BY [REDACTED]
		CHARACTER OF CASE ECT	b6 - 1, 2, 7 b7C - 1, 2, 7 b7D - 1

REFERENCE: Boston report of SA [REDACTED] dated 1/23/76.

b6 - 1
b7C - 1

- P -

LEADS

BOSTON

AT BOSTON, MASSACHUSETTS

1. Conduct appropriate trial preparation for both [REDACTED] et al and [REDACTED] et al trials.

b6 - 2
b7C - 2

ACCOMPLISHMENTS CLAIMED					<input type="checkbox"/> NONE	ACQUIT-TALS	CASE HAS BEEN:
CONVIC.	PRETRIAL DIVERSION	FUG.	FINES	SAVINGS	RECOVERIES		
4			\$27,500		1,500		PENDING OVER ONE YEAR <input type="checkbox"/> YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO PENDING PROSECUTION OVER SIX MONTHS <input type="checkbox"/> YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO

APPROVED

SPECIAL AGENT
IN CHARGE

DO NOT WRITE IN SPACES BELOW

COPIES MADE:

- 3 - Bureau (179-1143)
- 1 - Strike Force, Boston, Mass.
(Attn: Departmental Attorney
MARTIN D. BOUDREAU)
- (2) - Boston (179-262)

b6 - 1
b7C - 1

Dissemination Record of Attached Report

Agency		SEARCHED	
Request Recd.		SERIALIZED	
Date Fwd.		INDEXED	
How Fwd.			
By		FILED	

Notations

179-262-291

COVER PAGE

FBI(20-cv-3269)-3050

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE
FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

Copy to: 1 - Boston Strike Force, Boston, Massachusetts
(Attention: Departmental Attorney MARTIN D. BOUDREAU)

Report of: [REDACTED]
Date: May 13, 1976

Office Boston, Massachusetts

Field Office File #: 179-262

Bureau File #: 179-1143

Title: [REDACTED]
[REDACTED] ET AL.
[REDACTED] VICTIM

b6 - 1, 2, 7
b7C - 1, 2, 7
b7D - 1

Character: EXTORTIONATE CREDIT TRANSACTIONS

Synopsis: On 3/23/76, subjects [REDACTED] and [REDACTED] pled guilty, USDC, District of Mass. to 1 count violation T18, USC, Section 892. On 4/16/76, subjects [REDACTED] and ROBERT LIBBY VISCONTI were convicted in USDC, District of Mass. following trial by jury of 1 count each violation T18, USC, Sections 892, 894 and 2. On 5/7/76, b6 - 2
USDJ ANDREW A. CAFFREY sentenced [REDACTED] and VISCONTI b7C - 2 each to the custody of Attorney General for periods of 8 years and fined \$10,000. On 5/12/76, USCJ (visiting) JAMES L. WATSON sentenced [REDACTED] respectively to 2 years custody of AG and fined \$5,000, 2 years custody of AG (21 months suspended, 3 months to serve) 2 years supervised probation following incarceration and fined \$2500. USDJ CAFFREY to hear trial of [REDACTED] ET AL. and USDJ WILLIAM J. SKINNER to hear trial of [REDACTED] ET AL. No trial dates for these remaining two trials set.

- P -

Details:

FBI(20-cv-3269)-3052

FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

REPORTING OFFICE BOSTON	OFFICE OF ORIGIN BOSTON	DATE 5/13/76	INVESTIGATIVE PERIOD 1/23 - 5/12/76
TITLE OF CASE [REDACTED] aka [REDACTED] - VICTIM		REPORT MADE BY [REDACTED]	TYPED BY DO'S
		CHARACTER OF CASE ECT	b6 - 1, 2, 7 b7C - 1, 2, 7 b7D - 1

REFERENCE: Boston report of SA [REDACTED] dated 1/23/76.

b6 - 1
b7C - 1

- P -

LEADSBOSTONAT BOSTON, MASSACHUSETTS

1. Conduct appropriate trial preparation for both [REDACTED] et al and [REDACTED] et al trials.

b6 - 2
b7C - 2

ACCOMPLISHMENTS CLAIMED						<input type="checkbox"/> NONE	ACQUIT-TALS	CASE HAS BEEN:
CONVIC.	PRETRIAL DIVERSION	FUG.	FINES	SAVINGS	RECOVERIES			
4			\$27,500.-		\$7,145			PENDING OVER ONE YEAR <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO PENDING PROSECUTION OVER SIX MONTHS <input type="checkbox"/> YES <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NO
APPROVED [Signature]						SPECIAL AGENT IN CHARGE		
COPIES MADE:						DO NOT WRITE IN SPACES BELOW		
3 - Bureau (179-1143) 1 - Strike Force, Boston, Mass. (Attn: Departmental Attorney MARTIN D. BOUDREAU) ② - Boston (179-262) f.m.						179-262-291		
Dissemination Record of Attached Report						Notations		
Agency			SEARCHED					
Request Recd.			SERIALIZED					
Date Fwd.			INDEXED					
How Fwd.								
By								

FILED

COVER PAGE

FBI(20-cv-3269)-3059

UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT

Memorandum

TO : SAC (179-262) (P)

DATE: 5/17/76

FROM : SA [REDACTED]

b6 - 1, 2, 7
b7C - 1, 2, 7
b7D - 1

SUBJECT: [REDACTED] aka;
[REDACTED] et al;
[REDACTED] - VICTIM
ECT

For information of Concord and Lawrence, captioned matter involves four separate ECT cases, totaling eleven defendants, wherein [REDACTED] is the victim. Two cases have been disposed of through pleas (2) in one case and convictions (2) following trial by jury in another case. The two remaining cases involving four and three defendants respectively are expected to be called for their trials in the immediate future.

b6 - 7
b7C - 7
b7D - 1

One of the remaining defendants is [REDACTED]
[REDACTED] who is described as follows:

Name: [REDACTED]
Race: White
Sex: Male
DOB: [REDACTED]
POB: [REDACTED]
Height: 5'4"
Weight: 140 lbs.
Hair: Black
Eyes: Brown
Address: [REDACTED]
Marital Status: Married
Social Security: [REDACTED]
Account No.: [REDACTED]

b6 - 2
b7C - 2

JMM:gm
(4)

179-262-292

SEARCHED	INDEXED
SERIALIZED	FILED
MAY 17 1976	
FBI - BOSTON	

[REDACTED]

b6 - 1
b7C - 1

1/c
JMM
5/17/76



It is known [redacted] was arrested by the [redacted]
[redacted]
[redacted]. A warrant was issued for [redacted]
arrest in connection with the above on 1/20/75 by the [redacted]
[redacted]

It is also known [redacted] was arrested on [redacted] b6 - 2
by the [redacted] b7C - 2
[redacted]
substance pursuant to [redacted] and a co-conspirator attempting
to negotiate at [redacted]
[redacted]

Boston is in receipt of intelligence information
indicating that (1) [redacted] is currently incarcerated in a b6 - 2
correctional institution in [redacted] pursuant to the b7C - 2
above-mentioned arrest and (2) [redacted] has been contacted by
various defendants in this matter and intends on "taking the
rap" for the defendants in these two remaining matters.

LEADS

CONCORD RA

At Merrimack, N.H.

1. Contact Sgt. [redacted] relative
to [redacted] arrest by the department, as set out
above, to determine the disposition of same.
2. If appropriate, obtain certified and exemplified
copy of conviction to include a copy of appearance
slip for counsel representing [redacted]
3. Identify the correctional institution in which
[redacted] is confined and obtain a copy of [redacted]
visitor and mailing lists.

BS 179-262

LAWRENCE RA

b6 - 2, 3
b7C - 2, 3

At Lowell, Mass.

1. Contact Inspector [redacted], Detective Bureau, [redacted] relative to the disposition of [redacted] arrest by that department, as set out above.
2. If appropriate, obtain certified and exemplified copy of conviction to include appearance slip filed by [redacted] counsel.

S A C (179-262) (P)

5/17/76

SA [redacted]

b6 - 1, 2, 7
b7C - 1, 2, 7
b7D - 1

[redacted] aka;
et al;

[redacted] - VICTIM

ECT

For information of Concord and Lawrence, captioned matter involves four separate ECT cases, totaling eleven defendants, wherein [redacted] is the victim. Two cases have been disposed of through pleas (2) in one case and convictions (2) following trial by jury in another case. The two remaining cases involving four and three defendants respectively are expected to be called for their trials in the immediate future.

b6 - 7
b7C - 7
b7D - 1

One of the remaining defendants is [redacted]
[redacted] who is described as follows:

b6 - 2
b7C - 2

Name: [redacted]
Race: White
Sex: Male
DOB: [redacted]
POB: [redacted]
Height: 5'4"
Weight: 140 lbs.
Hair: Black
Eyes: Brown
Address: [redacted]
Marital Status: Married
Social Security
Account No.: [redacted]

JMM:gm
(4)

79-260-090

SEARCHED	INDEXED
SERIALIZED	FILED
MAY 17 1976	

[redacted]

b6 - 1
b7C - 1

S A C (179-262) (P)

5/17/76

SA [redacted]

b6 - 1, 2, 7
b7C - 1, 2, 7
b7D - 1

[redacted] aka;
et al;
[redacted] - VICTIM
ECT

For information of Concord and Lawrence, captioned matter involves four separate ECT cases, totaling eleven defendants, wherein [redacted] is the victim. Two cases have been disposed of through pleas (2) in one case and convictions (2) following trial by jury in another case. The two remaining cases involving four and three defendants respectively are expected to be called for their trials in the immediate future.

b6 - 7
b7C - 7
b7D - 1

One of the remaining defendants is [redacted]
[redacted] who is described as follows:

Name: [redacted]
Race: White
Sex: Male
DOB: [redacted]
POB: [redacted]
Height: 5'4"
Weight: 140 lbs.
Hair: Black
Eyes: Brown
Address: [redacted]
Marital Status: Married
Social Security
Account No.: [redacted]

b6 - 2
b7C - 2

JMM:gm
(4)

179-262-292

SEARCHED	INDEXED
SERIALIZED	FILED
MAY 17 1976	
BOSTON	

[redacted] JMM

b6 - 1
b7C - 1

S A C (179-262) (P)

5/17/76

SA [redacted]

b6 - 1, 2, 7
b7C - 1, 2, 7
b7D - 1

[redacted] aka;
et al;

[redacted] - VICTIM
ECT

For information of Concord and Lawrence, captioned matter involves four separate ECT cases, totaling eleven defendants, wherein [redacted] is the victim. Two cases have been disposed of through pleas (2) in one case and convictions (2) following trial by jury in another case. The two remaining cases involving four and three defendants respectively are expected to be called for their trials in the immediate future.

b6 - 7
b7C - 7
b7D - 1

One of the remaining defendants is [redacted]
[redacted] who is described as follows:

Name: [redacted]
Race: White
Sex: Male
DOB: [redacted]
POB: [redacted]
Height: 5'4"
Weight: 140 lbs.
Hair: Black
Eyes: Brown
Address: [redacted]
Marital Status: Married
Social Security
Account No.: [redacted]

b6 - 2
b7C - 2

JMM:gm
(4)

179-262-290

SEARCHED	INDEXED
SERIALIZED	FILED
MAY 17 1976	
FBI - BOSTON	

[Signature]

FBI(20-cv-3269)-3077

SUMMARY OF PROSECUTIVE ACTION IN ORGANIZED CRIME CASES

ENTER AN X IN APPROPRIATE BLOCK

Date 5/11/76

- ☐ New Case
- ☒ Additional Data or Modification of Previously Submitted Information
- ☐ Complete Deletion of Entire Record (Give reason for deletion in block #50)

PART 1 - IDENTIFICATION INFORMATION (This information must always be provided)

01 Field Office Abbreviation BS	02 Field Office File Number 179-262	03 Bureau File No. 179-1143
04 Subject's Name (Last Name First - One Subject per Form) VISCONTI, ROBERT LIBBY		05 Total Number of Subjects in Case 11

PART 2 - INDICTMENT OR COMPLAINT INFORMATION (Not required if submitted previously)

20 Type of Process <input type="checkbox"/> A Indictment <input type="checkbox"/> B Complaint <input type="checkbox"/> C Other (Describe)	21 Statute(s) Charged A Title Sect B Title Sect C Title Sect D Title Sect	22 Date(s) of Process A / / B / / C / / D / /	23 Title III <input type="checkbox"/> A X if Title III coverage was in connection with process <input type="checkbox"/> B <input type="checkbox"/> C <input type="checkbox"/> D	24 <input type="checkbox"/> X if Subject is an LCN Member (If X'd, complete Blocks 25 and 26)
25 LCN Family Name				
26 LCN Rank <input type="checkbox"/> A Boss <input type="checkbox"/> B Underboss <input type="checkbox"/> C Consigliere <input type="checkbox"/> D Capodecina <input type="checkbox"/> E Former Leader <input type="checkbox"/> F Soldier <input type="checkbox"/> G Proposed Member <input type="checkbox"/> H Possible Member <input type="checkbox"/> I Unknown <input type="checkbox"/> J Other (Describe)				

PART 3 - CONVICTION DATA

30 Conviction Statute(s)	31 Conviction Date(s)	32 Sentence Date(s)	33 Actual Jail Term(s)	34 Suspended Sentence(s)	35 Probated Sentence(s)	36 Fines Imposed
A Title 18 Sect 892	A 4 / 16 / 76	A 5 / 7 / 76	A 8 yrs mos	A yrs mos	A yrs mos	A \$10,000
B Title 18 Sect 894	B 4 / 16 / 76	B 5 / 7 / 76	B yrs mos	B yrs mos	B yrs mos	B
C Title 18 Sect 2	C 4 / 16 / 76	C 5 / 7 / 76	C yrs mos	C yrs mos	C yrs mos	C
D Title Sect	D / /	D / /	D yrs mos	D yrs mos	D yrs mos	D
37 Conviction Comments						

PART 4 - PROSECUTION DISMISSED OR ACQUITTED

40 Statute(s) Dismissed A Title Sect B Title Sect C Title Sect D Title Sect	41 Date(s) Dismissed A / / B / / C / / D / /	42 Reason 179-262-293
---	--	---------------------------------

PART 5 - MISCELLANEOUS COMMENTS

50 Sentenced to total of eight years and \$10,000	51 Was Form #2 Submitted? <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> Yes Date Submitted
1 - Bureau	2 - Boston (1 - 179-262) (1 - 94-536)

FBI(20-cv-3269)-3080

SUMMARY OF PROSECUTIVE ACTION IN ORGANIZED CRIME CASES

ENTER AN X IN APPROPRIATE BLOCK

Date 5/14/76

- ☐ New Case
- ☒ Additional Data or Modification of Previously Submitted Information
- ☐ Complete Deletion of Entire Record (Give reason for deletion in block #50)

b6 - 2

b7C - 2

PART 1 - IDENTIFICATION INFORMATION (This information must always be provided)

01 Field Office Abbreviation BS	02 Field Office File Number 179-262	03 Bureau File No. 179-1143
04 Subject's Name (Last Name First - One Subject per Form) <div style="border: 1px solid black; height: 15px; width: 300px;"></div>		05 Total Number of Subjects in Case 11

PART 2 - INDICTMENT OR COMPLAINT INFORMATION (Not required if submitted previously)

20 Type of Process <input type="checkbox"/> A Indictment <input type="checkbox"/> B Complaint <input type="checkbox"/> C Other (Describe)	21 Statute(s) Charged A Title Sect B Title Sect C Title Sect D Title Sect	22 Date(s) of Process A / / B / / C / / D / /	23 Title III <input type="checkbox"/> A X if Title III coverage was in connection with process <input type="checkbox"/> B <input type="checkbox"/> C <input type="checkbox"/> D	24 <input type="checkbox"/> X if Subject is an LCN Member (If X'd, complete Blocks 25 and 26)
25 LCN Family Name				
26 LCN Rank <input type="checkbox"/> A Boss <input type="checkbox"/> D Capodecina <input type="checkbox"/> H Possible Member <input type="checkbox"/> B Underboss <input type="checkbox"/> E Former Leader <input type="checkbox"/> I Unknown <input type="checkbox"/> C Consigliere <input type="checkbox"/> F Soldier <input type="checkbox"/> J Other (Describe)				

PART 3 - CONVICTION DATA

30 Conviction Statute(s)	31 Conviction Date(s)	32 Sentence Date(s)	33 Actual Jail Term(s)	34 Suspended Sentence(s)	35 Probated Sentence(s)	36 Fines Imposed
A Title Sect 892	A 3/23 / 76	A 5 / 12/76	A yrs 3 mos	A 1 yrs 9 mos	A 2 yrs mos	A \$2,500
B Title Sect	B / /	B / /	B yrs mos	B yrs mos	B yrs mos	B
C Title Sect	C / /	C / /	C yrs mos	C yrs mos	C yrs mos	C
D Title Sect	D / /	D / /	D yrs mos	D yrs mos	D yrs mos	D
37 Conviction Comments						

PART 4 - PROSECUTION DISMISSED OR ACQUITTED

40 Statute(s) Dismissed A Title Sect B Title Sect C Title Sect D Title Sect	41 Date(s) Dismissed A / / B / / C / / D / /	42 Reason 179-262-294
---	--	-------------------------------------

SEARCHED

SERIALIZED *DB*

INDEXED

FILED *DB*

PART 5 - MISCELLANEOUS COMMENTS

50	<i>gmm</i>
51 Was Form #2 Submitted? <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> Yes Date-Submitted	

1 - Bureau 2 - Boston (1 - 179-262) (1 - 94-536)

FBI(20-cv-3269)-3081

SUMMARY OF PROSECUTIVE ACTION IN ORGANIZED CRIME CASES

ENTER AN X IN APPROPRIATE BLOCK

Date 5/14/76

- ☐ New Case
- ☒ Additional Data or Modification of Previously Submitted Information
- ☐ Complete Deletion of Entire Record (Give reason for deletion in block #50)

b6 - 2

b7C - 2

PART 1 - IDENTIFICATION INFORMATION (This information must always be provided)

01 Field Office Abbreviation BS	02 Field Office File Number 179-262	03 Bureau File No. 179-1143
04 Subject's Name (Last Name First - One Subject per Form) [REDACTED]		05 Total Number of Subjects in Case 11

PART 2 - INDICTMENT OR COMPLAINT INFORMATION (Not required if submitted previously)

20 Type of Process <input type="checkbox"/> A Indictment <input type="checkbox"/> B Complaint <input type="checkbox"/> C Other (Describe)	21 Statute(s) Charged A Title Sect B Title Sect C Title Sect D Title Sect	22 Date(s) of Process A / / B / / C / / D / /	23 Title III <input type="checkbox"/> A X if Title III coverage was in connection with process <input type="checkbox"/> B <input type="checkbox"/> C <input type="checkbox"/> D	24 <input type="checkbox"/> X if Subject is an LCN Member (If X'd, complete Blocks 25 and 26)
25 LCN Family Name				
26 LCN Rank <input type="checkbox"/> A Boss <input type="checkbox"/> B Underboss <input type="checkbox"/> C Consigliere <input type="checkbox"/> D Capodecina <input type="checkbox"/> E Former Leader <input type="checkbox"/> F Soldier <input type="checkbox"/> G Proposed Member <input type="checkbox"/> H Possible Member <input type="checkbox"/> I Unknown <input type="checkbox"/> J Other (Describe)				

PART 3 - CONVICTION DATA

30 Conviction Statute(s)	31 Conviction Date(s)	32 Sentence Date(s)	33 Actual Jail Term(s)	34 Suspended Sentence(s)	35 Probated Sentence(s)	36 Fines Imposed
A Title 18 Sect 892	A 3 / 23 / 76	A 5 / 12 / 76	A 2 yrs mos	A yrs mos	A yrs mos	A \$5,000
B Title Sect	B / /	B / /	B yrs mos	B yrs mos	B yrs mos	B
C Title Sect	C / /	C / /	C yrs mos	C yrs mos	C yrs mos	C
D Title Sect	D / /	D / /	D yrs mos	D yrs mos	D yrs mos	D
37 Conviction Comments						

PART 4 - PROSECUTION DISMISSED OR ACQUITTED

40 Statute(s) Dismissed A Title Sect B Title Sect C Title Sect D Title Sect	41 Date(s) Dismissed A / / B / / C / / D / /	42 Reason 179-262-295
---	--	---------------------------------

PART 5 - MISCELLANEOUS COMMENTS

50 [Signature]	51 Was Form #2 Submitted? <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> Yes Date Submitted
1 - Bureau 2 - Boston (1 - 179-262) (1 - 94-536)	

FBI(20-cv-3269)-3082

FBI

Date: 5/24/76

Transmit the following in PLAIN
(Type in plaintext or code)Via NITEL
(Precedence)

TO: CINCINNATI
LOS ANGELES - SMA

FROM: BOSTON (179-262) (P)

b6 - 2, 7
b7C - 2, 7
b7D - 1

[REDACTED] AKA, ET AL; [REDACTED]

VICTIM; ECT

REBSAIRTELS TO LA, 5/10 AND 12/76, BSAIRTEL TO CI,
5/13/76.

FOR INFORMATION CI AND LA, NEXT TRIAL IN CAPTIONED
MATTER SCHEDULED TO BEGIN ON 6/9/76 (FIRM), USDC, BOSTON,
MA.

CI AND LA, IN VIEW OF TRIAL DATE SET OUT ABOVE, ARE
REQUESTED TO CONDUCT AND REPORT INVESTIGATION SO AS TO BE
RECEIVED BY THE BOSTON OFFICE NO LATER THAN 6/4/76.
END.

SEARCHED

INDEXED

SERIALIZED

FILED

JMM/dn
(1) *[Signature]*

b6 - 1
b7C - 1

FBI(20-cv-3269)-3083

Approved: *[Signature]*
Special Agent in Charge

Sent *6/5/76* M Per *[Signature]*

F B I

Date: 5/24/76

Transmit the following in PLAIN
(Type in plaintext or code)Via NITEL
(Precedence)

TO: CINCINNATI
LOS ANGELES - SMA
FROM: BOSTON (179-262) (P)

[REDACTED] AKA, ET AL; [REDACTED]
VICTIM; ECT

b6 - 2, 7
b7C - 2, 7
b7D - 1

REBSAIRTELS TO LA, 5/10 AND 12/76, BSAIRTEL TO CI,
5/13/76.

FOR INFORMATION CI AND LA, NEXT TRIAL IN CAPTIONED
MATTER SCHEDULED TO BEGIN ON 6/9/76 (FIRM), USDC, BOSTON,
MA.

CI AND LA, IN VIEW OF TRIAL DATE SET OUT ABOVE, ARE
REQUESTED TO CONDUCT AND REPORT INVESTIGATION SO AS TO BE
RECEIVED BY THE BOSTON OFFICE NO LATER THAN 6/4/76.
END.

JMM/dn
(1) *[Signature]*

SEARCHED

SERIALIZED *AB*

INDEXED

FILED *AB*

FACSIMILE

Approved: *RFB 998*
Special Agent in Charge

Sent *6:15 P*M Per *[Signature]*

FBI(20-cv-3269)-3084

179-262-296

FBI

Date: 5/24/76

Transmit the following in _____
(Type in plaintext or code)Via AIRTEL AIR MAIL
(Priority)

TO: SAC, BOSTON (179-262)

FROM: ADIC, LOS ANGELES (179-722) (RUC)

RE: [REDACTED] aka;
[REDACTED] ET AL.
[REDACTED] - VICTIM,
ECT

b6 - 2, 7
b7C - 2, 7
b7D - 1

Re Boston airtel to Los Angeles dated 5/10/76.

Please find enclosed certified and exemplified copies dated 5/18/76, reflecting criminal dockets from the United States District Court (USDC), Los Angeles, California, in the case of United States vs. [REDACTED] and Others.

b6 - 2
b7C - 2

A review of Los Angeles Division indices reflects several references to include Los Angeles files [REDACTED], and [REDACTED] Aforementioned files are all related to a 12/4/69, bank robbery of the [REDACTED]

b6 - 2
b7C - 2

[REDACTED] and [REDACTED] were charged with the armed and dangerous robbery of that institution. The files reflect volumes of investigative materials and might be made available per your request.

2 - Boston (Enc. 2)
1 - Los Angeles

TPM/cks
(3)

179-262-297

SEARCHED	INDEXED
SERIALIZED	FILED
MAY 28 1976	
FBI - BOSTON	

b6 - 1
b7C - 1

Approved: REG/m
Special Agent in Charge

Sent _____ M Per _____

FBI(20-cv-3269)-3085

LA 179-722

A review of Los Angeles Police Department (LAPD) and Los Angeles County Sheriff's Office (LASO) records indicate no reference to [REDACTED] A review of the Los Angeles criminal courts records similiary have no record or background on [REDACTED]

b6 - 2
b7C - 2

- 2* -

F B I

Date: 5/24/76

Transmit the following in _____
(Type in plaintext or code)Via AIRTEL AIR MAIL
(Priority)

TO: SAC, BOSTON (179-262)

FROM: ADIC, LOS ANGELES (179-722) (RUC)

RE: [REDACTED] aka;
[REDACTED] ET AL.
[REDACTED] - VICTIM,
ECT

b6 - 2, 7
b7C - 2, 7
b7D - 1

Re Boston airtel to Los Angeles dated 5/10/76.

Please find enclosed certified and exemplified copies dated 5/18/76, reflecting criminal dockets from the United States District Court (USDC), Los Angeles, California, in the case of United States vs. [REDACTED] and Others.

b6 - 2
b7C - 2

A review of Los Angeles Division indices reflects several references to include Los Angeles files [REDACTED] and [REDACTED] Aforementioned files are all related to a 12/4/69, bank robbery of the [REDACTED]

b6 - 2
b7C - 2

[REDACTED] and [REDACTED] were charged with the armed and dangerous robbery of that institution. The files reflect volumes of investigative materials and might be made available per your request.

2 - Boston (Enc. 2)
1 - Los Angeles

TPM/cks
(3)

79-262-297

SEARCHED	INDEXED
SERIALIZED	FILED
MAY 28 1976	
FBI - BOSTON	

Approved: RFG/ly
Special Agent in Charge

Sent _____ M Per _____

FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

b6 - 5
b7C - 5
b7D - 1

Date of transcription 4/13/76

[redacted] was interviewed at the [redacted]
[redacted] at which time
he furnished the following information:

During the approximate period 1952 through January,
1975, he was in [redacted]

b6 - 5
b7C - 5
b7D - 1

During this period, [redacted]

b6 - 5, 6
b7C - 5, 6
b7D - 1

At one point, [redacted]

b6 - 5, 6
b7C - 5, 6
b7D - 1

One day, [redacted]

Interviewed on 4/6/76

File #

BS-179-262-298
Miami 179-469-6

SA'S [redacted]

ND
P:lfw

Date dictated 4/12/76

b6 - 1
b7C - 1
b7D - 1

FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

Date of transcription 5/27/76

[redacted] was interviewed at the [redacted]
[redacted] at which time
he was furnished with a copy of a photograph of a building
bearing the name [redacted] b6 - 5, 6
b7C - 5, 6
b7D - 1

Upon viewing this photograph, [redacted] stated he is
certain that the building pictured in the photograph is the
building he visited with [redacted] some time during
early 1974, on which occasion [redacted]
[redacted]

[redacted] placed his initials and the date on the reverse
side of this photograph.

PS-179-860-299

Interviewed on 5/26/76 at [redacted] File # Miami 179-469
SA'S [redacted] AND [redacted] b6 - 1
by [redacted] FJP:lfw Date dictated 5/26/76 b7C - 1
b7D - 1

FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

Date of transcription 5/27/76

1

[redacted] was interviewed at the [redacted]
[redacted] at which time
he was furnished with a copy of a photograph of a building
bearing the name [redacted]

b6 - 5, 6
b7C - 5, 6
b7D - 1

Upon viewing this photograph, [redacted] stated he is
certain that the building pictured in the photograph is the
building he visited with [redacted] some time during
early 1974, on which occasion [redacted]
[redacted]

[redacted] placed his initials and the date on the reverse
side of this photograph.

Interviewed on 5/26/76

at [redacted]

File # Miami 179-469

by SA'S [redacted]

AND

JP:lfw

Date dictated

5/26/76

b6 - 1
b7C - 1
b7D - 1

UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT

Memorandum

TO : SAC, BOSTON (179-262)

FROM : *[Signature]* SAC, MIAMI (179-469) (RUC)

SUBJECT: *[Redacted]* aka;
[Redacted]
VICTIM
ECT

DATE: 5/27/76

b6 - 2, 7
b7C - 2, 7
b7D - 1

(OO: BOSTON)

Re Miami letter to Boston dated 4/14/76.

b6 - 5
b7C - 5
b7D - 1

Enclosed for Boston are an original and 8 copies of an FD-302 reflecting an interview with *[Redacted]* and one photograph of a building which bears the sign *[Redacted]*.


For the information of Boston, *[Redacted]* at the time he was interviewed, stated that a search of his personal affect has failed to locate either of *[Redacted]*. He stated he would continue to be alert for *[Redacted]* and, in the event he located them, he would communicate with the Miami Office to make them available.

b6 - 5
b7C - 5
b7D - 1

Also enclosed is the original FD-302 of *[Redacted]* interview on 4/6/76, *[Redacted]*

b6 - 5
b7C - 5
b7D - 1

2 - Boston (Enc. 11)
1 - Miami
FJP:lfw
(3)



b6 - 1
b7C - 1



5010-110

Buy U.S. Savings Bonds Regularly on the Payroll Savings Plan

FBI(20-cv-3269)-3094

NR 003 BS PLAIN

5:30PM NITEL JUNE 1, 1976

TO: ATLANTA

CINCINNATI

FROM: BOSTON 179-262 P

b6 - 2, 7
b7C - 2, 7
b7D - 1

[REDACTED] AKA, ET AL; [REDACTED] - VICTIM, ECT
RE BOSTON AIRTEL TO CINCINNATI, MAY 13, 1976 AND CINCINNATI
TELEPHONE CALL TO BOSTON STRIKE FORCE, MAY 23, 1976.

FOR INFORMATION OF ATLANTA, CAPTIONED MATTER CONCERNS FOUR
INDEPENDENT CASES INVOLVING A TOTAL OF 11 DEFENDANTS. TWO OF
THE CASES HAVE BEEN DISPOSED OF THROUGH PLEAS OR AS THE RESULT
OF CONVICTIONS FOLLOWING TRIAL BY JURIES. THIRD CASE SCHEDULED
TO BEGIN UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT, BOSTON, MASSACHUSETTS
ON JUNE 9, 1976.

DURING LAST TRIAL, DEFENSE ATTEMPTED TO INTRODUCE TESTIMONY
TO DISCREDIT [REDACTED] IN ALL [REDACTED]
FOUR CASES BY THE TESTIMONY OF [REDACTED] ACCORDING [REDACTED]

[REDACTED]
IN RECENT INTERVIEW OF [REDACTED] DETERMINED DEFENSE ATTORNEYS
HAVE SCHEDULED TRIAL TO BEGIN NEXT WEEK, ALSO INTEND INTRODUCING
[REDACTED] TESTIMONY. [REDACTED], IS
HESITANT TO TRAVEL TO BOSTON IN ORDER TO TESTIFY FOR THE DEFENSE.

SEARCHED

SERIALIZED

INDEXED

FILED

179-262-301

b6 - 1
b7C - 1

PAGE TWO BS 179-262

[REDACTED] MENTIONED A [REDACTED]

[REDACTED], MAY TESTIFY FOR THE DEFENSE INASMUCH AS [REDACTED]

b6 - 2, 6
b7C - 2, 6
b7D - 1

ALLEGEDLY WITNESS [REDACTED]

BOSTON DESIROUS OF DETERMINING IF [REDACTED] IS TO BE DEFENSE
WITNESS AND NATURE OF HIS TESTIMONY IN THE EVENT HE DOES SO.

ACCORDINGLY, ATLANTA IS REQUESTED TO INTERVIEW [REDACTED]

AT [REDACTED] AS OUTLINED ABOVE. INTERVIEW OF

[REDACTED] SHOULD BE CONDUCTED ON AN EXPEDITE BASIS IN VIEW OF

b6 - 2, 6
b7C - 2, 6
b7D - 1

IMMEDIATE TRIAL DATE.

CINCINNATI SHOULD ADVISE ATLANTA OF ANY FURTHER DETAILS
OF [REDACTED] INTERVIEW IF DEEMED APPROPRIATE.

END.

FBI

Date: 6/1/76

PLAINTEXT

Transmit the following in _____
(Type in plaintext or code)

TELETYPE

NITEL

Via _____
(Precedence)

TO: ATLANTA

CINCINNATI

FROM: BOSTON 179-262 P

b6 - 2, 7
b7C - 2, 7
b7D - 1

[REDACTED] AKA, ET AL; [REDACTED] - VICTIM, ECT

RE BOSTON AIRTEL TO CINCINNATI, MAY 13, 1976 AND CINCINNATI
TELEPHONE CALL TO BOSTON STRIKE FORCE, MAY 28, 1976.

FOR INFORMATION OF ATLANTA, CAPTIONED MATTER CONCERNS FOUR
INDEPENDENT CASES INVOLVING A TOTAL OF 11 DEFENDANTS. TWO OF
THE CASES HAVE BEEN DISPOSED OF THROUGH PLEAS OR AS THE RESULT
OF CONVICTIONS FOLLOWING TRIAL BY JURIES. THIRD CASE SCHEDULED
TO BEGIN UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT, BOSTON, MASSACHUSETTS
ON JUNE 9, 1976.

DURING LAST TRIAL, DEFENSE ATTEMPTED TO INTRODUCE TESTIMONY
TO DISCREDIT [REDACTED] IN ALL

b6 - 2, 7
b7C - 2, 7
b7D - 1

FOUR CASES BY THE TESTIMONY OF [REDACTED] ACCORDING

IN RECENT INTERVIEW OF [REDACTED] DETERMINED DEFENSE ATTORNEYS
[REDACTED] HAVE SCHEDULED TRIAL TO BEGIN NEXT WEEK, ALSO INTEND INTRODUCING
[REDACTED] TESTIMONY. [REDACTED] IS

HESITANT TO TRAVEL TO BOSTON IN ORDER TO TESTIFY FOR THE DEFENSE.

SEARCHED

SERIALIZED

Approved: [Signature]

Special Agent in Charge

Sent

M Per [Signature]

b6 - 1
b7C - 1

FBI(20-cv-3269)-3097

179-262-301

F B I

Date:

Transmit the following in _____
(Type in plaintext or code)Via _____
(Precedence)

PAGE TWO BS 179-262

[REDACTED] MENTIONED A [REDACTED]

[REDACTED] MAY TESTIFY FOR THE DEFENSE INASMUCH AS [REDACTED]

b6 - 2, 6
b7C - 2, 6
b7D - 1

ALLEGEDLY WITNESS [REDACTED].

BOSTON DESIROUS OF DETERMINING IF [REDACTED] IS TO BE DEFENSE
WITNESS AND NATURE OF HIS TESTIMONY IN THE EVENT HE DOES SO.

ACCORDINGLY, ATLANTA IS REQUESTED TO INTERVIEW [REDACTED]

AT [REDACTED] AS OUTLINED ABOVE. INTERVIEW OF

[REDACTED] SHOULD BE CONDUCTED ON AN EXPEDITE BASIS IN VIEW OF

b6 - 2, 6
b7C - 2, 6
b7D - 1

IMMEDIATE TRIAL DATE.

CINCINNATI SHOULD ADVISE ATLANTA OF ANY FURTHER DETAILS

OF [REDACTED] INTERVIEW IF DEEMED APPROPRIATE.

END.

Approved: _____ Sent _____ M Per _____
Special Agent in Charge

79-262-302

SEARCHED	INDEXED
SERIALIZED	FILED
MAY 2 1976	
FBI - BOSTON	

b6 - 1
b7C - 1

unprocessed

1

FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

Date of transcription 5/21/76

[redacted] was contacted at his residence located at [redacted]

b6 - 5
b7C - 5
b7D - 1

[redacted] was advised of the identity of the interviewing Agent and the purpose for the interview.

b6 - 5, 7
b7C - 5, 7
b7D - 1

[redacted] advised that he was [redacted]

Interviewed on 5/19/76

at [redacted]

File #

CI 179-82

b6 - 1

BS 179-262

b7C - 1

b7D - 1

by [redacted] /pagDate dictated 5/20/76

CI 179-82
BS 179-262
RWF:pag
1

b6 - 1
b7C - 1

The following investigation was conducted by
SA [redacted] at Columbus, Ohio, on May 19, 1976:

b6 - 2, 3
b7C - 2, 3

The records of the Franklin County Sheriff's Office, Identification Division, under their identification number [redacted] indicate that [redacted].

Lieutenant [redacted] and Sergeant [redacted] determined that this case was dismissed apparently since witness failed to appear for court purposes.

b6 - 2, 3
b7C - 2, 3

[redacted] was again arrested by the Franklin County Sheriff's Office (FCSO). [redacted]

[redacted] Detective [redacted] advised that on [redacted] these charges were dismissed at the request of the complaining witness.

A review of the Franklin County records developed the following physical description:

Sex: Male
Race: White
Date of birth: [redacted]
Height: 6'
Weight: 240 pounds
Social Security Number: [redacted]
FBI Number: [redacted]

b6 - 1, 2
b7C - 1, 2

The following investigation was conducted by
SA [redacted] at Columbus, Ohio:

b6 - 2
b7C - 2

A check with the U.S. Clerk of Court's Office, Columbus, Ohio, on the afternoon of April 14, 1976, determined that criminal number [redacted] shows that [redacted] was indicted on September 23, 1970, in the Southern District of Ohio, Columbus, Ohio, on 17 counts concerning

179-262-303

SEARCHED	INDEXED
SERIALIZED	FILED
MAY 27 1976	
FBI - COLUMBUS	

b6 - 1
b7C - 1

CI 179-82
BS 179-262

2

firearms violations, that included violations of Title 26, U.S. Code, Section 5861 (a) (c) (d) (e) (f); Title 26, U.S. Code, Section 5871; Title 18, U.S. Code, Section 922 (a) (1) and (a) (5); and Title 18, U.S. Code, Section 924 (a).

A further review of court records shows that [redacted] pled guilty to count one of the 17 counts and on February 26, 1971, he was sentenced to two years in custody of the U.S. Attorney General for his guilty plea on count one, which was Title 18, U.S. Code, Section 922 (a) (1), and Section 924 (a). Counts 2 through 17 were dismissed.

b6 - 2
b7C - 2

The file showed that attorneys for [redacted] made an appeal; however, the appeal was denied and the sentence stood as ordered. Judge JOSEPH P. KINNEARY presided in this matter in U.S. District Court, Southern District of Ohio, Columbus, Ohio.

b6 - 2
b7C - 2

The following automobiles were observed at the residence of [redacted] by SA [redacted] on May 19, 1976:

b6 - 1, 2
b7C - 1, 2

1976 Ohio license [redacted] listed to [redacted] on a 1970 Chrysler station wagon, vehicle identification number (VIN) [redacted]

1976 Ohio license [redacted] listed to [redacted] on a 1975 Jaguar, VIN [redacted] (It is noted that [redacted] is the owner of [redacted])

b6 - 2, 6
b7C - 2, 6

1976 Ohio license [redacted] listed to [redacted] of [redacted] on a 1971 Chevrolet coupe, VIN [redacted]

FBI

Date: 5/24/76

Transmit the following in _____
(Type in plaintext or code)Via AIRTEL _____
(Precedence)

TO: SAC, BOSTON (179-262)
 FROM: *mu2/66* SAC, CINCINNATI (179-82) (RUC)
 SUBJ: [REDACTED] /aka;
 [REDACTED] ET AL: [REDACTED]
 [REDACTED] - VICTIM
 ECT
 (OO: BS)

b6 - 2, 7
 b7C - 2, 7
 b7D - 1

ReBSairtel to CI, 5/13/76.

Enclosed for the Boston Division is one copy of a photograph of [REDACTED] taken 10/3/74; one copy of an FBI Identification Record for [REDACTED] under FBI # [REDACTED] the original and one copy of an FD-302 setting forth results of interview with [REDACTED] and the original and one copy of an investigative insert setting forth investigation conducted at Columbus, Ohio.

b6 - 5
 b7C - 5
 b7D - 1

For the information of the Boston Office, [REDACTED] is currently furnishing information to SA [REDACTED] regarding [REDACTED]

[REDACTED] This information is being disseminated to [REDACTED] of the Columbus office of the Alcohol, Tobacco and Firearms Division (ATFD). [REDACTED] name has come up during that investigation on several occasions and it is believed that he is currently [REDACTED]

b6 - 2, 4
 b7C - 2, 4
 b7D - 2, 3

[REDACTED] Investigation regarding this matter is continuing.

2 - Boston (Enc. 6)
 1 - Cincinnati

RWF:pag
 (3)

179-262-305

SEARCHED	INDEXED
SERIALIZED	FILED
MAY 27 1976	
ON	

b6 - 1
 b7C - 1

Approved: _____ Sent _____ M Per _____
 Special Agent in Charge

FBI(20-cv-3269)-3105

5/24/76

AIRTEL

TO: SAC, BOSTON (179-262)
FROM: SAC, CINCINNATI (179-82) (RUC)
SUBJ: [REDACTED] aka;
ET AL: [REDACTED] - VICTIM
ECT
(OO: BS)

b6 - 2, 7
b7C - 2, 7
b7D - 1

ReBSairtel to CI, 5/13/76.

Enclosed for the Boston Division is one copy of a photograph of [REDACTED] taken 10/3/74; one copy of an FBI Identification Record for [REDACTED] under FBI [REDACTED] the original and one copy of an FD-302 setting forth results of interview with [REDACTED] and the original and one copy of an investigative insert setting forth investigation conducted at Columbus, Ohio.

b6 - 5
b7C - 5
b7D - 1

For the information of the Boston Office, [REDACTED] is currently furnishing information to SA [REDACTED] regarding the [REDACTED]

[REDACTED] This information is being disseminated to [REDACTED] of the Columbus office of the Alcohol, Tobacco and Firearms Division (ATFD). [REDACTED] name has come up during that investigation on several occasions and it is believed that he is currently [REDACTED]

b6 - 2, 4
b7C - 2, 4
b7D - 2, 3

[REDACTED] Investigation regarding [REDACTED] this matter is continuing.

- (2) - Boston (Enc. 6)
1 - Cincinnati

BWT:pag
(3)

179-262-305

SEARCHED	INDEXED
SERIALIZED	FILED
MAY 27 1976	
FBI-BOSTON	

FBI(20-cv-3269)-3106

NR 016 SL PLAIN

11:25 PM NITEL 6-1-76 RJF

TO BOSTON (179-262)

FROM ST. LOUIS (179-NPRC-1) (RUC)

b6 - 2, 6, 7
b7C - 2, 6, 7
b7D - 1

[REDACTED] AKA; ET AL; [REDACTED] VICTIM; ECT.

RE BOSTON NITEL TO ST. LOUIS, MAY 27, 1976.

PERSONNEL, NATIONAL PERSONNEL RECORDS CENTER-MILITARY BRANCH,
ST. LOUIS, MISSOURI, ADVISED NO RECORD ON [REDACTED] OR [REDACTED]

[REDACTED] COULD BE LOCATED ON BASIS INFORMATION FURNISHED. DATA NEEDED INCLUDES NAMES SERVED UNDER, ARMY SERVICE NUMBER, DATES OF SERVICE OR SPECIFIC ORGANIZATION TO WHICH ASSIGNED WITH DATE OF ASSIGNMENT.

PERSONNEL ABOVE CENTER FURTHER ADVISED IT IS POSSIBLE SERVICE RECORDS PERTAINING TO ABOVE INDIVIDUALS MAY HAVE BEEN DESTROYED BY FIRE AT NATIONAL PERSONNEL RECORDS CENTER, MILITARY, JULY, 1973.

END

KPC FBI BS

179-262-308

SEARCHED	INDEXED
SERIALIZED	FILED
JUN 02 1976	
FBI - BOSTON	

[REDACTED]

b6 - 1
b7C - 1

FBI(20-cv-3269)-3111

NR016 SL PLAIN

11:25 PM NITEL 6-1-76 RJF

TO BOSTON (179-262)

FROM ST. LOUIS (179-NPRC-M) (RUC)

b6 - 2, 6, 7
b7C - 2, 6, 7
b7D - 1

[REDACTED] AKA; ET AL; [REDACTED] -VICTIM; ECT.

RE BOSTON NITEL TO ST. LOUIS, MAY 27, 1976.

PERSONNEL, NATIONAL PERSONNEL RECORDS CENTER-MILITARY BRANCH,
ST. LOUIS, MISSOURI, ADVISED NO RECORD ON [REDACTED] OR [REDACTED]

[REDACTED] COULD BE LOCATED ON BASIS INFORMATION FURNISHED. DATA NEEDED INCLUDES NAMES SERVED UNDER, ARMY SERVICE NUMBER, DATES OF SERVICE OR SPECIFIC ORGANIZATION TO WHICH ASSIGNED WITH DATE OF ASSIGNMENT.

PERSONNEL ABOVE CENTER FURTHER ADVISED IT IS POSSIBLE SERVICE RECORDS PERTAINING TO ABOVE INDIVIDUALS MAY HAVE BEEN DESTROYED BY FIRE AT NATIONAL PERSONNEL RECORDS CENTER, MILITARY, JULY, 1973.

END

KPC FBI BS

179-262-308

SEARCHED	INDEXED
SERIALIZED	FILED
JUN 02 1976	
FBI - BOSTON	

FBI(20-cv-3269)-3112

C-3

NR011 AT PLAIN

5:15 PM NITEL 6-2-76 HLF

TO: SAC, BOSTON (179-262)
SAC, CINCINNATI

FROM: SAC, ATLANTA (179-77) (RUC)

[REDACTED] AKA; ET AL; [REDACTED]

- VICTIM,

b6 - 2, 7
b7C - 2, 7
b7D - 1

ECT.

RE BOSTON NITEL TO ATLANTA AND CINCINNATI JUNE 1, 1976.

[REDACTED], USP,

b6 - 3, 6
b7C - 3, 6

ATLANTA, ADVISED THERE IS NO RECORD OF [REDACTED] AS
CURRENT INMATE, TRANSIENT OR DISCHARGED INMATE AT USP, ATLANTA.

END

BESMGC FOR ONE

TKS

6-2-76
[initials]

179-262-309

SEARCHED	INDEXED
SERIALIZED	FILED
JUN 02 1976	
FBI - BOSTON	

[initials]

b6 - 1
b7C - 1

NR011 AT PLAIN

5:15 PM NITEL 6-2-76 HLF

TO: SAC, BOSTON (179-262)

SAC, CINCINNATI

FROM: SAC, ATLANTA (179-77) (RUC)

b6 - 2, 7
b7C - 2, 7
b7D - 1

[REDACTED], AKA; ET AL; [REDACTED] - VICTIM,
ECT.


RE BOSTON NITEL TO ATLANTA AND CINCINNATI JUNE 1, 1976.

[REDACTED], USP,
ATLANTA, ADVISED THERE IS NO RECORD OF [REDACTED] AS
CURRENT INMATE, TRANSIENT OR DISCHARGED INMATE AT USP, ATLANTA.
END

b6 - 3, 6
b7C - 3, 6

BESMGC FOR ONE

TKS



FBI(20-cv-3269)-3114

C-3

NR 013 CI PIN

5 51 PM NITEL 6/2/76 GEB

TO ATLANTA

BOSTON (179-262)

b6 - 2
b7C - 2

FROM CINCINNATI (179-32) (RUC)

[REDACTED] AKA. ET AL, ECT, OO: BOSTON.

RE BOSTON NITEL TO CINCINNATI AND ATLANTA, JUNE 1, 1976.

[REDACTED] CONTACTED INSTANT DATE BY SA [REDACTED]

b6 - 5, 6
b7C - 5, 6
b7D - 1


[REDACTED] ADVISED [REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

WHEN [REDACTED] ORIGINALLY CONTACTED ON MAY 19, 1976, HE STATED

THAT [REDACTED]

[REDACTED] AFTER THINKING THIS OVER, [REDACTED]



b6 - 1
b7C - 1

179-262-310

b6 - 5, 6
b7C - 5, 6
b7D - 1

PAGE TWO, CI 179-32

[REDACTED] COULD THINK OF NO ADDITIONAL INFORMATION WHICH WOULD
BE OF ASSISTANCE IN LOCATING OR IDENTIFYING [REDACTED] UNLESS
SPECIFICALLY REQUESTED, NO FURTHER INVESTIGATION BEING CONDUCTED
AT CINCINNATI.

END

BS MGC FOR ONE
TKS

NR 013 CI PIN

5 51 PM NITEL 6/2/76 GEB

TO ATLANTA

BOSTON (179-262)

FROM CINCINNATI (179-32) (RUC)

b6 - 2

b7C - 2

[REDACTED] AKA. ET AL, ECT, OO: BOSTON.

RE BOSTON NITEL TO CINCINNATI AND ATLANTA, JUNE 1, 1976.

b6 - 5, 6

b7C - 5, 6

b7D - 1

[REDACTED] CONTACTED. INSTANT DATE BY SA [REDACTED]

[REDACTED] ADVISED [REDACTED]

WHEN [REDACTED] ORIGINALLY CONTACTED ON MAY 19, 1976, HE STATED

THAT [REDACTED]

[REDACTED] AFTER THINKING THIS OVER, [REDACTED]

179-262-310

SEARCHED	INDEXED
SERIALIZED	FILED
JUN 02 1976	
FBI - BOSTON	

FBI(20-cv-3269)-3117

FBI

Date: 6/2/76

Transmit the following in PLAIN

(Type in plaintext or code)

Via URGENT

(Precedence)

TO ADIC, LOS ANGELES (179-722)
FROM BOSTON (179-262) (P)

VICTIM; ECT

[REDACTED] AKA; ET AL; [REDACTED]

b6 - 2, 7
b7C - 2, 7
b7D - 1

REBSAIRTEL TO LA, 5/10/76 AND LA AIRTEL TO BS, 5/24/76.

REFERENCED LA AIRTEL FORWARDED BOSTON CERTIFIED AND
EXEMPLIFIED COPIES DATED 5/18/76 REFLECTING CRIMINAL
DOCKETS FROM USDC, LOS ANGELES, CALIF. IN CASE OF UNITED
STATES VERSUS [REDACTED] AND OTHERS.

b6 - 2
b7C - 2

MATERIAL FORWARDED TO BOSTON NOT SUFFICIENT TO
INTRODUCE FOR EVIDENTIARY PURPOSES IN ORDER TO IMPEACH
[REDACTED] IN EVENT HE TAKES WITNESS STAND. BOSTON MUST
HAVE CERTIFIED AND EXEMPLIFIED COPY OF JUDGEMENT ITSELF
IN ABOVEMENTIONED CASE, [REDACTED]. 179-262-311

TRIAL DATE IN THIS MATTER SET FOR 6/9/76 IN USDC,
BOSTON, MASSACHUSETTS; THEREFORE, LOS ANGELES REQUESTED TO
IMMEDIATELY OBTAIN CERTIFIED AND EXEMPLIFIED COPY OF
JUDGEMENT AND FORWARD TO BOSTON BY MOST EXPEDITIOUS METHOD.

END.

JMM/dn

Approved: [REDACTED]

Special Agent in Charge

Sent [REDACTED]

FBI(20-cv-3269)-3119
GPO: 1975 O - 590-992

F B I

Date: 6/2/76

Transmit the following in PLAIN

(Type in plaintext or code)

Via URGENT

(Precedence)

TO ADIC, LOS ANGELES (179-722) - *NHS*
FROM BOSTON (179-262) (P)

VICTIM; ECT

[REDACTED] AKA; ET AL; [REDACTED]

b6 - 2, 7
b7C - 2, 7
b7D - 1

REBSAIRTEL TO LA, 5/10/76 AND LA AIRTEL TO BS, 5/24/76.
REFERENCED LA AIRTEL FORWARDED BOSTON CERTIFIED AND
EXEMPLIFIED COPIES DATED 5/18/76 REFLECTING CRIMINAL
DOCKETS FROM USDC, LOS ANGELES, CALIF. IN CASE OF UNITED
STATES VERSUS [REDACTED] AND OTHERS.

b6 - 2
b7C - 2

MATERIAL FORWARDED TO BOSTON NOT SUFFICIENT TO
INTRODUCE FOR EVIDENTIARY PURPOSES IN ORDER TO IMPEACH
[REDACTED] IN EVENT HE TAKES WITNESS STAND. BOSTON MUST
HAVE CERTIFIED AND EXEMPLIFIED COPY OF JUDGEMENT ITSELF
IN ABOVEMENTIONED CASE, CRIMINAL [REDACTED]

TRIAL DATE IN THIS MATTER SET FOR 6/9/76 IN USDC,
BOSTON, MASSACHUSETTS; THEREFORE, LOS ANGELES REQUESTED TO
IMMEDIATELY OBTAIN CERTIFIED AND EXEMPLIFIED COPY OF
JUDGEMENT AND FORWARD TO BOSTON BY MOST EXPEDITIOUS METHOD.
END.

179-262-311

JMM/dn

Approved: _____

Special Agent in Charge

SEARCHED

SERIALIZED

INDEXED

FILED

Sent

M

Per

GPO : 1975 O - 590-992

FBI(20-cv-3269)-3120

NR012 BS PLAIN

6:15PM NITEL MAY 27, 1976

TO: ST. LOUIS (179-NPRC-M)

FROM: BOSTON (179-262) (P)

b6 - 2, 7
b7C - 2, 7
b7D - 1

[REDACTED], AKA ET AL; [REDACTED]
VICTIM ECT

RE BOSTON AIRTEL TO ST. LOUIS DATED DECEMBER 9, 1975.

FOR INFORMATION OF ST. LOUIS, THIRD OF FOUR TRIALS
IN CAPTIONED MATTER SCHEDULED TO BEGIN IN USDC, BOSTON,
MASSACHUSETTS ON JUNE 9, 1976. BOSTON ANTICIPATES ONE
OF DEFENSE WITNESSES TO BE [REDACTED]

b6 - 2, 7
b7C - 2, 7
b7D - 1

[REDACTED]
ACCORDING TO [REDACTED]
[REDACTED]

ST. LOUIS, AT NATIONAL PERSONNEL RECORDS CENTER -
MILITARY, REQUESTED TO REVIEW RECORDS OF [REDACTED]

b6 - 2, 7
b7C - 2, 7
b7D - 1

[REDACTED] TO DETERMINE IF [REDACTED]
MAY HAVE ENTERED MILITARY SERVICE FOR [REDACTED].

END

179-262-313
[REDACTED]
SEARCHED
SERIALIZED
INDEXED
FILED

gum
b6 - 1
b7C - 1

FBI(20-cv-3269)-3123

FBI

Date: 5/27/76

Transmit the following in PLAINTEXT
(Type in plaintext or code)Via TELETYPE NITEL
(Precedence)

TO: ST. LOUIS (179-NPRC-M)

FROM: BOSTON (179-262) (P)

b6 - 2, 7
b7C - 2, 7
b7D - 1

[REDACTED] AKA ET AL; [REDACTED]

VICTIM ECT

RE BOSTON AIRTEL TO ST. LOUIS DATED DECEMBER 9, 1975.

FOR INFORMATION OF ST. LOUIS, THIRD OF FOUR TRIALS
IN CAPTIONED MATTER SCHEDULED TO BEGIN IN USDC, BOSTON,
MASSACHUSETTS ON JUNE 9, 1976. BOSTON ANTICIPATES ONE

OF DEFENSE WITNESSES TO BE [REDACTED]
[REDACTED]b6 - 2, 7
b7C - 2, 7
b7D - 1ACCORDING TO VICTIM, [REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]

ST. LOUIS, AT NATIONAL PERSONNEL RECORDS CENTER -

MILITARY, REQUESTED TO REVIEW RECORDS OF [REDACTED]

[REDACTED] TO DETERMINE IF [REDACTED]

b6 - 2, 7
b7C - 2, 7
b7D - 1

MAY HAVE ENTERED MILITARY SERVICE FOR LAMATTINA.

END

SEARCHED

SERIALIZED

INDEXED

FILED

Approved: [REDACTED]
Special Agent in Charge

Sent [REDACTED] M Per [REDACTED]

SUMMARY OF PROSECUTIVE ACTION IN ORGANIZED CRIME CASES

ENTER AN X IN APPROPRIATE BLOCK

Date 5/11/76

- ☐ New Case
- ☒ Additional Data or Modification of Previously Submitted Information
- ☐ Complete Deletion of Entire Record (Give reason for deletion in block #50)

PART 1 - IDENTIFICATION INFORMATION (This information must always be provided)

b6 - 2

b7C - 2

01 Field Office Abbreviation BS	02 Field Office File Number 179-262	03 Bureau File No. 179-1143
04 Subject's Name (Last Name First - One Subject per Form)		05 Total Number of Subjects in Case 11

PART 2 - INDICTMENT OR COMPLAINT INFORMATION (Not required if submitted previously)

20 Type of Process <input type="checkbox"/> A Indictment <input type="checkbox"/> B Complaint <input type="checkbox"/> C Other (Describe)	21 Statute(s) Charged A Title Sect B Title Sect C Title Sect D Title Sect	22 Date(s) of Process A / / B / / C / / D / /	23 Title III <input type="checkbox"/> A X if Title III coverage was in connection with process <input type="checkbox"/> B <input type="checkbox"/> C <input type="checkbox"/> D	24 <input type="checkbox"/> X if Subject is an LCN Member (If X'd, complete Blocks 25 and 26)
25 LCN Family Name				
26 LCN Rank <input type="checkbox"/> A Boss <input type="checkbox"/> B Underboss <input type="checkbox"/> C Consigliere <input type="checkbox"/> D Capodecina <input type="checkbox"/> E Former Leader <input type="checkbox"/> F Soldier <input type="checkbox"/> G Proposed Member <input type="checkbox"/> H Possible Member <input type="checkbox"/> I Unknown <input type="checkbox"/> J Other (Describe)				

PART 3 - CONVICTION DATA

30 Conviction Statute(s)	31 Conviction Date(s)	32 Sentence Date(s)	33 Actual Jail Term(s)	34 Suspended Sentence(s)	35 Probated Sentence(s)	36 Fines Imposed
A Title 18 Sect 892	A 4 / 16 / 76	A 5 / 7 / 76	A 8 yrs mos	A yrs mos	A yrs mos	A \$10,000
B Title 18 Sect 894	B 4 / 16 / 76	B 5 / 7 / 76	B yrs mos	B yrs mos	B yrs mos	B
C Title 18 Sect 2	C 4 / 16 / 76	C 5 / 7 / 76	C yrs mos	C yrs mos	C yrs mos	C
D Title Sect	D / /	D / /	D yrs mos	D yrs mos	D yrs mos	D
37 Conviction Comments						

PART 4 - PROSECUTION DISMISSED OR ACQUITTED

40 Statute(s) Dismissed A Title Sect B Title Sect C Title Sect D Title Sect	41 Date(s) Dismissed A / / B / / C / / D / /	42 Reason
---	--	-----------

PART 5 - MISCELLANEOUS COMMENTS

50 Sentenced to total of eight years and \$10,000	51 Was Form #2 Submitted? <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> Yes Date Submitted
--	---

1 - Bureau 2 - Boston (1 - 179-262) (1 - 94-536)

FBI(20-cv-3269)-3125

179-262-314

Boston Police

BUREAU OF INSPECTIONAL SERVICES

GENERAL INFORMATION RECORD

REPORT RE:

DATE

PAGE 1 OF

DISSEMINATION, NO DISSEMINATION

TO

IDENTIFICATIONS

b6 - 1, 2, 3

b7C - 1, 2, 3

b6 - 2, 6

b7C - 2, 6

179-262-315

97-2240

SEARCHED INDEXED
SERIAL FILEDb6 - 1
b7C - 1

JUN 3 1996

INVESTIGATOR

FBI(20-cv-3269)-3126

NR001 AT PLAIN

10:12 AM URGENT 6-4-76 LTM

TO PHILADELPHIA

CINCINNATI

BOSTON (179-262)

FROM ATLANTA (179-77) (RUC)

b6 - 2, 7
b7C - 2, 7
b7D - 1

[REDACTED] AKA; ET AL; [REDACTED] - VICTIM; ECT

RE BOSTON NITEL TO ATLANTA, JUNE 1, 1976, AND CINCINNATI
NITEL TO ATLANTA, JUNE 2, 1976.

FOR THE INFO OF THE PHILADELPHIA OFFICE, RE BOSTON NITEL
READ AS FOLLOWS:

CAPTIONED MATTER CONCERNS FOUR INDEPENDENT CASES INVOLVING
A TOTAL OF 11 DEFENDENTS. TWO OF THE CASES HAVE BEEN DISPOSED OF
THROUGH PLEAS OR AS THE RESULT OF CONVICTIONS FOLLOWING TRIAL
BY JURIES. THIRD CASE SCHEDULED TO BEGIN USDC, BOSTON, MASSACHU-
SETTS ON JUNE 9, 1976.

DURING LAST TRIAL, DEFENSE ATTEMPTED TO INTRODUCE TESTIMONY
TO DISCREDIT [REDACTED] IN ALL

b6 - 2, 7
b7C - 2, 7
b7D - 1

FOUR CASES BY THE TESTIMONY OF [REDACTED] ACCORDING

179-262-316

SEARCHED	INDEXED
SERIALIZED	FILED
JUN 4 1976	
FBI BOSTON	

[REDACTED]

b6 - 1
b7C - 1

BI(20-cv-3269)-3127

b6 - 2, 6
b7C - 2, 6
b7D - 1

PAGE TWO AT (179-77)

CINCINNATI, IN RECENT INTERVIEW OF [REDACTED] DETERMINED DEFENSE ATTORNEYS HAVE SCHEDULED TRIAL TO BEGIN NEXT WEEK, ALSO INTEND INTRODUCING [REDACTED] TESTIMONY. [REDACTED]

[REDACTED] IS HESITANT TO TRAVEL TO BOSTON IN ORDER TO TESTIFY FOR THE DEFENSE. [REDACTED] MENTIONED [REDACTED]

INCARCERATED [REDACTED] MAY TESTIFY FOR THE DEFENSE INASMUCH AS [REDACTED]

b6 - 2, 6
b7C - 2, 6
b7D - 1

BOSTON DESIROUS OF DETERMINING IF [REDACTED] IS TO BE DEFENSE WITNESS AND NATURE OF HIS TESTIMONY IN THE EVENT HE DOES SO.

CINCINNATI OFFICE DETERMINED THAT INDIVIDUAL REFERRED TO IS [REDACTED] W/M, LARGE NOSE, SANDY HAIR, RECEDING HAIRLINE, MID 30'S, 6', 190 LBS., WELL BUILT (WEIGHT LIFTER), "HARD NOSED" AND TOUGH. [REDACTED] ORIGINALLY FROM [REDACTED] AND MAY HAVE BEEN ARRESTED IN [REDACTED] WHEN ORIGI-

NALY CONTACTED BY [REDACTED] PRESENT DURING [REDACTED] HOWEVER, [REDACTED] NOW FEELS THAT [REDACTED] NOT PRESENT AT THE TIME. USP RECORDS, ATLANTA, INDICATE THAT [REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

PAGE THREE AT (179-77)

PHILADELPHIA AT LEWISBURG, PA., INTERVIEW



AS b6 - 6
b7c - 6

AS REQUESTED BY BOSTON OFFICE.

END

VJB FBI BS IS CLR TU

NR001 AT PLAIN

10:12 AM URGENT 6-4-76 LMM

TO PHILADELPHIA

CINCINNATI

BOSTON (179-262)

FROM ATLANTA (179-77) (RUC)

b6 - 2, 7
b7C - 2, 7
b7D - 1

[REDACTED], AKA; ET AL; [REDACTED] - VICTIM; ECT
RE BOSTON NITEL TO ATLANTA, JUNE 1, 1976, AND CINCINNATI
NITEL TO ATLANTA, JUNE 2, 1976.

FOR THE INFO OF THE PHILADELPHIA OFFICE, RE BOSTON NITEL
READ AS FOLLOWS:

CAPTIONED MATTER CONCERNS FOUR INDEPENDENT CASES INVOLVING
A TOTAL OF 11 DEFENDENTS. TWO OF THE CASES HAVE BEEN DISPOSED OF
THROUGH PLEAS OR AS THE RESULT OF CONVICTIONS FOLLOWING TRIAL
BY JURIES. THIRD CASE SCHEDULED TO BEGIN USDC, BOSTON, MASSACHU-
SETTS ON JUNE 9, 1976.

DURING LAST TRIAL, DEFENSE ATTEMPTED TO INTRODUCE TESTIMONY
TO DISCREDIT [REDACTED] IN ALL
FOUR CASES BY THE TESTIMONY OF [REDACTED] ACCORDING

b6 - 2, 7
b7C - 2, 7
b7D - 1

179-262-316

SEARCHED	INDEXED
SERIALIZED	FILED
JUN 04 1976	
FBI - BOSTON	

FBI(20-cv-3269)-3130

NR001 BS PLAIN

11:40AM URGENT JUNE 8, 1976 MCM

TO: MINNEAPOLIS

FROM: BOSTON (179-262) (P)

[REDACTED] AKA, ET AL; [REDACTED] - VICTIM;

b6 - 2, 7
b7C - 2, 7
b7D - 1

ECT

TRIAL IN CAPTIONED MATTER SCHEDULED TO BEGIN USDC, BOSTON, MASS. ON JUNE 9, 1976. DEFENSE INTENDS ON UTILIZING TESTIMONY

OF [REDACTED] WHITE MALE, DOB: [REDACTED]

ADDRESS [REDACTED] WITNESS.

b6 - 6
b7C - 6

[REDACTED] LISTED IN BACKGROUND FACT THAT HE GRADUATED FROM

[REDACTED] IN INTERVIEW

AND THROUGH OTHER INDEPENDENT INVESTIGATION HAS BEEN

INCONSISTENT AND LIED. BOSTON DESIROUS RESOLVING STATEMENT

[REDACTED] AS SET OUT

ABOVE.

b6 - 6
b7C - 6

MINNEAPOLIS REQUESTED TO VERIFY [REDACTED]

THE [REDACTED] AND SUTEL RESULTS IF POSSIBLE.

END

SLR FBI MP TU CLR

SEARCHED

SERIALIZED

INDEXED

FILED

179-262-319

b6 - 1
b7C - 1

FBI

Date: 6/8/76

SCANLAN

Transmit the following in PLAINTEXT
(Type in plaintext or code)Via TELETYPE URGENT
(Precedence)TO: MINNEAPOLIS - *SKR*

FROM: BOSTON (179-262) (P)

b6 - 2, 7
b7C - 2, 7
b7D - 1

[REDACTED] AKA, ET AL; [REDACTED] VICTIM;

ECT

TRIAL IN CAPTIONED MATTER SCHEDULED TO BEGIN USDC, BOSTON,
MASS. ON JUNE 9, 1976. DEFENSE INTENDS ON UTILIZING TESTIMONY
OF [REDACTED] WHITE MALE, DOB: [REDACTED]

[REDACTED] WITNESS.

b6 - 6
b7C - 6

[REDACTED] LISTED IN BACKGROUND FACT THAT HE GRADUATED FROM

[REDACTED] IN INTERVIEW

AND THROUGH OTHER INDEPENDENT INVESTIGATION HAS BEEN
INCONSISTENT AND LIED. BOSTON DESIROUS RESOLVING STATEMENT
[REDACTED] AS SET OUT
ABOVE.

MINNEAPOLIS REQUESTED TO VERIFY [REDACTED] GRADUATION FROM

b6 - 6
b7C - 6

[REDACTED] AND SUTEL RESULTS IF POSSIBLE.

END

SEARCHED

SERIALIZED

INDEXED

b6 - 1
b7C - 1Approved: *[Signature]*

Special Agent in Charge

Sent

11:40A

M

Per

man

NR003 PH PLAIN

3:38 PM URGENT 6/3/76 JER
TO: BOSTON (179-262)

b6 - 2, 6, 7
b7C - 2, 6, 7
b7D - 1

FROM: PHILADELPHIA (179-341) (SQ4) (RUC)

[REDACTED], AKA; ET AL; [REDACTED]; ECT

RE ATLANTA TELETYPE, JUNE 4, 1976.

INVESTIGATION AT [REDACTED] REVEALED

THAT [REDACTED] WAS RELEASED ON PAROLE ON
JULY 31, 1975, AND IS UNAVAILABLE FOR INTERVIEW.

END

THIS IS BS CLR MCM THANKS

179-262-320

SEARCHED	INDEXED
SERIALIZED	FILED
JUN 08 1976	
STON	

[REDACTED]

b6 - 1
b7C - 1

NR003 PH PLAIN

3:38 PM URGENT 6/8/76 JER
TO: BOSTON (179-262)

b6 - 2, 6, 7
b7C - 2, 6, 7
b7D - 1

FROM: PHILADELPHIA (179-341) (SQ 4) (RUC)

[REDACTED], AKA; ET AL; [REDACTED]-VICTIM; ECT

RE ATLANTA TELETYPE, JUNE 4, 1976.

INVESTIGATION AT [REDACTED] REVEALED

THAT [REDACTED], WAS RELEASED ON PAROLE ON
JULY 31, 1975, AND IS UNAVAILABLE FOR INTERVIEW.

END

THIS IS BS CLR MCM THANKS

79-860-220

SEARCHED	INDEXED
SERIALIZED	FILED
JUN 8 1976	
FBI - BOSTON	

[Signature]

NR002 MP PLAIN

1115 PM NITEL JUNE 8, 1976 DSC

TO BOSTON (179-262)

FROM MINNEAPOLIS (179-38) (RUC)

[REDACTED] AKA, ET AL [REDACTED] - VICTIM, ECT.

00: BS.

b6 - 2, 6, 7
b7C - 2, 6, 7
b7D - 1

RE BS TEL TO MP JUNE 8, 1976.

ON JUNE 8, 1976, [REDACTED] CLERK TYPIST, REGISTRAR'S OFFICE,

[REDACTED] ADVISED THAT [REDACTED]

[REDACTED] DOB [REDACTED]

ATTENDED THE UNIVERSITY OF

[REDACTED] AND OBTAINED A BS DEGREE IN [REDACTED] ON

[REDACTED] WITH A MAJOR IN [REDACTED].

END

KPC FBI BS

79-268-321

SEARCHED	INDEXED
SERIALIZED	FILED
JUN 09 1976	
BOSTON	

[REDACTED]

b6 - 1
b7C - 1

FBI(20-cv-3269)-3141

NR002 MP PLAIN

1115 PM NITEL JUNE 8, 1976 DSC

TO BOSTON (179-262)

FROM MINNEAPOLIS (179-38) (RUC)

[REDACTED] AKA, ET AL;

[REDACTED] - VICTIM, ECT.

OO: BS.

b6 - 2, 6, 7
b7C - 2, 6, 7
b7D - 1

RE BS TEL TO MP JUNE 8, 1976.

ON JUNE 8, 1976, [REDACTED] CLERK TYPIST, REGISTRAR'S OFFICE,

[REDACTED] ADVISED THAT [REDACTED]

[REDACTED] DOB [REDACTED]

ATTENDED THE UNIVERSITY OF

[REDACTED] AND OBTAINED A BS DEGREE IN [REDACTED] ON

[REDACTED] WITH A MAJOR IN [REDACTED]

END

KPC FBI BS

179-262-321

SEARCHED	INDEXED
SERIALIZED	FILED
JUN 09 1976	
FBI - BOSTON	

[Signature]

FBI(20-cv-3269)-3142

F B I

Date: 6/9/76

SCANLAN

Transmit the following in PLAINTEXT
(Type in plaintext or code)Via TELETYPE IMMEDIATE
(Precedence)TO: NEW YORK **CRG**

FROM: BOSTON (179-262) (P)

b6 - 2, 7
b7c - 2, 7
b7D - 1[REDACTED] AKA, ET AL; [REDACTED] VICTIM;
ECT

TRIAL IN CAPTIONED MATTER SCHEDULED TO BEGIN USDC, BOSTON,
MASS. ON JUNE 9, 1976. DEFENSE INTENDS ^{TO UTILIZE} ~~ON UTILIZING~~ TESTIMONY
OF [REDACTED], WHITE MALE, DOB: [REDACTED]
ADDRESS [REDACTED] WITNESS.

b6 - 6
b7c - 6

[REDACTED] LISTED IN BACKGROUND PAST EMPLOYMENT AS [REDACTED]

[REDACTED] INQUIRY AT THIS
^{REVEALS}
LOCATION ^{REVEALS} THAT EMPLOYMENT RECORDS ARE MAINTAINED BY

[REDACTED] TELEPHONE NUMBER [REDACTED]

[REDACTED] IN INTERVIEW AND THROUGH OTHER INDEPENDENT INVESTIGATION
HAS BEEN INCONSISTENT AND LIED. BOSTON DESIROUS RESOLVING
STATEMENT THAT [REDACTED] IS FORMER EMPLOYEE OF [REDACTED]

NEW YORK REQUESTED TO VERIFY EMPLOYMENT AND ~~ADVISE~~ ^{ADVISE} *Key*
THAT ~~IF~~ EMPLOYMENT RECORDS MAY POSSIBLY BE SUBPOENAED IF NOT
VOLUNTARILY FURNISHED BY [REDACTED]

NEW YORK SUTEL RESULTS.

END

malesh/gms

179-262-322

SEARCHED

SERIALIZED *BS*INDEXED *MA*Approved: *[Signature]*
Special Agent in ChargeSent *1014 A* M Per *RCM*b6 - 1
b7c - 1

GPO: 1975 O - 590-992

FBI(20-cv-3269)-3143

NR001 BS PLAIN

10:14 AM IMMEDIATE JUNE 9, 1976 RCM

TO: NEW YORK

FROM: BOSTON (179-262) (P)

b6 - 2, 7
b7C - 2, 7
b7D - 1

[REDACTED] AKA, ET AL; [REDACTED] - VICTIM;

ECT TRIAL IN CAPTIONED MATTER SCHEDULED TO BEGIN USDC, BOSTON,
MASS. ON JUNE 9, 1976. DEFENSE INTENDS TO UTILIZE TESTIMONY
OF [REDACTED] WHITE MALE, DOB: [REDACTED]

[REDACTED] WITNESS.

[REDACTED] LISTED IN BACKGROUND PAST EMPLOYMENT AS [REDACTED]

[REDACTED] INQUIRY AT THIS

b6 - 6
b7C - 6

LOCATION REVEALS THAT EMPLOYMENT RECORDS ARE MAINTAINED BY
[REDACTED]

[REDACTED] TELEPHONE NUMBER [REDACTED]

[REDACTED] IN INTERVIEW AND THROUGH OTHER INDEPENDENT INVESTIGATION
HAS BEEN INCONSISTENT AND LIED. BOSTON DESIROUS RESOLVING
STATEMENT THAT [REDACTED] IS FORMER EMPLOYEE OF [REDACTED]

NEW YORK REQUESTED TO VERIFY EMPLOYMENT AND ADVISE THAT
EMPLOYMENT RECORDS MAY POSSIBLY BE SUBPOENAED IF NOT
VOLUNTARILY FURNISHED BY [REDACTED]

NEW YORK SUTEL RESULTS.

END

FBI NY CRG

179-262-322

SEARCHED
SERIALIZED
INDEXED
FILED

FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

Date of transcription 6/10/76b6 - 5
b7C - 5

[redacted]
Personnel, Department of Youth Services, Commonwealth
of Massachusetts, 14 Somerset Street, Boston, Massachusetts,
provided the following information:

b6 - 5, 6
b7C - 5, 6

[redacted] after reviewing employment records of [redacted]
the Youth Services Department, advised that [redacted]
[redacted] Social Security Account Number [redacted]
[redacted] was employed
from [redacted]
[redacted] was promoted
to [redacted] and terminated on [redacted]
[redacted] stated that the records did not contain any
written evaluation of [redacted] performance or any mention
of a supervisor. However, the record reflected that his
attendance was good and his reason for termination was to
return to school.

Interviewed on 6/3/76 at Boston, Massachusetts File # BS 179-262-323
by SA [redacted] /pp Date dictated 6/9/76
b6 - 1
b7C - 1

- 1 -

This document contains neither recommendations nor conclusions of the FBI. It is the property of the FBI and is loaned to your agency;
it and its contents are not to be distributed outside your agency.

FBI(20-cv-3269)-3145

FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

Date of transcription 6/10/76

[redacted] Peter Condakes
Company, 34 Market Street, Everett, Massachusetts,
telephone number 389-7250, provided the following
information:

b6 - 5
b7C - 5

[redacted] after reviewing employment
records, advised that [redacted] Social
Security Account Number [redacted]

b6 - 5, 7
b7C - 5, 7

[redacted] was employed from [redacted]
[redacted] She added his
reason for termination was to establish his own business.

Interviewed on 6/2/76 at Everett, Massachusetts File # BS 179-262-324

by SA [redacted] /pp Date dictated 6/9/76 b6 - 1
b7C - 1

- 1 -

NR001 BS PLAIN
8:50AM URGENT JUNE 10, 1976 MCM

TO: NEW YORK

FROM: BOSTON (179-262) (P)

[REDACTED] AKA, ET AL; [REDACTED] - VICTIM;
ECT

b6 - 2, 7
b7C - 2, 7
b7D - 1

RE NEW YORK TELETYPE TO BOSTON, JUNE 9, 1976.

BOSTON WILL NOT BE ABLE TO INTRODUCE DIRECT TESTIMONY
OF [REDACTED] IN THIS MATTER INASMUCH AS COURT CONSIDERS IT
A COLLATERAL NATURE. COURT WILL ALLOW CROSS-EXAMINATION OF
[REDACTED] REGARDING ANY INCIDENTS WHICH WOULD DEMONSTRATE HIS
UNTRUTHFULNESS. ACCORDINGLY, NEW YORK REQUESTED TO OBTAIN
FROM [REDACTED] DETAILS OF [REDACTED] BEING FIRED TO INCLUDE SPECIFIC
DATES OF FALSIFIED REPORTS, FACTS SURROUNDING SAME AND
STATEMENTS OF [REDACTED] OR HIS SUPERVISORS. IDEALLY, NEW YORK
SHOULD FACSIMILE TO BOSTON COPIES OF [REDACTED]
FILE RE INCIDENT FOR FEDERAL CROSS-EXAMINATION PURPOSES.

b6 - 6
b7C - 6

NEW YORK REQUESTED TO SUTEL INASMUCH AS IT IS ANTICIPATED
THAT TESTIMONY RELATIVE TO THIS MATTER WILL TAKE PLACE ON
FRIDAY, JUNE 11, 1976 OR MONDAY, JUNE 14, 1976 AT THE LATEST.
END

GSG NYFBI CLR

179-262-325

SEARCHED
SERIALIZED
INDEXED
FILED

[REDACTED] [REDACTED]

b6 - 1
b7C - 1

FBI

Date: 6/10/76

SCANLAN

Transmit the following in PLAINTEXT
(Type in plaintext or code)Via TELETYPE URGENT
(Precedence)TO: NEW YORK - *GSC*

FROM: BOSTON (179-262) (P)

ECT

[REDACTED] AKA, ET AL; [REDACTED] - VICTIM; b6 - 2, 7
b7C - 2, 7
b7D - 1

RE NEW YORK TELETYPE TO BOSTON, JUNE 9, 1976.

BOSTON WILL NOT BE ABLE TO INTRODUCE DIRECT TESTIMONY
OF [REDACTED] IN THIS MATTER INASMUCH AS COURT CONSIDERS IT
A COLLATERAL NATURE. COURT WILL ALLOW CROSS-EXAMINATION OF

[REDACTED] REGARDING ANY INCIDENTS WHICH WOULD DEMONSTRATE HIS
UNTRUTHFULNESS. ACCORDINGLY, NEW YORK REQUESTED TO OBTAIN
FROM [REDACTED] DETAILS OF [REDACTED] BEING FIRED TO INCLUDE SPECIFIC
DATES OF FALSIFIED REPORTS, FACTS SURROUNDING SAME AND
STATEMENTS OF [REDACTED] OR HIS SUPERVISORS. IDEALLY, NEW YORK
SHOULD FACSIMILE TO BOSTON COPIES OF [REDACTED]
FILE RE INCIDENT FOR FEDERAL CROSS-EXAMINATION PURPOSES.

b6 - 6
b7C - 6

NEW YORK REQUESTED TO SUTEL INASMUCH AS IT IS ANTICIPATED
THAT TESTIMONY RELATIVE TO THIS MATTER WILL TAKE PLACE ON
FRIDAY, JUNE 11, 1976 OR MONDAY, JUNE 14, 1976 AT THE LATEST.
END

SEARCHED

SERIALIZED

INDEXED

FILED

Sent

179-868-325

b6 - 1
b7C - 1Approved: *RFB*

Special Agent in Charge

M

Per *Mem*

NR035 NY CODE

7:40PM I M M E D I A T E 6-9-76

TO: SAC, BOSTON (179-262) (P)

FROM: ADIC, NEW YORK (179-NEW)

b6 - 2, 7
b7C - 2, 7
b7D - 1

[REDACTED] AKA; ET AL; [REDACTED] - VICTIM

ECT RE BOSTON TELETYPE TO NEW YORK, JUNE 9, 1976.

ON JUNE 9,
FOUR FORTY EIGHT, 1976, [REDACTED]

[REDACTED] WAS CONTACTED BY SPECIAL AGENTS [REDACTED]

b6 - 1, 5, 6
b7C - 1, 5, 6

AND [REDACTED] REGARDING EMPLOYMENT OF [REDACTED]

[REDACTED] DOB [REDACTED], SOCIAL SECURITY ACCOUNT

NUMBER [REDACTED] ADVISED [REDACTED] WAS EMPLOYED BY THE

[REDACTED] AND THAT HE WAS DISCHARGED FROM THE COMPANY
BECAUSE IT WAS DISCOVERED THAT [REDACTED] FALSIFIED CALLS AND
REPORTS PERTAINING TO HIS WORK. HE ALSO ADVISED THAT WHEN [REDACTED]
LEFT THE COMPANY HE FAILED TO RETURN \$540 WORTH OF MERCHANDISE
WHICH WAS GIVEN TO HIM ON CONSIGNMENT. POLICE REPORT WAS
FILED BY COMPANY.

FD-302 WILL FOLLOW.

END.

6-9-76
9/1

179-262-326

SEARCHED	INDEXED
SERIALIZED	FILED
JUN 09 1976	
FBI(20-CV-3269)-3149	
BOSTON	

b6 - 1
b7C - 1

NR035 NY CODE

7:40PM I M M E D I A T E 6-9-76

TO: SAC, BOSTON (179-262) (P)

FROM: ADIC, NEW YORK (179-NEW)

b6 - 2, 7
b7C - 2, 7
b7D - 1

[REDACTED] AKA: ET AL; [REDACTED] - VICTIM

ECT RE BOSTON TELETYPE TO NEW YORK, JUNE 9, 1976.

ON JUNE 9,
RE BOSTON TELETYPE TO NEW YORK, JUNE 9, 1976.

[REDACTED] WAS CONTACTED BY SPECIAL AGENTS [REDACTED]

AND [REDACTED] REGARDING EMPLOYMENT OF [REDACTED]

b6 - 1, 5, 6
b7C - 1, 5, 6

[REDACTED] DOB [REDACTED] SOCIAL SECURITY ACCOUNT

NUMBER [REDACTED] ADVISED [REDACTED] WAS EMPLOYED BY THE

[REDACTED] AND THAT HE WAS DISCHARGED FROM THE COMPANY
BECAUSE IT WAS DISCOVERED THAT [REDACTED] FALSIFIED CALLS AND
REPORTS PERTAINING TO HIS WORK. HE ALSO ADVISED THAT WHEN [REDACTED]
LEFT THE COMPANY HE FAILED TO RETURN \$540 WORTH OF MERCHANDISE
WHICH WAS GIVEN TO HIM ON CONSIGNMENT. POLICE REPORT WAS
FILED BY COMPANY.

FD-302 WILL FOLLOW.

END.

179-262-320

SEARCHED	INDEXED
SERIALIZED	FILED
JUN 9 1976	
FBI - BOSTON	
FBI(20-cv-3269)-8150	

UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT

Memorandum

TO : SAC (179-262)

DATE: 6/8/76.

FROM : SA [redacted] *attn. SA*

b6 - 1, 2, 7
b7C - 1, 2, 7
b7D - 1

SUBJECT: [redacted] (P)

*aka et al
Victim
ECT.*

Re Memo SA [redacted]

5/17/76.

b6 - 1, 3
b7C - 1, 3

On 5/25/76 Sgt. [redacted] Record Bureau,
Lowell, Mass. PD advised that there has
been no disposition concerning the arrest
of [redacted] on 12/16/74. b6 - 2
He stated that [redacted] was on trial in b7C - 2
[redacted] on other charges during
the week of 5/17/76.

JMB
(1)



5010-110

179-262-327
SEARCHED INDEXED b6 - 1
SERIALIZED FILED b7C - 1

JUN 14 1976

FBI BOSTON

Buy U.S. Savings Bonds Regularly on the Payroll Savings Plan

FBI(20-cv-3269)-3151

FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

Date of transcription 6/10/76b6 - 5
b7C - 5

On June 9, 1976, [redacted]
Philip Morris Company, 100 Park Avenue, New York, New York,
telephone number 679-1800, furnished the following information:

[redacted] stated that [redacted] Social Security Account Number [redacted] date of birth [redacted] address [redacted] had worked for the Philip Morris Company as a [redacted]. He stated that [redacted] employment was terminated because it was discovered that he was falsifying calls and records.

He also stated that [redacted] failed to return \$540 worth of merchandise given to him on consignment, and that a police report was filed, regarding this shortage, by the company.

Interviewed on 6/9/76 at New York, New York File # 35 179-242-328
NY 179-1490

SAs [redacted] and [redacted] /slh Date dictated 6/10/76

FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

1Date of transcription 6/10/76

On June 9, 1976, [redacted] b6 - 5
Philip Morris Company, 100 Park Avenue, New York, New York, b7C - 5
telephone number 679-1800, furnished the following information:

[redacted] stated that [redacted] Social
Security Account Number [redacted] date of birth [redacted] b6 - 5, 6
[redacted] address [redacted] b7C - 5, 6
[redacted] had worked for the Philip Morris Company as a [redacted]
[redacted] He stated
that [redacted] employment was terminated because it was discovered
that he was falsifying calls and records.

He also stated that [redacted] failed to return \$540
worth of merchandise given to him on consignment, and that a b6 - 6
police report was filed, regarding this shortage, by the b7C - 6
company.

Interviewed on 6/9/76 at New York, New York File # NY 179-1490

by SAs [redacted] and [redacted]
[redacted] /slh

SEARCHED	INDEXED
SERIALIZED	FILED
JUN 10 1976	
FBI - NEW YORK	
Date dictated <u>6/10/76</u>	

b6 - 1
b7C - 1

F B I

Date: 6/10/76

Transmit the following in _____
(Type in plaintext or code)Via AIRTEL _____
(Precedence)

TO: SAC, BOSTON (179-262)

FROM: *He* ADIC, NEW YORK (179-1490) (P)

SUBJECT: [REDACTED] aka;
ET AL; [REDACTED]
VICTIM

b6 - 2, 7
b7C - 2, 7
b7D - 1

ReBSteletype to NY, 6/9/76, and NYteletype to Boston, 6/9/76.

Enclosed for Boston are the original and eight copies of a FD-302 concerning an interview with [REDACTED] Philip Morris, Inc., 100 Park Avenue, New York, New York.

b6 - 5
b7C - 5

② - Boston (Encls. 9)
1 - New York

JHV:sln
(4) *for*

179-262-329

SEARCHED	INDEXED
SERIALIZED	FILED
JUN 14 1976	
[REDACTED]	

b6 - 1
b7C - 1

Approved: _____ Sent _____ M Per _____
Special Agent in Charge

6/10/76

AIRTEL

TO: SAC, BOSTON (179-262)
FROM: ADIC, NEW YORK (179-1490) (P)
SUBJECT: [REDACTED] aka;
ET AL.
[REDACTED] -
VICTIM

b6 - 2, 7
b7C - 2, 7
b7D - 1

ReBSteletype to NY, 6/9/76, and NYteletype to Boston, 6/9/76.

Enclosed for Boston are the original and eight copies of a FD-302 concerning an interview with [REDACTED] Philip Morris, Inc., 100 Park Avenue, New York, New York.

b6 - 5
b7C - 5

② - Boston (Encls. 9)
1 - New York

JHV:slh
(4)

179. 262-329

SEARCHED	INDEXED
SERIALIZED	FILED
JUN 14 1976	
FBI-BOSTON	

FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

6/11/76

Date of transcription

b6 - 5

b7C - 5

On June 10, 1976, [redacted]
Philip Morris Company, 100 Park Avenue, New York, New York,
telephone number 679-1800, furnished the following information:

[redacted] stated that on June 9, 1976, he erroneously
told SAS [redacted] and [redacted] that [redacted]
[redacted] failed to return \$540 worth of merchandise, which
was given to him on consignment. He stated that, in fact, this
merchandise was stolen from a 1973 Plymouth, which was assigned
to [redacted] for business purposes. A police report was submitted
at that time.

b6 - 1, 5, 6

b7C - 1, 5, 6

b6 - 5, 6

b7C - 5, 6

[redacted] also stated that no documentation or any specific
details were available regarding the falsification of records and
calls made by [redacted].

[redacted] provided a Xerox copy of the police report and the
Separation Notice to SA [redacted]

b6 - 1, 5

b7C - 1, 5

Interviewed on 6/10/76 at New York, New York File # B-179-262-330
NY 179-1490

by [redacted] SAS [redacted] and [redacted] b6 - 1
JHV:hmf Date dictated 6/11/76 b7C - 1

FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

6/11/76

1

Date of transcription

b6 - 5
b7C - 5

On June 10, 1976, [redacted]
Philip Morris Company, 100 Park Avenue, New York, New York,
telephone number 679-1800, furnished the following information:

[redacted] stated that on June 9, 1976, he erroneously
told SAS [redacted] that [redacted]
[redacted] failed to return \$540 worth of merchandise, which
was given to him on consignment. He stated that, in fact, this
merchandise was stolen from a 1973 Plymouth, which was assigned
to [redacted] for business purposes. A police report was submitted
at that time.

b6 - 5, 6
b7C - 5, 6

[redacted] also stated that no documentation or any spec
details were available regarding the falsification of records
calls made by [redacted].

b6 - 5, 6
b7C - 5, 6

[redacted] provided a Xerox copy of the police report and the
Separation Notice to SA [redacted]

b6 - 1, 5
b7C - 1, 5

179-262-330

SEARCHED	INDEXED
SERIALIZED	FILED
JUN 14 1976	
BOSTON	

[redacted] *[Signature]*

b6 - 1
b7C - 1

Interviewed on 6/10/76 at New York, New York File # NY 179-1490
by SAS [redacted] and JHV:hmf Date dictated 6/11/76

FBI

Date: 6/11/76

Transmit the following in _____
(Type in plaintext or code)Via AIRTEL _____
(Precedence)

TO: SAC, BOSTON (179-262)

FROM: *Jh* ADIC, NEW YORK (179-1490) (RUC)

SUBJECT: [REDACTED] aka;
[REDACTED] VICTIM
ECT
(OO:BS).

b6 - 2, 7
b7C - 2, 7
b7D - 1

ReBSteletype to New York, dated 6/9/76; NYteletype to Boston, dated 6/9/76, and NYairtel to Boston, dated 6/10/76.

Enclosed for Boston are the original and eight (8) copies of an FD-302 concerning interview with [REDACTED] Philip Morris, Inc., 100 Park Avenue, New York, New York. b6 - 5
b7C - 5

② - Boston (Encs. 9)
1 - New York

JHV:hmf
(4)

179-262-331

SEARCHED	INDEXED
SERIALIZED	FILED
JUN 14 1976	
FBI - BOSTON	

b6 - 1
b7C - 1

Approved: _____ Sent _____ M Per _____
Special Agent in Charge

6/11/76

AIRTEL

TO: SAC, BOSTON (179-262)

FROM: ADIC, NEW YORK (179-1490) (RUC)

SUBJECT: [REDACTED] aka;
ET AL;
[REDACTED] - VICTIM
ECT
(OO:BS)

b6 - 2, 7
b7C - 2, 7
b7C - 1

ReBSteletype to New York, dated 6/9/76; NYteletype to Boston, dated 6/9/76, and NYairtel to Boston, dated 6/10/76.

Enclosed for Boston are the original and eight (8) copies of an FD-302 concerning interview with [REDACTED]
[REDACTED] Philip Morris, Inc., 100 Park Avenue, New York, New York. b6 - 5
b7C - 5

② - Boston (Encs. 9)
1 - New York

JHV:hmf
(4)

179-262-331

SEARCHED	INDEXED
SERIALIZED	FILED
JUN 14 1976	
FBI-BOSTON	

FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

REPORTING OFFICE BOSTON	OFFICE OF ORIGIN BOSTON	DATE 6/21/76	INVESTIGATIVE PERIOD 5/13/76 - 6/18/76
TITLE OF CASE [REDACTED] aka ET AL: [REDACTED] VICTIM		REPORT MADE BY SA [REDACTED]	TYPED BY mm
		CHARACTER OF CASE ECT	b6 - 1, 2, 7 b7C - 1, 2, 7 b7D - 1

REFERENCE: Boston report of SA [REDACTED] dated 5/13/76.

b6 - 1
b7C - 1

(P)

LEADS
BOSTON
AT BOSTON, MASS.

[REDACTED] Report sentencing of subject [REDACTED] scheduled for 7/7/76, USDC, Boston.

b6 - 2
b7C - 2

Upon issuance of court orders for voice exemplars re subjects [REDACTED] LE PORE and [REDACTED] will conduct same.

Maintain liaison with Dept. Atty. MARTIN D. BOUDREAU relative to remaining trial.

ACCOMPLISHMENTS CLAIMED				<input type="checkbox"/> NONE	ACQUIT-TALS	CASE HAS BEEN:
CONVIC.	FUG.	FINES	SAVINGS	RECOVERIES		
2		10,000			1	PENDING OVER ONE YEAR <input type="checkbox"/> YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO PENDING PROSECUTION OVER SIX MONTHS <input type="checkbox"/> YES <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NO

APPROVED

SPECIAL AGENT
IN CHARGE

COPIES MADE:

DO NOT WRITE IN SPACES BELOW

3 Bureau (179-1143)
1 Strike Force, Boston
② Boston (179-262)

b6 - 1
b7C - 1

Dissemination Record of Attached Report

Agency				
Request Recd.				
Date Fwd.				
How Fwd.				
By				

Notations

SEARCHED

SERIALIZED

INDEXED

FILED

179-262-332

BS 179-262

Conduct appropriate trial preparation for
[redacted] et al trial.

b6 - 2
b7C - 2

Report results of last trial scheduled to begin
on 9/7/76 in USDC, Boston, Mass.

ADMINISTRATIVE

Final Disposition Sheets (R-84) re subjects
[redacted] and [redacted] previously submitted to
the Bureau Identification Division.

b6 - 2
b7C - 2

-B*-
COVER PAGE

FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

REPORTING OFFICE BOSTON	OFFICE OF ORIGIN BOSTON	DATE 6/21/76	INVESTIGATIVE PERIOD 5/13/76 - 6/18/76
TITLE OF CASE [redacted] aka ET AL: [redacted] VICTIM		REPORT MADE BY SA [redacted]	TYPED BY mm
		CHARACTER OF CASE ECT	b6 - 1, 2, 7 b7C - 1, 2, 7 b7D - 1

REFERENCE: Boston report of SA [redacted] dated 5/13/76.

b6 - 1
b7C - 1

(P)

LEADS
BOSTON
AT BOSTON, MASS.

[redacted] Report sentencing of subject [redacted] scheduled for 7/7/76, USDC, Boston.

b6 - 2
b7C - 2

Upon issuance of court orders for voice exemplars re subject [redacted] LE PORE and [redacted] will conduct same.

Maintain liaison with Dept. Atty. MARTIN D. BOUDREAU relative to remaining trial.

ACCOMPLISHMENTS CLAIMED					<input type="checkbox"/> NONE	ACQUIT-TALS	CASE HAS BEEN:
CONVIC.	FUG.	FINES	SAVINGS	RECOVERIES			
2		10,000				1	PENDING OVER ONE YEAR <input type="checkbox"/> YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO PENDING PROSECUTION OVER SIX MONTHS <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO

APPROVED

SPECIAL AGENT
IN CHARGE

DO NOT WRITE IN SPACES BELOW

COPIES MADE:

- 3 Bureau (179-1143)
1 Strike Force, Boston
(2) Boston (179-262)

179-262-332

b6 - 1
b7C - 1

Dissemination Record of Attached Report				
Agency				
Request Recd.				
Date Fwd.				
How Fwd.				
By				

Notations

SEARCHED

SERIALIZED

INDEXED

FILED

FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

Date of transcription 6/4/76

[redacted] was contacted in the vicinity of [redacted] the company of her [redacted] [redacted] advised that she could not identify [redacted]


[redacted] viewed several photographs supplied by Agents and said she did not recognize any of them. She was then asked if she could recall any further descriptive details of [redacted] to which she replied she could not. She was then asked if the [redacted] were to walk up to her at this moment would she be able to identify them. She then responded no. She was then asked could she tell one way or another if physically confronted with the person who [redacted] [redacted] whether he was or was not the [redacted] to which she responded no.

b6 - 5, 7
b7C - 5, 7
b7D - 1

At this point, the interview was terminated and [redacted] was given a subpoena for appearance in United States District Court.

b6 - 5
b7C - 5
b7D - 1

The following are the photographs shown to [redacted]



Interviewed on 6/3/76

File # BS 179-262 b6 - 1
b7C - 1
b7D - 1

SAS [redacted]

JLK:mm

Date dictated 6/4/76

FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

Date of transcription 6/7/76

[redacted] was interviewed at his residence, b6 - 1, 5
[redacted] by b7C - 1, 5
Special Agents [redacted] and [redacted] after identifying b7D - 1
themselves as Special Agents of the FBI and advising
[redacted] of the nature of the inquiry. [redacted] then
provided the following information:

He is [redacted]

When asked specifically by

SA [redacted]

b6 - 1, 2, 5
b7C - 1, 2, 5
b7D - 1

[redacted] continued that he [redacted]

b6 - 2, 5, 6
b7C - 2, 5, 6
b7D - 1

SA [redacted]

then asked [redacted]

[redacted] further advised that [redacted]

b6 - 1, 2, 5
b7C - 1, 2, 5
b7D - 1Interviewed on 6/3/76File # BS 179-262-334

SAS [redacted]

and [redacted]

JGT:mm

Date dictated 6/7/76b6 - 1
b7C - 1
b7D - 1

FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

Date of transcription 6/7/76b6 - 1, 5
b7C - 1, 5
b7D - 1

[redacted] was interviewed [redacted] by Special Agents [redacted] and [redacted] after identifying themselves as Special Agents of the FBI and advising [redacted] of the nature of the inquiry. [redacted] was specifically asked by SA [redacted]

[redacted] then provided the following information: b6 - 1, 2, 5, 6
b7C - 1, 2, 5, 6
b7D - 1

[redacted] at

Interviewed on 6/4/76 [redacted] File # BS 179-262 -335

by SA [redacted] and JCT:mm Date dictated 6/7/76 b6 - 1
b7C - 1
b7D - 1

FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

Date of transcription 6/7/76 b6 - 1
b7C - 1

Special Agents [redacted] and [redacted]
Federal Bureau of Investigation, traveled the following route
by automobile from the Kenmore Club, Kenmore Square, Boston,
Massachusetts, to the Surf Club, Revere, Massachusetts, and
returned to the Kenmore Club on June 3, 1976:

11:55 p.m.

Departed from in front of the Kenmore Club
and traveled east on Commonwealth Avenue. Left onto
Charlesgate East to Storrow Drive. East on Storrow Drive
to the John F. Fitzgerald Expressway. South on the
Fitzgerald Expressway, exiting at the Callahan Tunnel.
Through the Callahan Tunnel and north onto Route 1A,
exiting Route 1A at Revere Beach Parkway. East on Revere
Beach Parkway to Winthrop Parkway to the circle at the
beginning of Ocean Avenue, Revere. Left into the parking
lot of the Surf Club.

12:08 a.m.

Arrived at the Surf parking lot.

12:09 a.m.

Departed the Surf parking lot and turned right
onto Winthrop Parkway and continued on to Revere Beach
Parkway. West on Revere Beach Parkway and turned left
and up the ramp to Route 1A. South on Route 1A, through
the Sumner Tunnel, and up onto the Fitzgerald Expressway.
North on the expressway, exiting at Storrow Drive and continued
west on Storrow Drive, exiting Storrow Drive at the Park
Drive exit. Right onto Beacon Street and proceeded west
on Beacon Street to Lucifer's, Kenmore Square.

12:25 a.m.

Arrived in front of Lucifer's.

Interviewed on 6/3/76 at Boston, Massachusetts File # Boston 179-262 336
by SA [redacted] and SA [redacted] Date dictated 6/7/76
FED/gm b6 - 1
b7C - 1

FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

Date of transcription 6/8/76

[redacted]
[redacted] was interviewed and furnished the following information:

b6 - 2, 5
b7C - 2, 5
b7D - 1

[redacted] stated he is [redacted]
[redacted] He stated that he [redacted]
[redacted] more than any single person in the world that
he could think of. He further stated that he would do
[redacted]
and [redacted] and the only thing
preventing him from doing so is the fact that he knows
little or nothing about [redacted]

Interviewed on 6/7/76 at [redacted] BS 179-262 3
by SAS [redacted] and JMM:mm Date dictated 6/8/76

b6 - 1
b7C - 1
b7D - 1

FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

Date of transcription 6/8/76

[redacted]
[redacted] was interviewed and furnished the following information:

b6 - 5
b7C - 5
b7D - 1

[redacted] advised that on this date, [redacted] while working at his place of employment in the [redacted] he was served with a subpoena to testify in a case entitled, "United States of America vs. [redacted] ET AL". The subpoena was served to him by [redacted]

b6 - 2, 5
b7C - 2, 5
b7D - 1

[redacted] advised a few months prior to this, he

[redacted]

Interviewed on 6/7/76 at [redacted] # BS 179-262 338
by SAS [redacted] JMM:mm Date dictated 6/8/76

b6 - 1
b7C - 1
b7D - 1

FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

Date of transcription 6/8/76

[redacted] date of birth [redacted] b6 - 1, 5
[redacted] was interviewed [redacted] b7C - 1, 5
[redacted] b7D - 1

[redacted] telephone number [redacted]
SA [redacted] advised [redacted] of the identities of the
interviewing Agents and of the purpose of the interview.
[redacted] furnished the following information:

[redacted] stated he resided at [redacted] b6 - 1, 5
[redacted] At this point, SA [redacted] advised b7C - 1, 5
[redacted] that Agents had gone to this address and had b7D - 1
determined that he, [redacted] no longer resided there with
[redacted] then stated he is now residing at [redacted]

[redacted] b6 - 1, 2, 5, 6
[redacted] b7C - 1, 2, 5, 6
[redacted] b7D - 1
[redacted] stated that on [redacted] he

[redacted]

SA [redacted] asked [redacted] what, if anything in [redacted] b6 - 1, 2, 5
[redacted] b7C - 1, 2, 5
[redacted] b7D - 1

[redacted]

Interviewed on 6/3/76 at [redacted] File # BS 179-262 b6 - 1
by SA [redacted] and [redacted] b7C - 1
PED:mm Date dictated 6/7/76 b7D - 1

FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

Date of transcription 6/8/76

[redacted]
[redacted] was interviewed by Special Agents [redacted] and [redacted] after identifying themselves as Special Agents of the FBI and advising [redacted] of the nature of the inquiry. [redacted] then provided the following information:

b6 - 1, 5
b7C - 1, 5
b7D - 1

[redacted] when providing the interviewing Agents with background information concerning [redacted]

b6 - 5
b7C - 5
b7D - 1

Interviewed on 6/7/76 at [redacted] File # BS 179-262 340

by SAS [redacted] and JCT:mm Date dictated 6/8/76

b6 - 1
b7C - 1
b7D - 1

FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

Date of transcription 6/11/76b6 - 1, 5
b7C - 1, 5
b7D - 1

[redacted] was interviewed at his residence, [redacted] by Special Agents [redacted] and [redacted] [redacted] after identifying themselves as Special Agents of the FBI and advising [redacted] of the nature of the inquiry.

b6 - 1, 5, 7
b7C - 1, 5, 7
b7D - 1

SA [redacted] asked [redacted] the last time he [redacted] He responded that

b6 - 1, 5, 6, 7
b7C - 1, 5, 6, 7
b7D - 1

SA [redacted] then asked [redacted]

Interviewed on 6/10/76 at [redacted] File # BS 179-262 b6 - 1
by SAS [redacted] and [redacted] b7C - 1
JCT:mm Date dictated 6/11/76 b7D - 1

FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

Date of transcription 6/11/76
b6 - 1, 5, 6
b7C - 1, 5, 6
b7D - 1

[redacted] was interviewed in the presence
of [redacted] at his place of employ-
ment. [redacted]
[redacted] by Special Agents [redacted]
[redacted] and [redacted] after identifying themselves
as Special Agents of the FBI and advising [redacted] of
the nature of the inquiry.

b6 - 1, 5, 7
b7C - 1, 5, 7
b7D - 1

SA [redacted] asked [redacted] the last time

b6 - 5, 7
b7C - 5, 7
b7D - 1

[redacted] further advised that his

Interviewed on 6/9/76 at [redacted] File # BS 179-262 -342
by SAS [redacted] and [redacted] JCT:mm Date dictated 6/11/76
b6 - 1
b7C - 1
b7D - 1

UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT

Memorandum

TO : SAC (179-262)

DATE: 6/18/76

FROM : SUPV. [REDACTED]

b6 - 1, 2, 7
b7C - 1, 2, 7
b7D - 1

SUBJECT: [REDACTED] et al;
[REDACTED] VICTIM
ECT

On 6/16/76 Mrs. [REDACTED] telephonically advised she had received a telephone call from her husband's attorney, [REDACTED] who advised her she was going to be divorced and they would [REDACTED]. Her husband's attorney also stated that he was going to try to get [REDACTED] out on bail.

b6 - 6
b7C - 6

Mrs. [REDACTED] advised she is terrified of her husband and would certainly appreciate any notification by this office in the event her husband was to be released on bail. Mrs. [REDACTED] was advised her husband's chances of making bail were negligible because no bail figure had been set and it would require judicial proceedings on the part of counsel for her husband in the Circuit Court. Mrs. [REDACTED] was assured such proceedings would provide ample notice to her of the potential her husband had for making bail.

b6 - 6
b7C - 6

JFS:gm
(2)

179-262-343

SEARCHED	INDEXED
SERIALIZED <i>me</i>	FILED <i>me</i>
JUN 2 1976	
FBI - BOSTON	

[Signature]

b6 - 1
b7C - 1



5010-110

Buy U.S. Savings Bonds Regularly on the Payroll Savings Plan FBI(20-cv-3269)-3231

S A C (179-262)

6/18/76

SUPV. [REDACTED]

b6 - 1, 2, 7
b7C - 1, 2, 7
b7D - 1

[REDACTED] et al;
[REDACTED] - VICTIM
ECT

On 6/16/76 Mrs. [REDACTED] telephonically advised she had received a telephone call from her husband's attorney, [REDACTED] who advised her she was going to be divorced and they would [REDACTED]. Her husband's attorney also stated that he was going to try to get [REDACTED] out on bail.

b6 - 6
b7C - 6

Mrs. [REDACTED] advised she is terrified of her husband and would certainly appreciate any notification by this office in the event her husband was to be released on bail. Mrs. [REDACTED] was advised her husband's chances of making bail were negligible because no bail figure had been set and it would require judicial proceedings on the part of counsel for her husband in the Circuit Court. Mrs. [REDACTED] was assured such proceedings would provide ample notice to her of the potential her husband had for making bail.

b6 - 6
b7C - 6

JFS:gm
(2)

179-212-343

SEARCHED	INDEXED
SERIALIZED	FILED
JUN 21 1976	
FBI - BOSTON	

[Signature]

FBI(20-cv-3269)-3232

John F. Kennedy Federal Office Building
Boston, Massachusetts 02203

June 25, 1976

Thomas J. Maguire
Chief of Police
Woburn Police Department
Woburn, Massachusetts 01801

Dear Tom:

I wish to express my gratitude to you and
your department for the cooperation in the case of
United States vs. [redacted]

b6 - 2
b7C - 2

I also wish to commend Captain [redacted]
and Officers [redacted] and [redacted]
[redacted] who testified in U. S. District Court,
Boston. It was primarily through their testimony that [redacted]
[redacted]'s bail was revoked and he was remanded into
Federal custody for a period of eight years. It has
been brought to my attention that the officers' testimony
was most impressive, both in form and substance, and was
complemented by the court and others in attendance,
including [redacted] Chief of the New England
Organized Crime Strike Force.

b6 - 2, 3, 4
b7C - 2, 3, 4

Captain [redacted] was responsible for furnishing
this office the information which predicated the Govern-
ment's motion to have [redacted] bail revoked. He
personally organized all the available facts and arranged
for the appearance of the previously-mentioned officers
on extremely short notice.

b6 - 2, 3
b7C - 2, 3

It is gratifying to note the excellent results
achieved through federal and local law enforcement agencies.

Very truly yours,

rfv

RICHARD F. BATES
Special Agent in Charge

SEARCHED
SERIALIZED
INDEXED
FILED

BS 179-262
JFS:gm
(2)

79-262-344
FBI(20-CV-3269)-3233

SUMMARY OF PROSECUTIVE ACTION IN ORGANIZED CRIME CASES

ENTER AN X IN APPROPRIATE BLOCK

Date 6/21/76

- ☐ New Case
- ☒ Additional Data or Modification of Previously Submitted Information
- ☐ Complete Deletion of Entire Record (Give reason for deletion in block #50)

PART 1 - IDENTIFICATION INFORMATION (This information must always be provided)

01 Field Office Abbreviation BS	02 Field Office File Number 179-262	03 Bureau File No. 179-1143	b6 - 2 b7C - 2
04 Subject's Name (Last Name First - One Subject per Form) <div style="border: 1px solid black; height: 1.2em; width: 100%;"></div>			05 Total Number of Subjects in Case 11

PART 2 - INDICTMENT OR COMPLAINT INFORMATION (Not required if submitted previously)

20 Type of Process <input type="checkbox"/> A Indictment <input type="checkbox"/> B Complaint <input type="checkbox"/> C Other (Describe)	21 Statute(s) Charged A Title Sect B Title Sect C Title Sect D Title Sect	22 Date(s) of Process A / / B / / C / / D / /	23 Title III <input type="checkbox"/> A X if Title III coverage was in connection with process <input type="checkbox"/> B <input type="checkbox"/> C <input type="checkbox"/> D	24 <input type="checkbox"/> X if Subject is an LCN Member (If X'd, complete Blocks 25 and 26)
25 LCN Family Name				
26 LCN Rank <input type="checkbox"/> A Boss <input type="checkbox"/> B Underboss <input type="checkbox"/> C Consigliere <input type="checkbox"/> D Capodecina <input type="checkbox"/> E Former Leader <input type="checkbox"/> F Soldier <input type="checkbox"/> G Proposed Member <input type="checkbox"/> H Possible Member <input type="checkbox"/> I Unknown <input type="checkbox"/> J Other (Describe)				

PART 3 - CONVICTION DATA

30 Conviction Statute(s)	31 Conviction Date(s)	32 Sentence Date(s)	33 Actual Jail Term(s)	34 Suspended Sentence(s)	35 Probated Sentence(s)	36 Fines Imposed
A Title Sect 892	A 6 / 8 / 76	A 6 / 8 / 76	A 1 yrs 0 mos	A yrs mos	A yrs mos	A 5,000
B Title Sect	B / /	B / /	B yrs mos	B yrs mos	B yrs mos	B
C Title Sect	C / /	C / /	C yrs mos	C yrs mos	C yrs mos	C
D Title Sect	D / /	D / /	D yrs mos	D yrs mos	D yrs mos	D
37 Conviction Comments						

PART 4 - PROSECUTION DISMISSED OR ACQUITTED

40 Statute(s) Dismissed A Title Sect B Title Sect C Title Sect D Title Sect	41 Date(s) Dismissed A / / B / / C / / D / /	42 Reason
---	--	-----------

PART 5 - MISCELLANEOUS COMMENTS

50	51 Was Form #2 Submitted? <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> Yes Date Submitted	SEARCHED SERIALIZED INDEXED FILED
----	---	--

1 - Bureau (2) - Boston (1 - 179-262) (1 - 94-536)

FBI(20-cv-3269)-3234

179-262-345

SUMMARY OF PROSECUTIVE ACTION IN ORGANIZED CRIME CASES

ENTER AN X IN APPROPRIATE BLOCK

Date 6/21/76

- ☐ New Case
- ☒ Additional Data or Modification of Previously Submitted Information
- ☐ Complete Deletion of Entire Record (Give reason for deletion in block #50)

PART 1 - IDENTIFICATION INFORMATION (This information must always be provided)

01 Field Office Abbreviation BS	02 Field Office File Number 179-262	03 Bureau File No. 179-1143
04 Subject's Name (Last Name First - One Subject per Form) <div style="border: 1px solid black; height: 20px; width: 100%;"></div>		05 Total Number of Subjects in Case 11

b6 - 2
b7C - 2

PART 2 - INDICTMENT OR COMPLAINT INFORMATION (Not required if submitted previously)

20 Type of Process <input type="checkbox"/> A Indictment <input type="checkbox"/> B Complaint <input type="checkbox"/> C Other (Describe) _____	21 Statute(s) Charged A Title Sect B Title Sect C Title Sect D Title Sect	22 Date(s) of Process A / / B / / C / / D / /	23 Title III <input type="checkbox"/> A X if Title III coverage was in connection with process <input type="checkbox"/> B <input type="checkbox"/> C <input type="checkbox"/> D	24 <input type="checkbox"/> X if Subject is an LCN Member (If X'd, complete Blocks 25 and 26)
25 LCN Family Name				
26 LCN Rank <input type="checkbox"/> A Boss <input type="checkbox"/> B Underboss <input type="checkbox"/> C Consigliere <input type="checkbox"/> D Capodecina <input type="checkbox"/> E Former Leader <input type="checkbox"/> F Soldier <input type="checkbox"/> G Proposed Member <input type="checkbox"/> H Possible Member <input type="checkbox"/> I Unknown <input type="checkbox"/> J Other (Describe) _____				

PART 3 - CONVICTION DATA

30 Conviction Statute(s)	31 Conviction Date(s)	32 Sentence Date(s)	33 Actual Jail Term(s)	34 Suspended Sentence(s)	35 Probated Sentence(s)	36 Fines Imposed
A Title 18 Sect 892	A 6 / 14 / 76	A / /	A yrs mos	A yrs mos	A yrs mos	A
B Title 18 Sect 894	B 6 / 14 / 76	B / /	B yrs mos	B yrs mos	B yrs mos	B
C Title Sect	C / /	C / /	C yrs mos	C yrs mos	C yrs mos	C
D Title Sect	D / /	D / /	D yrs mos	D yrs mos	D yrs mos	D
37 Conviction Comments Sentencing scheduled for 7/7/76						

PART 4 - PROSECUTION DISMISSED OR ACQUITTED

40 Statute(s) Dismissed A Title Sect B Title Sect C Title Sect D Title Sect	41 Date(s) Dismissed A / / B / / C / / D / /	42 Reason <div style="border: 1px solid black; height: 40px; width: 100%;"></div>
---	--	--

 SEARCHED _____
 SERIALIZED (101)
 INDEXED _____
 FILED ma

PART 5 - MISCELLANEOUS COMMENTS

50 <div style="border: 1px solid black; height: 40px; width: 100%;"></div>
51 Was Form #2 Submitted? <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> Yes Date Submitted _____

1 - Bureau 2 - Boston (1 - 179-262) (1 - 94-536)179-262-346
FBI(20-cv-3269)-3235

SUMMARY OF PROSECUTIVE ACTION IN ORGANIZED CRIME CASES

ENTER AN X IN APPROPRIATE BLOCK

Date 6/21/76

- ☐ New Case
- ☒ Additional Data or Modification of Previously Submitted Information
- ☐ Complete Deletion of Entire Record (Give reason for deletion in block #50)

PART 1 - IDENTIFICATION INFORMATION (This information must always be provided)

01 Field Office Abbreviation BS	02 Field Office File Number 179-262	03 Bureau File No. 179-1143
04 Subject's Name (Last Name First - One Subject per Form) <div style="border: 1px solid black; height: 15px; width: 100%;"></div>		05 Total Number of Subjects in Case b6 - 2 <u>11</u> b7C - 2

PART 2 - INDICTMENT OR COMPLAINT INFORMATION (Not required if submitted previously)

20 Type of Process <input type="checkbox"/> A Indictment <input type="checkbox"/> B Complaint <input type="checkbox"/> C Other (Describe) _____	21 Statute(s) Charged A Title Sect B Title Sect C Title Sect D Title Sect	22 Date(s) of Process A / / B / / C / / D / /	23 Title III <input type="checkbox"/> A X if Title III coverage was in connection with process <input type="checkbox"/> B <input type="checkbox"/> C <input type="checkbox"/> D	24 <input type="checkbox"/> X if Subject is an LCN Member (If X'd, complete Blocks 25 and 26)
25 LCN Family Name				
26 LCN Rank <input type="checkbox"/> A Boss <input type="checkbox"/> D Capodecina <input type="checkbox"/> H Possible Member <input type="checkbox"/> B Underboss <input type="checkbox"/> E Former Leader <input type="checkbox"/> I Unknown <input type="checkbox"/> C Consigliere <input type="checkbox"/> F Soldier <input type="checkbox"/> J Other (Describe) _____ <input type="checkbox"/> G Proposed Member				

PART 3 - CONVICTION DATA

30 Conviction Statute(s)	31 Conviction Date(s)	32 Sentence Date(s)	33 Actual Jail Term(s)	34 Suspended Sentence(s)	35 Probated Sentence(s)	36 Fines Imposed
A Title <u>18</u> Sect <u>892</u>	A <u>6 / 8 / 76</u>	A <u>6 / 8 / 76</u>	A <u>1 yrs 6 mos</u>	A yrs mos	A yrs mos	A <u>5,000</u>
B Title Sect	B / /	B / /	B yrs mos	B yrs mos	B yrs mos	B
C Title Sect	C / /	C / /	C yrs mos	C yrs mos	C yrs mos	C
D Title Sect	D / /	D / /	D yrs mos	D yrs mos	D yrs mos	D
37 Conviction Comments						

PART 4 - PROSECUTION DISMISSED OR ACQUITTED

40 Statute(s) Dismissed A Title Sect B Title Sect C Title Sect D Title Sect	41 Date(s) Dismissed A / / B / / C / / D / /	42 Reason <div style="border: 1px solid black; height: 40px; width: 100%;"></div>
---	--	--

PART 5 - MISCELLANEOUS COMMENTS

50 <div style="border: 1px solid black; height: 40px; width: 100%;"></div>
51 Was Form #2 Submitted? <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> Yes Date Submitted <u>179-262-347</u>

1 - Bureau (2) - Boston (1 - 179-262) (1 - 94-536)

FBI(20-cv-3269)-3236

SUMMARY OF PROSECUTIVE ACTION IN ORGANIZED CRIME CASES

ENTER AN X IN APPROPRIATE BLOCK

Date 6/21/76

- ☐ New Case
- ☒ Additional Data or Modification of Previously Submitted Information
- ☐ Complete Deletion of Entire Record (Give reason for deletion in block #50)

PART 1 - IDENTIFICATION INFORMATION (This information must always be provided)

01 Field Office Abbreviation BS	02 Field Office File Number 179-262	03 Bureau File No. 179-1143
04 Subject's Name (Last Name First - One Subject per Form) <div style="border: 1px solid black; height: 1.2em; width: 100%;"></div>		05 Total Number of Subjects in Case <div style="border: 1px solid black; padding: 2px;">b6 - 2 b7C - 2</div>

PART 2 - INDICTMENT OR COMPLAINT INFORMATION (Not required if submitted previously)

20 Type of Process <input type="checkbox"/> A Indictment <input type="checkbox"/> B Complaint <input type="checkbox"/> C Other (Describe) _____	21 Statute(s) Charged A Title Sect B Title Sect C Title Sect D Title Sect	22 Date(s) of Process A / / B / / C / / D / /	23 Title III <input type="checkbox"/> A X if Title III coverage was in connection with process <input type="checkbox"/> B <input type="checkbox"/> C <input type="checkbox"/> D	24 <input type="checkbox"/> X if Subject is an LCN Member (If X'd, complete Blocks 25 and 26)
25 LCN Family Name				
26 LCN Rank <input type="checkbox"/> A Boss <input type="checkbox"/> D Capodecina <input type="checkbox"/> H Possible Member <input type="checkbox"/> B Underboss <input type="checkbox"/> E Former Leader <input type="checkbox"/> I Unknown <input type="checkbox"/> C Consigliere <input type="checkbox"/> F Soldier <input type="checkbox"/> J Other (Describe) _____ <input type="checkbox"/> G Proposed Member				

PART 3 - CONVICTION DATA

30 Conviction Statute(s)	31 Conviction Date(s)	32 Sentence Date(s)	33 Actual Jail Term(s)	34 Suspended Sentence(s)	35 Probated Sentence(s)	36 Fines Imposed
A Title Sect	A / /	A / /	A yrs mos	A yrs mos	A yrs mos	A
B Title Sect	B / /	B / /	B yrs mos	B yrs mos	B yrs mos	B
C Title Sect	C / /	C / /	C yrs mos	C yrs mos	C yrs mos	C
D Title Sect	D / /	D / /	D yrs mos	D yrs mos	D yrs mos	D
37 Conviction Comments						

PART 4 - PROSECUTION DISMISSED OR ACQUITTED

40 Statute(s) Dismissed A Title 18 Sect 892 B Title 18 Sect 894 C Title Sect D Title Sect	41 Date(s) Dismissed A 6/ 14/ 76 B 6/ 14/ 76 C / / D / /	42 Reason Acquitted
---	--	-------------------------------

PART 5 - MISCELLANEOUS COMMENTS

50 <div style="border: 1px solid black; height: 40px; width: 100%;"></div>
51 Was Form #2 Submitted? <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> Yes Date Submitted: <u>179-262-348</u>

1 - Bureau (2) - Boston (1 - 179-262) (1 - 94-536)

FBI(20-cv-3269)-3237

FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

REPORTING OFFICE BOSTON	OFFICE OF ORIGIN BOSTON	DATE 7/13/76	INVESTIGATIVE PERIOD 6/22 - 7/12/76
TITLE OF CASE [REDACTED] aka ECT AT. [REDACTED] VICTIM		REPORT MADE BY [REDACTED]	TYPED BY po's
		CHARACTER OF CASE ECT	b6 - 1, 2, 7 b7C - 1, 2, 7 b7D - 1

REFERENCE: Boston report of SA **[REDACTED]**
dated 6/21/76.

b6 - 1
b7C - 1

- P -

LEADSBOSTONAT BOSTON, MASS.

Upon issuance of court orders for voice
exemplars re subjects **[REDACTED]** **LE FORE** and **[REDACTED]**
will conduct same.

b6 - 2
b7C - 2

Maintain liaison with Dept. Atty. **MARTIN D. BOUDREAU** relative to remaining trial.

ACCOMPLISHMENTS CLAIMED				<input type="checkbox"/> NONE	ACQUIT- TALS	CASE HAS BEEN:
CONVIC.	FUG.	FINES	SAVINGS	RECOVERIES		
1		10,000				PENDING OVER ONE YEAR <input type="checkbox"/> YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO PENDING PROSECUTION OVER SIX MONTHS <input type="checkbox"/> YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO

APPROVED _____ SPECIAL AGENT
IN CHARGE

DO NOT WRITE IN SPACES BELOW

COPIES MADE:

- 3 - Bureau (179-1143)
- 1 - Strike Force, Boston, Mass.
- ② - Boston (179-262)

179-262-349

b6 - 1
b7C - 1

Dissemination Record of Attached Report				
Agency				
Request Recd.				
Date Fwd.				
How Fwd.				
By				

Notations

BS 179-262

Conduct appropriate trial preparation
for [] et al trial.

b6 - 2
b7C - 2

Report results of last trial scheduled to
begin on 9/7/76 in USBC, Boston, Mass.

ADMINISTRATIVE:

Final Disposition Sheet (R-84) re subject
[] submitted to Bureau Identifi-
cation Division on 7/12/76.

b6 - 2
b7C - 2

B*
COVER PAGE

**UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE
Federal Bureau of Investigation**

Copy to: 1 - Strike Force, Boston, Massachusetts
(Attention: MARTIN D. BOUDREAU)

Report of: [REDACTED]

Office: Boston, Massachusetts

Date: July 13, 1976

Field Office File #: 179-262

Bureau File #: 179-1143

Title: [REDACTED]

b6 - 1, 2, 7

b7C - 1, 2, 7

b7D - 1

ET AL

[REDACTED] - VICTIM

Character: EXTORTIONATE CREDIT TRANSACTION

Synopsis: On 7/7/76 U.S. District Chief Judge ANDREW A. CAFFEY, USDC, Boston, Mass. sentenced [REDACTED] to the custody of the AG of the U.S. for a period of 10 years and fined him \$10,000. [REDACTED] was continued on \$50,000 corporate surety upon pending appeal.

b6 - 2

b7C - 2

- P -

Details:

On July 7, 1976, United States District Chief Judge ANDREW A. CAFFEY, United States District Court, Boston, Massachusetts sentenced [REDACTED] to the custody of the Attorney General of the United States for a period of 10 years and fined him \$10,000. [REDACTED] was continued on \$50,000 corporate surety upon pending appeal.

b6 - 2

b7C - 2

1*

FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

REPORTING OFFICE BOSTON	OFFICE OF ORIGIN BOSTON	DATE 7/13/76	INVESTIGATIVE PERIOD 6/22 - 7/12/76
TITLE OF CASE [REDACTED] aka [REDACTED] VICTIM		REPORT MADE BY [REDACTED]	TYPED BY [REDACTED]
		CHARACTER OF CASE ECT	b6 - 1, 2, 7 b7C - 1, 2, 7 b7D - 1

REFERENCE: Boston report of SA [REDACTED]
dated 6/21/76.

b6 - 1
b7C - 1

- P -

LEADSBOSTONAT BOSTON, MASS.

Upon issuance of court orders for voice exemplars re subjects [REDACTED] LE PORE and [REDACTED] will conduct same.

b6 - 2
b7C - 2

Maintain liaison with Dept. Atty. MARTIN D. BOUDREAU relative to remaining trial.

ACCOMPLISHMENTS CLAIMED					<input type="checkbox"/> NONE	ACQUIT-TALS	CASE HAS BEEN:
CONVIC.	FUG.	FINES	SAVINGS	RECOVERIES			
1		10,000					PENDING OVER ONE YEAR <input type="checkbox"/> YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO PENDING PROSECUTION OVER SIX MONTHS <input type="checkbox"/> YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO

APPROVED [Signature] SPECIAL AGENT IN CHARGE

DO NOT WRITE IN SPACES BELOW

COPIES MADE

- 3 - Bureau (179-1143)
1 - Strike Force, Boston, Mass.
(2) - Boston (179-262)

b6 - 1
b7C - 1

Dissemination Record of Attached Report

Agency				
Request Recd.				
Date Fwd.				
How Fwd.				
By				

Notations

Quincy man gets 10 years in loan case

James Martorano received a 10-year-prison sentence on loanshark charges yesterday in US District Court. Chief Judge Andrew A. Caffrey, who imposed the sentence, ordered it delayed pending the outcome of an appeal to the US Court of Appeals.

Martorano, who was convicted by a jury June 14, remains free on \$50,000 bail.

In urging a stiff sentence, Justice Dept. Atty. Martin Boudreau told Caffrey that Martorano "was the man at the top of the heap in this case. He has the power and stature to order others to commit violence as well as committing violence himself."

The 34-year-old Martorano, a resident of Billings street, Quincy, is a business associate of Howard T. Winter who has been described by law enforcement officials as a powerful figure in the Greater Boston underworld.

Winter operates out of Somerville and is seen daily at Motorama Auto Sales Inc., at 12 Marshall st., in that city. Martorano has been listed as president of the auto firm. He has described Winter as a legitimate businessman he has known for years.

Indicate page, name or newspaper, city and state

THE BOSTON HERALD AM
BOSTON, MASSACHUSETTS

13 THE BOSTON GLOBE, BO
MASSACHUSETTS

THE CHRISTIAN SCIENCE
MONITOR, BOSTON, MAS

DATE: 7/8/76

EDITION: DAILY

AUTHOR: not listed

EDITOR: T. WINSHIP

TITLE: JAMES MARTORANO

CHARACTER:

OR

CLASSIFICATION:

SUBMITTING OFFICE: BOSTON

Enclosures:

CC; BUREAU

179-262-350

SEARCHED	INDEXED
SERIALIZED	FILED
JUL 12 1976	
FBI-BOSTON	

b6 - 1
b7C - 1

FBI(20-cv-3269)-3244

Quincy man gets 10 years for loan sharking

By William F. Doherty
and Richard J. Connolly
Globe Staff

James Martorano received a 10-year-prison sentence on loanshark charges today in US District Court. Chief Judge Andrew A. Caffrey, who imposed the sentence, ordered it delayed pending the outcome of an appeal to the US Court of Appeals.

Martorano, who was convicted by a jury June 14, remains free on \$50,000 bail.

In urging a stiff sentence, Justice Dept. Atty. Martin Boudreau told Caffrey that Martorano "was the man

at the top of the heap in this case. He has the power and stature to order others to commit violence as well as committing violence himself."

The 34-year-old Martorano, a resident of Billings street, Quincy, is a business associate of Howard T. Winter who has been described by law enforcement officials as a powerful figure in the Greater Boston underworld.

Winter operates out of Somerville and is seen daily at Motorama Auto Sales Inc., at 12 Marshall st., in that city. Martorano has been listed as president of the auto firm. He has described Winter as a legitimate businessman he has known for years.

The dapper, prematurely greying Martorano is a graduate of Boston College. He attended night school for seven years to earn his degree. His education was interrupted

when he was arrested as an accessory after the fact of murder.

He got out of State Prison in Walpole in 1968 after serving two years of a sentence of four-to-six years in connection with the murder of a waitress whose body was found stuffed in a sack in a loft over a Combat Zone nightspot in 1964.

His conviction in the current case results from the testimony of Peter J. Pallotta, 38, of Revere, a ~~one-time bank robber~~ who said he borrowed money from Martorano in an unsuccessful effort to save his Revere nightclub, known as "The Bitter End."

Pallotta testified that Martorano's interest rate was \$100 weekly on the \$2000. The interest was exclusive of the principal, Pallotta said, "and I knew he was 100 percent in getting his collections back."

"Nobody missed," the witness said of Martorano.

Indicate page, name or newspaper, city and state

THE BOSTON HERALD AME
BOSTON, MASSACHUSETTS

4

THE BOSTON GLOBE, BOS
MASSACHUSETTS

THE CHRISTIAN SCIENCE
MONITOR, BOSTON, MASS

DATE: 7/7/76

EDITION: DAILY

AUTHOR: DOHERTY & CONNOLLY

EDITOR: T. WINSHIP

TITLE: JAMES MARTORANO

CHARACTER: 179-262

or
CLASSIFICATION:

SUBMITTING OFFICE: BOSTON

Enclosures:

179-262-351

SEARCHED	INDEXED
SERIALIZED	FILED

JUL 10 1976

FBI - BOSTON

[Signature]

no's reputation. "If I did, they got hurt. I knew I would be hurt, get a beating."

Martorano and 10 others were indicted by a Federal grand jury for alleged loansharking last September. Three men have been convicted, four have pleaded guilty, three have yet to go to trial and one was acquitted.

The last is Edward Brian Halloran, 34, of Welles Avenue, Dorchester, an inmate at Norfolk Prison Colony, who was tried with Martorano and found not guilty when Martorano was convicted on June 14.

Martorano was convicted on four counts of loansharking and Halloran was acquitted of four counts after a jury trial.

Pallotta testified that Halloran visited his nightclub Oct. 31, 1974, to collect delinquent payments for Martorano and when Pallotta said he didn't have the money Halloran displayed a gun and stole \$445 from the cash register.

He said he had been at the Federal penitentiary at Lewisburg, Pa., with Halloran at one time and "I knew he was a loan shark, collector and enforcer and a madman."

Pallotta said he made the request for the \$2000 loan from Martorano in a visit to Chandler's Restaurant in Boston's South End which closed after a suspicious fire on June 18, 1975.

Martorano and Winter owned the building. Large loans obtained on the property have been investigated by detectives assigned to the office of Suffolk Dist. Atty. Garrett H. Byrne.

Pallotta testified that he went to Chandler's with

his brother, Louis (Bugsy) Pallotta, that Martorano gave him two packages with \$1000 in each and told him the weekly interest would be "five points" on each \$1000.

After being threatened with a gun because of failure to make payments, Pallotta said, he became so frightened he didn't dare to go home. He said he lived in his automobile for awhile and, finally, telephoned US Atty. James N. Gabriel about Nov. 20, 1974.

Gabriel contacted Gerald E. McDowell, chief attorney in charge of the Justice Department's Organized Crime Strike Force in New England, and within 20 minutes Pallotta was under the protection of FBI Agents John M. Morris and Thomas Daly.

He was given a new identity and moved to the West Coast by the Justice Department. But he said he didn't have enough money, was frightened and wrote a letter to his brother, Bugsy, requesting that it be sent to Winter.


In the letter, Pallotta testified, he requested \$20,000 to leave the country and thus avoid appearance as a prosecution witness, because "I knew my life wouldn't be worth five cents around here."

However, he returned to Boston and testified against Martorano and Halloran. Martorano was represented by Atty. Joseph S. Oteri and Halloran's counsel was Atty. Martin G. Weinberg.

Martorano and Halloran face further court action on charges of violating the state gun law. They were arrested in April, 1975, after a chase through The Fenway in Boston. Police said their car sped off, when two officers tried to question them, and that the car crashed into a traffic light pole.

caliber revolver and an M-1 automatic rifle with 15 rounds of ammunition, according to police. Neither had a license to carry a gun, police said.

Halloran's current imprisonment results from an attack on an off-duty MBTA policeman near an Atlantic Avenue restaurant on March 28, 1974. He started serving a three-to-five-year term at Norfolk last May 9 for assault and battery with a dangerous weapon.



In the car were 2 32

'Top loanshark' in Hub area gets 10 years, \$10,000 fine

A Quincy man described as "at the top of Boston area loansharking" was sentenced to 10 years in prison and fined \$10,000 yesterday.

Atty. Joseph Oteri immediately appealed the sentence of James Martorano, 34, of Billings st. Federal District Judge Andrew A. Caffrey continued bail at \$50,000.

Martorano was one of 11 Greater Boston men indicted last September on loansharking charges. He was the seventh to be convicted or plead guilty.

Edward "Brian" Halloran of Dorchester, Martorano's co-defendant, was found innocent by a jury.

The case against Martorano and the others is said to be the largest investigation of loansharking by the FBI in New England.

A key witness, Peter J. Pallotta, a Revere nightclub owner, testified he was threatened when he was unable to repay a \$2000 loan.

He said his nightclub was held up after he missed \$250 a week payments he was supposed to make to repay the loan — with a 260 percent interest rate.

Indicate page, name or newspaper, city and state

5 THE BOSTON HERALD AME
BOSTON, MASSACHUSETTS

THE BOSTON GLOBE, BOS
MASSACHUSETTS

THE CHRISTIAN SCIENCE
MONITOR, BOSTON, MASS.

DATE: 7/8/76

EDITION: DAILY

AUTHOR: not listed

EDITOR: S. BORNSTEIN

TITLE: JAMES MARTORANO

CHARACTER: 179-262


OR
CLASSIFICATION:

SUBMITTING OFFICE: BOSTON

Enclosures:

179-262-352

SEARCHED	INDEXED
SERIALIZED	FILED
JUL 10 1976	
FBI - BOSTON	



Quincy man gets 10 years in loan case

James Martorano received a 10-year-prison sentence on loanshark charges yesterday in US District Court. Chief Judge Andrew A. Caffrey, who imposed the sentence, ordered it delayed pending the outcome of an appeal to the US Court of Appeals.

Martorano, who was convicted by a jury June 14, remains free on \$50,000 bail.

In urging a stiff sentence, Justice Dept. Atty. Martin Boudreau told Caffrey that Martorano "was the man at the top of the heap in this case. He has the power and stature to order others to commit violence as well as committing violence himself."

The 34-year-old Martorano, a resident of Billings street, Quincy, is a business associate of Howard T. Winter who has been described by law enforcement officials as a powerful figure in the Greater Boston underworld.

Winter operates out of Somerville and is seen daily at Motorama Auto Sales Inc., at 12 Marshall st., in that city. Martorano has been listed as president of the auto firm. He has described Winter as a legitimate businessman he has known for years.

Indicate page, name or newspaper, city and state.

7

THE BOSTON HERALD AME
BOSTON, MASSACHUSETTS

THE BOSTON GLOBE, BOS
MASSACHUSETTS

THE CHRISTIAN SCIENCE
MONITOR, BOSTON, MASS.

DATE: 7/8/76

EDITION: DAILY

AUTHOR: not listed

EDITOR: T. WINSHIP

TITLE: JAMES MARTORANO

CHARACTER: 179-262

OR
CLASSIFICATION:

SUBMITTING OFFICE: BOSTON

Enclosures:

179-262-353
SEARCHED INDEXED
SERIALIZED FILED

FBI - BOSTON

FBI(20-cv-3269)-3248

LOAN SHARK SENTENCE — James Martorano, an alleged underworld figure, was sentenced yesterday in Federal court to 10 years in prison and fined \$10,000 for loansharking. Martorano, 34, of Quincy, previously convicted for harboring a fugitive and being an accessory after the fact to murder, could have received up to 40 years in jail and a \$40,000 fine for his conviction of four counts of extortionate credit practices. He was freed on \$50,000 bail pending an appeal filed yesterday by his attorney, Joseph Oteri. He still faces charges in Suffolk Superior Court for auto theft and illegal possession of an M-1 carbine and a .38 caliber revolver.

Indicate page, name or newspaper, city and state.

THE BOSTON HERALD AME
BOSTON, MASSACHUSETTS

8 THE BOSTON GLOBE, BOS
MASSACHUSETTS

THE CHRISTIAN SCIENCE
MONITOR, BOSTON, MASS.

DATE: 7/8/76

EDITION: daily

AUTHOR: not listed

EDITOR: T. WINSHIP

TITLE: JAMES MARTORANO

CHARACTER: 1790262

OR
CLASSIFICATION:

SUBMITTING OFFICE: BOSTON

Enclosures:

44-226354

SEARCHED INDEXED
SERIALIZED FILED

JUL 10 1976

FBI - BOSTON

FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

Date of transcription 6/15/76

On June 9, 1976, immediately prior to commencement of court, a conversation occurred in the corridor outside Courtroom Number 1 of United States District Court, Boston, Massachusetts. The participants of the conversation were Attorney [redacted] and Departmental Attorney MARTIN D. BOUDREAU. Standing nearby was Special Agent [redacted]

b6 - 1, 6
b7C - 1, 6

BOUDREAU, upon completion of his conversation, advised Special Agent [redacted] of the context of the discussion which is as follows:

b6 - 1
b7C - 1

After receiving a xerox copy of a letter written by [redacted] BOUDREAU having reviewed same, told [redacted] "Now I know where the [redacted] and [redacted] in the [redacted] defense came from in the [redacted] trial."

b6 - 2, 6, 7
b7C - 2, 6, 7
b7D - 1

[redacted] responded [redacted] never saw the letter, but [redacted] and [redacted] had been fighting with him for months to get the letter. [redacted] would not give them the letter because he wanted to use it himself and did not want to give BOUDREAU a month to work on a defense of the letter (referring to the fact that the [redacted] trial was first and [redacted] who is represented by [redacted] was to follow approximately a month later).

b6 - 2, 6
b7C - 2, 6

BOUDREAU advised [redacted] conversation related [redacted] knew of the existence of the letter, its contents, and had in fact been fighting with [redacted] for months to get the letter itself.

b6 - 6
b7C - 6

Interviewed on 6/9/76 at Boston, Massachusetts File # BS 179-262 -355
by SA [redacted] /mm Date dictated 6/11/76

b6 - 1
b7C - 1

BS 87-18253


JDS/gdj

1

On July 14, 1976, the informant was contacted and furnished the following information:

b6 - 5, 6
b7C - 5, 6
b7D - 1

He has received information that [REDACTED]



[REDACTED] or [REDACTED] is described as follows:

Race: White

Sex: Male

Age: 50

Height: 5 foot 6-5 foot 7



Weight: 155 pounds

Address:



SUMMARY OF PROSECUTIVE ACTION IN ORGANIZED CRIME CASES

ENTER AN X IN APPROPRIATE BLOCK

Date 7/12/76

- ☐ New Case
- ☒ Additional Data or Modification of Previously Submitted Information
- ☐ Complete Deletion of Entire Record (Give reason for deletion in block #50)

PART 1 - IDENTIFICATION INFORMATION (This information must always be provided)

01 Field Office Abbreviation BS	02 Field Office File Number 179-262	03 Bureau File No. 179-1143	b6 - 2 b7C - 2
04 Subject's Name (Last Name First - One Subject per Form) <div style="border: 1px solid black; height: 1.2em; width: 250px;"></div>			05 Total Number of Subjects in Case 11

PART 2 - INDICTMENT OR COMPLAINT INFORMATION (Not required if submitted previously)

20 Type of Process <input type="checkbox"/> A Indictment <input type="checkbox"/> B Complaint <input type="checkbox"/> C Other (Describe)	21 Statute(s) Charged A Title Sect B Title Sect C Title Sect D Title Sect	22 Date(s) of Process A / / B / / C / / D / /	23 Title III <input type="checkbox"/> A X if Title III coverage was in connection with process <input type="checkbox"/> B <input type="checkbox"/> C <input type="checkbox"/> D	24 <input type="checkbox"/> X if Subject is an LCN Member (If X'd, complete Blocks 25 and 26)
25 LCN Family Name				
26 LCN Rank <input type="checkbox"/> A Boss <input type="checkbox"/> D Capodecina <input type="checkbox"/> H Possible Member <input type="checkbox"/> B Underboss <input type="checkbox"/> E Former Leader <input type="checkbox"/> I Unknown <input type="checkbox"/> C Consigliere <input type="checkbox"/> F Soldier <input type="checkbox"/> J Other (Describe)				

PART 3 - CONVICTION DATA

30 Conviction Statute(s)	31 Conviction Date(s)	32 Sentence Date(s)	33 Actual Jail Term(s)	34 Suspended Sentence(s)	35 Probated Sentence(s)	36 Fines Imposed
A Title Sect 18 892	A 6/14/76	A 7/7/76	A 10 yrs mos	A yrs mos	A yrs mos	A 10,000
B Title Sect 18 894	B 6/14/76	B 7/7/76	B 10 yrs mos	B yrs mos	B yrs mos	B 10,000
C Title Sect	C / /	C / /	C yrs mos	C yrs mos	C yrs mos	C
D Title Sect	D / /	D / /	D yrs mos	D yrs mos	D yrs mos	D
37 Conviction Comments						

PART 4 - PROSECUTION DISMISSED OR ACQUITTED

40 Statute(s) Dismissed	41 Date(s) Dismissed	42 Reason
A Title Sect	A / /	
B Title Sect	B / /	
C Title Sect	C / /	
D Title Sect	D / /	

PART 5 - MISCELLANEOUS COMMENTS

50
51 Was Form #2 Submitted? <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> Yes Date Submitted

1 - Bureau 2 - Boston (1-179-262) (1-94-536) JMM/po's (3) FBI(20-cv-3269)-3258

179-1143-358

FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION
FOI/PA
DELETED PAGE INFORMATION SHEET
FOI/PA# 20-cv-3269

Total Deleted Page(s) = 75

Page 16 ~ b6 -- 2, 6; b7C -- 2, 6; b7E -- 5;
Page 17 ~ b6 -- 2; b7C -- 2; b7E -- 5;
Page 18 ~ b6 -- 1, 2; b7C -- 1, 2; b7E -- 5;
Page 19 ~ b6 -- 1, 2; b7C -- 1, 2; b7E -- 5;
Page 20 ~ b6 -- 1, 2; b7C -- 1, 2; b7E -- 5;
Page 22 ~ b6 -- 2, 6; b7C -- 2, 6; b7E -- 5;
Page 23 ~ b6 -- 2; b7C -- 2; b7E -- 5;
Page 24 ~ b6 -- 1, 2; b7C -- 1, 2; b7E -- 5;
Page 25 ~ b6 -- 2; b7C -- 2; b7E -- 5;
Page 27 ~ b6 -- 2, 6; b7C -- 2, 6; b7E -- 5;
Page 28 ~ b6 -- 2; b7C -- 2; b7E -- 5;
Page 29 ~ b6 -- 1, 2; b7C -- 1, 2; b7E -- 5;
Page 30 ~ b6 -- 2; b7C -- 2; b7E -- 5;
Page 31 ~ b6 -- 2; b7C -- 2; b7E -- 5;
Page 32 ~ b6 -- 1, 2; b7C -- 1, 2; b7E -- 5;
Page 33 ~ b6 -- 2; b7C -- 2; b7E -- 5;
Page 34 ~ b6 -- 2; b7C -- 2; b7E -- 5;
Page 35 ~ b6 -- 2; b7C -- 2; b7E -- 5;
Page 37 ~ b6 -- 2, 6; b7C -- 2, 6; b7E -- 5;
Page 38 ~ b6 -- 2; b7C -- 2; b7E -- 5;
Page 39 ~ b6 -- 1, 2; b7C -- 1, 2; b7E -- 5;
Page 40 ~ b6 -- 2; b7C -- 2; b7E -- 5;
Page 41 ~ b6 -- 2; b7C -- 2;
Page 42 ~ b6 -- 2; b7C -- 2; b7E -- 5;
Page 44 ~ b6 -- 1, 2, 6; b7C -- 1, 2, 6; b7D -- 2, 3, 4; b7E -- 3;
Page 45 ~ b6 -- 1, 2, 6; b7C -- 1, 2, 6; b7D -- 2, 3, 4; b7E -- 3;
Page 46 ~ b6 -- 1, 2, 6; b7C -- 1, 2, 6; b7D -- 2, 3, 4; b7E -- 3;
Page 47 ~ b6 -- 1, 2, 6; b7C -- 1, 2, 6; b7D -- 2, 3, 4; b7E -- 3;
Page 48 ~ b6 -- 1, 2, 6; b7C -- 1, 2, 6; b7D -- 2, 3, 4; b7E -- 3;
Page 49 ~ b6 -- 1, 2, 6; b7C -- 1, 2, 6; b7D -- 2, 3, 4; b7E -- 3;
Page 50 ~ Referral/Consult;
Page 51 ~ Referral/Consult;
Page 52 ~ Referral/Consult;
Page 53 ~ Referral/Consult;
Page 54 ~ Referral/Consult;
Page 55 ~ Referral/Consult;
Page 57 ~ b6 -- 1, 2; b7C -- 1, 2; b7D -- 2, 3, 4; b7E -- 3;
Page 58 ~ b6 -- 1, 2; b7C -- 1, 2; b7D -- 2, 3, 4; b7E -- 3;
Page 59 ~ b6 -- 1, 2; b7C -- 1, 2; b7D -- 2, 3, 4; b7E -- 3;
Page 60 ~ b6 -- 1, 2; b7C -- 1, 2; b7D -- 2, 3, 4; b7E -- 3;
Page 61 ~ b6 -- 1, 2, 6, 7; b7C -- 1, 2, 6, 7; b7D -- 2, 3, 4; b7E -- 3;
Page 62 ~ b6 -- 1, 2, 6, 7; b7C -- 1, 2, 6, 7; b7D -- 2, 3, 4; b7E -- 3;
Page 64 ~ b6 -- 2, 5, 6, 7; b7C -- 2, 5, 6, 7; b7D -- 1;
Page 66 ~ b6 -- 5, 6; b7C -- 5, 6; b7D -- 1;
Page 67 ~ b6 -- 1, 2, 6; b7C -- 1, 2, 6; b7D -- 1; b7E -- 3;
Page 68 ~ b6 -- 1, 2, 6; b7C -- 1, 2, 6; b7D -- 1; b7E -- 3;
Page 70 ~ b6 -- 1, 2, 6; b7C -- 1, 2, 6; b7D -- 2, 3, 4; b7E -- 3;
Page 71 ~ b6 -- 1, 2, 6; b7C -- 1, 2, 6; b7D -- 2, 3, 4; b7E -- 3;
Page 85 ~ Referral/Consult;
Page 86 ~ Referral/Consult;
Page 97 ~ b6 -- 1, 2, 6; b7C -- 1, 2, 6; b7D -- 2, 3, 4; b7E -- 3;
Page 98 ~ b6 -- 1, 2, 6; b7C -- 1, 2, 6; b7D -- 2, 3, 4; b7E -- 3;
Page 100 ~ b6 -- 1, 2, 6; b7C -- 1, 2, 6; b7D -- 2, 3, 4; b7E -- 3;
Page 101 ~ b6 -- 1, 2, 6; b7C -- 1, 2, 6; b7D -- 2, 3, 4; b7E -- 3;
Page 133 ~ b6 -- 2, 6; b7C -- 2, 6;
Page 134 ~ b6 -- 2, 6; b7C -- 2, 6;
Page 136 ~ Duplicate;
Page 137 ~ b5 - Criminal division;
Page 138 ~ b5 - Criminal division;
Page 148 ~ b6 -- 2; b7C -- 2;
Page 158 ~ b5 -- 3; b6 -- 2, 5; b7C -- 2, 5;
Page 159 ~ b5 -- 3; b6 -- 2, 5; b7C -- 2, 5;
Page 160 ~ b5 -- 3; b6 -- 2, 5, 7; b7C -- 2, 5, 7; b7D -- 1;
Page 161 ~ b5 -- 3; b6 -- 2, 5, 7; b7C -- 2, 5, 7; b7D -- 1;
Page 162 ~ b5 -- 3; b6 -- 2, 5; b7C -- 2, 5;
Page 163 ~ b6 -- 2, 6; b7C -- 2, 6;
Page 164 ~ b6 -- 2, 6; b7C -- 2, 6;

Page 166 ~ b5 - - 3; b6 - - 2, 5, 6; b7C - - 2, 5, 6;
Page 167 ~ b5 - - 3; b6 - - 2, 5, 6; b7C - - 2, 5, 6;
Page 168 ~ b5 - - 3; b6 - - 2, 5, 6; b7C - - 2, 5, 6;
Page 169 ~ b5 - - 3; b6 - - 2, 5, 6, 7; b7C - - 2, 5, 6, 7; b7D - - 1;
Page 170 ~ b5 - - 3; b6 - - 2, 5, 6, 7; b7C - - 2, 5, 6, 7; b7D - - 1;
Page 171 ~ b5 - - 3; b6 - - 5, 6; b7C - - 5, 6;
Page 172 ~ Duplicate;
Page 173 ~ Duplicate;

XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX
X Deleted Page(s) X
X No Duplication Fee X
X For this Page X
XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX

memorandum

b6 - 1
b7C - 1

DATE: 9/24/80

REPLY TO
ATTN OF:

SA [REDACTED]

SUBJECT:

ORGANIZED CRIME INFORMATION
SYSTEM (OCIS)
DATA RETRIEVAL SUMMARIES

FOIA/PA

TO:

SAC, BOSTON (179-262)

DO NOT DESTROY SERIAL

PRIOR TO

12/86

Attached is completed OCIS Summary Review Form
and pertinent indices search slips for *James J. Bulger*

MAINTAIN AS TOP SERIAL

SEARCHED	INDEXED
SERIALIZED <i>mt</i>	FILED <i>mt</i>
SEP 24 1980	
FBI - BOSTON	

WSR/dn

MAINTAIN AS TOP SERIAL

179-262

Buy U.S. Savings Bonds Regularly on the Payroll Savings Plan

OPTIONAL FORM NO. 10
(REV. 7-76)
GSA FPMR (41 CFR) 101-11.6
5010-112

FBI(20-cv-3269)-3259



LCN FAMILY ASSOC: _____

MEMBER _____

ASSOC. ☒

POSITION _____

NAME: _____

James J. Bulger Jr

b6 - 1

b7C - 1

ALIAS: _____

NICKNAME: _____

Whitey

FBI#: _____

169 486 A

SSN# _____

ADDRESS: _____

252 O'Connell Way So. Boston

(DATE) _____

NYCPD B# _____

NYSID# _____

DOB: _____

9-3-29

TELEPHONE#: _____

(DATE) _____

POB: _____

Boston, Mass.

PREVIOUS ADDRESS: _____

41 Logan Way, South Boston

(DATE) _____

SEX: M

RACE: W

WEIGHT: 160

HEIGHT: 5'9

EYES: Blue

HAIR: Blond

ETHNIC ORIGIN: Irish American

b7E - 5

(DATE) _____

CRIMINAL ACTIVITIES:

Gambling - bookmaking

b7E - 5

(STO)

ASSOCIATES:

Howie Winters

b6 - 2

b7C - 2

PREMISES AND AREAS FREQUENTED:

Monte Carlo Casino, Las Vegas, NV

TRIPLE O's Lounge w. Burg. in Boston

b7E - 5

179-262

(FNU)

J.

Jim

Jimmy

TO: CHIEF CLERK

Subject

JAMES BULGER

Aliases

Whitely

Address

Birth Date

Birthplace

Race

Sex

☐ Male

☐ Female

☐

Exact Spelling

☒

All References

☐

Main Subversive Case Files Only

☐

Subversive References Only

☐

Main Criminal Case Files Only

☐

Criminal References Only

☐

Main Subversive (If no Main, list all Subversive References)

☐

Main Criminal (If no Main, list all Criminal References)

☐

Restrict to Locality of

File & Serial Number

Remarks

File & Serial Number

Remarks

Bulger, James

92-658-406

7/80

92-2240-1397

6/80

92-1950-649

7/79

92-605-993

7/78

183-298*

7/78

94-536-8105

6/76

179-262*

11/74

only Vol 2

91-778*

2/74

DOR 9/3/29

Destroyed

Requested by

S.A.

Squad

C-3

Extension

148

File No.

66-4312

Searched by

[Signature]

(date)

Consolidated by

(date)

Reviewed by

(date)

File Review Symbols

I - Identical
NI - Not identical

? - Not identifiable
U - Unavailable reference

GPO 16-83504-1

b6 - 1
b7C - 1

FBI(20-cv-3269)-3262

TO: SUPPORT SERVICES SUPERVISOR	Date
Subject	Social Security Account #
Aliases	

Address	Birth Date	Birthplace	Race	Sex <input type="checkbox"/> Male <input type="checkbox"/> Female
---------	------------	------------	------	---

<input type="checkbox"/> Exact Spelling	<input type="checkbox"/> Main Criminal Case Files Only	<input type="checkbox"/> Restrict to Locality of _____
<input type="checkbox"/> All References	<input type="checkbox"/> Criminal References Only	
<input type="checkbox"/> Main Subversive Case Files Only	<input type="checkbox"/> Main Subversive (If no Main, list all Subversive References)	
<input type="checkbox"/> Subversive References Only	<input type="checkbox"/> Main Criminal (If no Main, list all Criminal References)	

File & Serial Number	Remarks	File & Serial Number	Remarks
Bulger, James Jr.			
183-298 Sub 5-14 p3	12/78		
Bulger, James Gregory			
25-28794 *	3/71		
Bulger, James J.			
179-262 *	12/74 ↓		
91-878-1235	8/73		
91-778 *	DOB 9/3/29	Destroyed	
Bulger, James J. Jr.			
91-778 *	2/74 DOB 9/3/29		

Requested by	Squad	Extension	File No.
--------------	-------	-----------	----------

Searched by <i>ROG</i>	_____ (date)
Consolidated by	_____ (date)
Reviewed by	_____ (date)

File Review Symbols

I - Identical	? - Not identifiable
NI - Not identical	U - Unavailable reference

TO: SUPPORT SERVICES SUPERVISOR		Date
Subject		Social Security Account #
Aliases		

Address	Birth Date	Birthplace	Race	Sex <input type="checkbox"/> Male <input type="checkbox"/> Female
---------	------------	------------	------	---

<input type="checkbox"/> Exact Spelling	<input type="checkbox"/> Main Criminal Case Files Only	<input type="checkbox"/> Restrict to Locality of
<input type="checkbox"/> All References	<input type="checkbox"/> Criminal References Only	
<input type="checkbox"/> Main Subversive Case Files Only	<input type="checkbox"/> Main Subversive (If no Main, list all Subversive References)	
<input type="checkbox"/> Subversive References Only	<input type="checkbox"/> Main Criminal (If no Main, list all Criminal References)	

File & Serial Number	Remarks	File & Serial Number	Remarks
Bulger, James Joseph Jr. 91-118*	2/74 DOB 9/3/29		
Bulger, James Joseph 91-118*	DOB 9/3/29		
Bulger, James "Hickey"			
52-8358-117	5/78 DOB 9/3/39		
Bulger, James 179-366*	10/77		
92-1950-59	3/74		
91-778*	DOB 9/3/29		

Requested by	Squad	Extension	File No.
--------------	-------	-----------	----------

Searched by <i>Rog</i>	(date)
Consolidated by	(date)
Reviewed by	(date)

File Review Symbols

I - Identical ? - Not identifiable
NI - Not identical U - Unavailable reference

Date

TO: SUPPORT SERVICES SUPERVISOR

Subject

Social Security Account #

Aliases

Address

Birth Date

Birthplace

Race

Sex

☐ Male

☐ Female

☐ Exact Spelling

☐ Main Criminal Case Files Only

☐ Restrict to Locality of

☐ All References

☐ Criminal References Only

☐ Main Subversive Case Files Only

☐ Main Subversive (If no Main, list all Subversive References)

☐ Subversive References Only

☐ Main Criminal (If no Main, list all Criminal References)

File & Serial Number	Remarks	File & Serial Number	Remarks
Bulger, Jimmy 91-778*	2/74 DOB 9/3/29		
94-536-4949	10/70		
Bulger, Whitley 183-84-Sub B-207	5/80		
92-1950-663	4/80		
183-84-Sub B-129	1/80		
15-0-2400	9/79		
92-1950-652	7/79		
-649	7/79		

Requested by

Squad

Extension

File No.

Searched by

(date)

Consolidated by

(date)

Reviewed by

(date)

File Review Symbols

I - Identical

? - Not identifiable

NI - Not identical

U - Unavailable reference

TO: SUPPORT SERVICES SUPERVISOR	Date
Subject	Social Security Account #
Aliases	

Address	Birth Date	Birthplace	Race	Sex <input type="checkbox"/> Male <input type="checkbox"/> Female
---------	------------	------------	------	---

<input type="checkbox"/> Exact Spelling	<input type="checkbox"/> Main Criminal Case Files Only	<input type="checkbox"/> Restrict to Locality of _____
<input type="checkbox"/> All References	<input type="checkbox"/> Criminal References Only	
<input type="checkbox"/> Main Subversive Case Files Only	<input type="checkbox"/> Main Subversive (If no Main, list all Subversive References)	
<input type="checkbox"/> Subversive References Only	<input type="checkbox"/> Main Criminal (If no Main, list all Criminal References)	

File & Serial Number	Remarks	File & Serial Number	Remarks
<i>Bulger, Whitey</i>			
<i>183-84-452</i>	<i>5/79</i>		
<i>92-605-993</i>	<i>1/78</i>		
<i>15-0-2303</i>	<i>9/77</i>		
<i>92-1950-594</i>	<i>9/77</i>		
<i>-308 p2</i>	<i>9/75</i>		
<i>-252 p2</i>	<i>6/75</i>		
<i>-202 p2,3</i>	<i>3/75</i>		
<i>92-1901-33 p4</i>	<i>6/73</i>		
<i>91-778*</i>	<i>2/74 DOB 9/3/29</i>		

Requested by	Squad	Extension	File No.
--------------	-------	-----------	----------

Searched by <i>Rag</i>	(date) _____
Consolidated by	(date) _____
Reviewed by	(date) _____

File Review Symbols

I - Identical	? - Not identifiable
NI - Not identical	U - Unavailable reference

TO: SUPPORT SERVICES SUPERVISOR

Date

Subject

Social Security Account #

Aliases

Address

Birth Date

Birthplace

Race

Sex

☐ Male

☐ Female

☐ Exact Spelling

☐ Main Criminal Case Files Only

☐ Restrict to Locality of

☐ All References

☐ Criminal References Only

☐ Main Subversive Case Files Only

☐ Main Subversive (If no Main, list all Subversive References)

☐ Subversive References Only

☐ Main Criminal (If no Main, list all Criminal References)

File & Serial Number	Remarks	File & Serial Number	Remarks
Bulger, White			
92-1950-58	3/74		
"Whitey"			
52-8358-117	5/78		
87-18710-79p4	5/77		
179-262*	11/74		
88-6427*	12/73		
182-72-Sub D-483	7/73		
88-5373*	11/70		
26-19304*	3/63		

Requested by

Squad

Extension

File No.

Searched by

(date)

Consolidated by

(date)

Reviewed by

(date)

File Review Symbols

I - Identical

? - Not identifiable

NI - Not identical

U - Unavailable reference

TO: SUPPORT SERVICES SUPERVISOR	Date
Subject	Social Security Account #

Aliases				
Address	Birth Date	Birthplace	Race	Sex <input type="checkbox"/> Male <input type="checkbox"/> Female

<input type="checkbox"/> Exact Spelling	<input type="checkbox"/> Main Criminal Case Files Only	<input type="checkbox"/> Restrict to Locality of _____
<input type="checkbox"/> All References	<input type="checkbox"/> Criminal References Only	
<input type="checkbox"/> Main Subversive Case Files Only	<input type="checkbox"/> Main Subversive (If no Main, list all Subversive References)	
<input type="checkbox"/> Subversive References Only	<input type="checkbox"/> Main Criminal (If no Main, list all Criminal References)	

File & Serial Number	Remarks	File & Serial Number	Remarks
"Whitey"			
15-5462*	4/59		
26-2397			
87-327	age 21 in 1942		
87-1015*			
26-119			
26-618			
26-3039*			
91-778*	2/56		

Requested by	Squad	Extension	File No.
--------------	-------	-----------	----------

Searched by	_____ (date)
Consolidated by	_____ (date)
Reviewed by	_____ (date)

File Review Symbols	
I - Identical	? - Not identifiable
NI - Not identical	U - Unavailable reference

TO: SUPPORT SERVICES SUPERVISOR

Date

Subject

Social Security Account #

Aliases

Address

Birth Date

Birthplace

Race

Sex

☐ Male

☐ Female

☐ Exact Spelling

☐ All References

☐ Main Subversive Case Files Only

☐ Subversive References Only

☐ Main Criminal Case Files Only

☐ Criminal References Only

☐ Main Subversive (If no Main, list all Subversive References)

☐ Main Criminal (If no Main, list all Criminal References)

☐ Restrict to Locality of

File & Serial Number	Remarks	File & Serial Number	Remarks
"Whitey"			
91-28			
65-2532			
42-877*			
42-641*			
Whitey "MAC"			
116-27633*	2/52 DOB 9/3/19		

Requested by

Squad

Extension

File No.

Searched by

(date)

Consolidated by

(date)

Reviewed by

(date)

File Review Symbols

I - Identical

? - Not identifiable

NI - Not identical

U - Unavailable reference

memorandum

DATE: 9/24/80

REPLY TO
ATTN OF: SA [REDACTED]

b6 - 1
b7C - 1

SUBJECT: ORGANIZED CRIME INFORMATION
SYSTEM (OCIS)
DATA RETRIEVAL SUMMARIES

TO: SAC, BOSTON (179-262)

Attached is completed OCIS Summary Review Form
and pertinent indices search slips for [REDACTED]

MAINTAIN AS TOP SERIAL

b6 - 2
b7C - 2

SEARCHED	INDEXED <i>mt</i>
SERIALIZED	FILED <i>mt</i>
SEP 24 1980	
FBI - BOSTON	

WSR/dn

MAINTAIN AS TOP SERIAL

179-262



Buy U.S. Savings Bonds Regularly on the Payroll Savings Plan

OPTIONAL FORM NO. 10
(REV. 7-76)
GSA FPMR (41 CFR) 101-11.6
5010-112
FBI(20-cv-3269)-3270

memorandum

DATE: 9/24/80

REPLY TO
ATTN OF:

SA [REDACTED]

b6 - 1
b7C - 1

SUBJECT:

ORGANIZED CRIME INFORMATION
SYSTEM (OCIS)
DATA RETRIEVAL SUMMARIES

TO:

SAC, BOSTON (179-262)

Attached is completed OCIS Summary Review Form
and pertinent indices search slips for [REDACTED]

b6 - 2
b7C - 2

MAINTAIN AS TOP SERIAL

SEARCHED	INDEXED <i>m</i>
SERIALIZED	FILED <i>m</i>
SEP 24 1980	
FBI - BOSTON	

WSR/dn

MAINTAIN AS TOP SERIAL

179-262



Buy U.S. Savings Bonds Regularly on the Payroll Savings Plan

OPTIONAL FORM NO. 10
(REV. 7-76)
GSA FPMR (41 CFR) 101-11.6
5010-112
FBI(20-cv-3269)-3276

SWR
AMR

memorandum

DATE: 9/24/80 J

REPLY TO
ATTN OF: SAb6 - 1
b7C - 1SUBJECT: ORGANIZED CRIME INFORMATION
SYSTEM (OCIS)
DATA RETRIEVAL SUMMARIES

TO: SAC, BOSTON (179-262)

Attached is completed OCIS Summary Review Form
and pertinent indices search slips for [REDACTED]b6 - 2
b7C - 2MAINTAIN AS TOP SERIAL

SEARCHED.....	INDEXED <i>mt</i>
SERIALIZED.....	FILED <i>mt</i>
SEP 24 1980	
FBI - BOSTON	
<i>[Signature]</i>	

179-262

WSR/dn



Buy U.S. Savings Bonds Regularly on the Payroll Savings Plan

OPTIONAL FORM NO. 10
(REV. 7-76)
GSA FPMR (41 CFR) 101-11.6
5010-112

FBI(20-cv-3269)-3281

memorandum

DATE: 9/24/80

REPLY TO
ATTN OF:

SA [REDACTED]

b6 - 1
b7C - 1

SUBJECT:

ORGANIZED CRIME INFORMATION
SYSTEM (OCIS)
DATA RETRIEVAL SUMMARIES

TO:

SAC, BOSTON (179-262)

Attached is completed OCIS Summary Review Form
and pertinent indices search slips for [REDACTED]MAINTAIN AS TOP SERIALb6 - 2
b7C - 2

WSR/dn

179-262



Buy U.S. Savings Bonds Regularly on the Payroll Savings Plan

OPTIONAL FORM NO. 10
(REV. 7-76)
GSA FPMR (41 CFR) 101-11.6
5010-112
FBI(20-cv-3269)-3291

SAC, BOSTON (179-262) (P)

8/6/76

SA [REDACTED]

b6 - 1, 2, 7
b7C - 1, 2, 7
b7D - 1

[REDACTED] aka;

ET AL;

[REDACTED] - VICTIM

ECT

In view of case Agent's re-assignment, it is recommended this matter be re-assigned to SA [REDACTED]

b6 - 1
b7C - 1

Agent to whom case is re-assigned should immediately contact Strike Force Attorney MARTIN D. BOUDREAU to begin in trial preparation for subjects [REDACTED] LE PORE and [REDACTED] scheduled to begin in USDC, Boston, Mass. first week of 9/76.

b6 - 2
b7C - 2

② Boston
JMM:mm
(2)

179-262-359

SEARCHED	INDEXED
SERIALIZED	FILED
AUG 11 1976	
FBI - BOSTON	

[REDACTED]

b6 - 1
b7C - 1

Boston Police
BUREAU OF INSPECTIONAL SERVICES

GENERAL INFORMATION RECORD

REPORT RE:

daily Activities

DATE August 18, 1976

b6 - 1, 2, 3
PAC b7C - 1, 2, 3

Deputy Supt. [redacted]
Intelligence Division

DISSEMINATION/NO DISSEMINATION

TO:

S/A [redacted] FBI

IDENTIFICATIONS:

From: Dets [redacted]

Organized Crime Section

[redacted] tending bar at the penalty Box .

The lights were on at 96 Prince Street the club, the realstate office at 95 clos ed.

[redacted] and [redacted] on the corner of Fleet Street, and Commercial Street
[redacted] was in casual dress, yellow windbreaker jacket, dark pants, and white sneakers.

[redacted] at the Last Venture 129 West Broadway, business was very slow.

b6 - 2
b7C - 2

Howard Johnsons South Boston nothing.

[redacted] with [redacted] and a female. They parked at Vincents and walked to
108 lounge on Richmond Street, in the North ind. There is no name on the Premises.
This place could stand a check at the licensing board.

b6 - 2, 6
b7C - 2, 6

[redacted] operating his caddy Mass [redacted] on Commercial at Fleet Street.

[redacted] at Francesco's. b6 - 2, 6
b7C - 2, 6

[redacted] seated at a table with [redacted] and unk female at Clarks.

[redacted] and a female having lunch at another table.

[redacted] at the bar with a male unknown to us. [redacted] on the premises working.

[redacted] and [redacted] outside 96 Prince Street [redacted] and [redacted]
standing outside the Pompei Rest-Hanover Street.

Attic [redacted] Living Room [redacted]
Corners lounge.

tending b r at the Four

We checked Dukes in the park no one famliar to us.

SEARCHED INDEXED
SERIALIZED FILED

1976-08-18 b6 - 1
b7C - 1

FI - BOSTON

69-3311

92-1950

179-262

183-84

10-8358

179-262-364

FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

Date of transcription 9/9/76

[redacted]
[redacted], was located and interviewed at his residence. [redacted] was immediately advised of the identities of interviewing agents, as well as the fact he was being interviewed in connection with loan sharking matter.

b6 - 5
b7C - 5
b7D - 1

[redacted] was advised that some time during the month of September, 1976, a trial was going to be held in U. S. District Court, Boston, and the defendants in this trial were MARINO LEPORE, [redacted] and [redacted] stated that he was aware of this trial and knew all the defendants connected with this trial, as well as the witness, namely [redacted]

b6 - 2, 5, 7
b7C - 2, 5, 7
b7D - 1

[redacted] was asked if he ever [redacted]

[redacted]

b6 - 2, 5, 7
b7C - 2, 5, 7
b7D - 1

[redacted] advised that during the period

[redacted]

b6 - 2, 5, 6, 7
b7C - 2, 5, 6, 7
b7D - 1

Interviewed on 9/8/76File # Boston 179-262-368

SA [redacted] and
SA [redacted] - TJD/gm

b6 - 1
b7C - 1
b7D - 1

by [signature] Date dictated 9/8/76

FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

Date of transcription 9/9/76b6 - 5
b7C - 5
b7D - 1

[redacted]
[redacted] was located and interviewed at his residence.

He was immediately advised of the identity of interviewing agents, as well as the fact that the interview concerned loan sharking matters.

[redacted] was advised that during the month of September, 1976, a trial was going to be held in U. S. District Court, Boston, and the defendants in this trial would be MARINO LEPORE, [redacted] and [redacted] stated he knew all of the individuals involved in the trial, as well as the witness in the case for the Government, namely [redacted]. He further advised that [redacted]

[redacted]

b6 - 2, 5, 6, 7
b7C - 2, 5, 6, 7
b7D - 1

Interviewed on 9/8/76 at [redacted] File # Boston 179-262-369
by SA [redacted] and SA [redacted] - TJD/gm Date dictated 9/8/76
177

b6 - 1
b7C - 1
b7D - 1

BUREAU OF INSPECTIONAL SERVICES

REPORT RE:
Military Activities

DATE 2-23-76

PAGE 1 OF 1

DISSEMINATION/NO DISSEMINATION

TO:

IDENTIFICATIONS:

b6 - 1, 2, 3
b7C - 1, 2, 3

Objectives

FOIA b. Exempted to Generation Skipped & Marchant, 1998 School.

273047, assigned to Charleston High School

10/24/66 [redacted] 10/24/66

b6 - 2, 6
b7C - 2, 6

[illegible]

7/27/84, [redacted] and the participation subjects in [redacted] in
 Glen Canyon, California.

1:30 P.M. [redacted] 19 Jan. Ret. 69-901 to General Alex. S. Galt phone [redacted]

1992. City Hall used for protest by hard-lefters. Subjects had been
seen in Berkeley, CA, and they were tried on charges of bomb
threats.

There was sporadic stopping of Police Officers and vehicles in the project area of Ch. Elcterna.

SEARCHED _____ INDEXED _____
SERIALIZED na FILED BSP
SEP 13 1976
FBI - BOSTON
b6
b7C

b6 - 1
b7C - 1

FBI(20-cv-3269)-3324

INVESTIGATOR

1-35

DIRECTOR, FBI (179-1143)

10/13/76

SAC, BOSTON (179-262) (P)

b6 - 2, 7
b7C - 2, 7
b7D - 1

_____, aka;
ET AL:

ICT VICTIM

For information of the Bureau, trial in captioned matter relative to three defendants, namely _____ MARINO LEPORE and _____, was to commence in USDC, Boston, Mass. on 9/7/76.

b6 - 2
b7C - 2

On 9/20/76, all defendants appeared in USDC and plead guilty as charged to assorted violations of the Federal loansharking laws. Disposition for this matter was set for 10/14/76 in USDC.


Boston will submit report upon disposition in this matter.

2 Bureau
2 Boston
TJD:nn
(4)

SEARCHED _____
SERIALIZED 259
INDEXED _____
FILED BS

179-262-373

FD 461's executed?



b6 - 1
b7C - 1

FBI(20-cv-3269)-3327

FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

REPORTING OFFICE BOSTON	OFFICE OF ORIGIN BOSTON	DATE 10/18/76	INVESTIGATIVE PERIOD 10/15/76 - 10/16/76
TITLE OF CASE <div style="border: 1px solid black; width: 200px; height: 20px; display: inline-block;"></div> aka; ET AL; <div style="border: 1px solid black; width: 200px; height: 20px; display: inline-block;"></div> VICTIM		REPORT MADE BY SA <div style="border: 1px solid black; width: 150px; height: 20px; display: inline-block;"></div>	TYPED BY mm
		CHARACTER OF CASE ECT	b6 - 1, 2, 7 b7C - 1, 2, 7 b7D - 1

REFERENCE: Boston letter to the Bureau dated 10/13/76.

(P)

LEADS

BOSTON

AT BOSTON, MASS.

Will follow appeals where applicable of certain defendants.

ADMINISTRATIVE

Disposition Sheets (R-84) re MARINO MARIO
LEPORE, and furnished
to the Bureau.

b6 - 2
b7C - 2

ACCOMPLISHMENTS CLAIMED				<input type="checkbox"/> NONE	ACQUIT- TALS	CASE HAS BEEN:	
CONVIC.	FUG.	FINES	SAVINGS	RECOVERIES			
3		3000				PENDING OVER ONE YEAR <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO PENDING PROSECUTION OVER SIX MONTHS <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO	
APPROVED <div style="border: 1px solid black; border-radius: 50%; width: 50px; height: 50px; display: inline-block; vertical-align: middle;"></div>				SPECIAL AGENT IN CHARGE			DO NOT WRITE IN SPACES BELOW
COPIES MADE 3 Bureau (179-1143) (2) Boston (179-262)				<div style="border: 1px solid black; width: 100px; height: 100px; display: inline-block; vertical-align: middle;"></div>			b6 - 1 b7C - 1
Dissemination Record of Attached Report				Notations			
Agency							
Request Recd.							
Date Fwd.							
How Fwd.							
By							

179-262-394

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE
FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

Copy to:

Report of:

[redacted]
October 18, 1976

Office: Boston, Massachusetts

Date:

Field Office File #:

179-262

Bureau File #: 179-1143

Title:

[redacted]
ET AL;
[redacted] - VICTIM

b6 - 1, 2, 7
b7C - 1, 2, 7
b7D - 1

Character:

EXTORTIONATE CREDIT TRANSACTION

Synopsis:

On 10/15/76, MARINO MARIO LEFORE sentenced in USDC, Boston after his plea of guilty in violation T. 18, USC, Section 892 to the following sentence: 2 years custody of AG and \$1000 fine.

[redacted] who pled guilty to the same charges as LEFORE, was sentenced on the same date as follows: 18 months custody of AG, \$1000 fine. [redacted] who pled guilty to the same charges as above, was sentenced on 10/16/76 to 2 years custody of the AG and \$1000 fine. All the above individuals were sentenced by the Honorable WALTER JAY SKIDNER, USDC Judge.

b6 - 2
b7C - 2

(P)

Details:

On October 15, 1976, MARINO MARIO LEFORE was sentenced in United States District Court, Boston, Massachusetts after his plea of guilty in violation of Title 18, United States Code, Section 892 to the following sentence: two years custody of the Attorney General and a \$1000 fine.

BS 179-262

On October 15, 1976, [REDACTED] who pled guilty to the same charges as LEFORD, was sentenced as follows: 18 months custody of the Attorney General and a \$1000 fine.

b6 - 2
b7C - 2

[REDACTED] who pled guilty to the same charges as above, was sentenced on October 16, 1976 to two years custody of the Attorney General and a \$1000 fine. All of the above individuals were sentenced by the Honorable WALTER J. SKISHER, United States District Court Judge, Boston, Massachusetts.

b6 - 2
b7C - 2

COMMONWEALTH OF MASSACHUSETTS

SUFFOLK, SS.

SUPERIOR COURT
NOS. 89518,89519,89520
89522,89523,89526
89527,89529

COMMONWEALTH OF MASSACHUSETTS

VS.

MOTION FOR DISCOVERY AND
INSPECTION RELATING TO
ELECTRONIC SURVEILLANCE OF
THE DEFENDANT

b6 - 2
b7C - 2

Now comes the defendant [REDACTED] who moves that
this Honorable Court

1. order the Commonwealth, after appropriate and
thorough inquiry, to affirm or deny the existence of any
electronic surveillance, between March 1, 1975 and the present
including but not limited to wiretaps, eavesdrops, bugs, beepers,
or other monitoring equipment, conducted by federal authorities,
(including but not limited to Federal Bureau of Investigation,
United States Department of Justice Strike Force agents), state
authorities (including but not limited to Massachusetts State
Police), county authorities (including but not limited to
Suffolk District Attorney Office), or city authorities (including
but not limited to Boston and Quincy Police Departments) of
the following:

- a. conversations of either defendant;
- b. conversations of any co-conspirator;

179-262-375

SEARCHED	INDEXED
SERIALIZED	FILED
NOV 5 1976	
BOSTON	

b5 - 1
b6 - 1
b7C - 1

- c. conversations of attorneys [redacted] (defendant's past attorney), [redacted] or [redacted] (defendant's present attorneys);
- d. of any conversation conducted from the residence or business office of any defendant, co-conspirator, or attorneys [redacted] or [redacted] the specific locations and phone numbers of which are included in a claim appended hereto, incorporated herein, and marked "A";
- e. of any conversation at any place when the defendants, co-conspirators, or above-named attorneys were present;
- f. of any conversation when the name of either defendant or the subject matter of the above-captioned matter was named;
- g. of any conversation placed under surveillance for the purpose, in whole or in part, of gathering evidence or leads against the defendants.

2. If the Commonwealth affirms any electronic surveillance within the boundaries of Paragraph 1, to produce for inspection and copying the following:

- a. the original of all tapes, voice records, mechanical or electronic recordings, logs, records of any surveillance
- b. the logs, letters, memoranda, affidavits, applications, papers submitted in support of application for executive, administrative, or judicial approval of the surveillance
- c. all executive, administrative, or judicial orders for the surveillance

3. If the Commonwealth denies any electronic surveillance within the boundaries of Paragraph 1, to state, by affidavits, the extent of its inquiries regarding the surveillance and the specific nature of the information disclosed by said inquiries.

By his attorney,

b6 - 6
b7C - 6

COMMONWEALTH OF MASSACHUSETTS

SUFFOLK, SS.

SUPERIOR COURT

NOS. 89518, 89519, 89520
89522, 89523, 89526
89527, 89529 AND
89521, 89524, 89525
89528, 89530

COMMONWEALTH OF MASSACHUSETTS

VS.

CLAIM

b6 - 2, 6
b7C - 2, 6

Now comes [REDACTED], attorney for [REDACTED]

and [REDACTED] attorney for [REDACTED], who
hereby depose and state the following:

1. That on information and belief some or all of the
following telephone numbers have been the subject of electronic
interceptions by law enforcement agents between the dates of b6 - 2
March 1, 1975 and the present. b7C - 2

1.

2.

3.

4.

INDEXED
FILED
Defendant Halloran

1975 1970

b6 - 1
b7C - 1

5.

6.

7.

8.

2. That on behalf of the defendants and pursuant to their motion for the production of information relating to electronic surveillance, formal claim is hereby made of electronic interceptions of conversations involving the defendants or attorneys on some or all of the above-listed telephone numbers.

Sworn to under the pains and penalties of perjury this 9th day of December, 1975.

b6 - 2, 6
b7C - 2, 6

[redacted]
For defendant [redacted]

[redacted]
For defendant [redacted]

COMMONWEALTH OF MASSACHUSETTS

SUFFOLK, SS.

DECEMBER 9, 1975

Then personally appeared [redacted] and [redacted] and made oath that they had read the contents of a Claim which they had previously signed, and that the statements therein are true to the best of their knowledge and belief.

Linda J. Nallett
Notary Public
My commission expires:

9/3/82

COMMONWEALTH OF MASSACHUSETTS

SUFFOLK, SS.

SUPERIOR COURT

NOS. 89521,89524,89525
89528,89530

COMMONWEALTH OF MASSACHUSETTS

VS.

MOTION FOR DISCOVERY AND
INSPECTION RELATING TO
ELECTRONIC SURVEILLANCE OF
THE DEFENDANT

b6 - 2
b7C - 2

Now comes the defendant [REDACTED] who moves
that this Honorable Court

1. order the Commonwealth, after appropriate and
thorough inquiry, to affirm or deny the existence of any
electronic surveillance, between March 1, 1975 and the present
including but not limited to wiretaps, eavesdrops, bugs, beepers
or other monitoring equipment, conducted by federal authorities,
(including but not limited to Federal Bureau of Investigation,
United States Department of Justice Strike Force agents), state
authorities (including but not limited to Massachusetts State
Police), county authorities (including but not limited to
Suffolk District Attorney Office), or city authorities (including
but not limited to Boston and Quincy Police Departments) of the
following:

- a. conversations of either defendant; 179-262-376
- b. conversations of any co-conspirator

SEARCHED	INDEXED
SERIALIZED	FILED
NOV 5 1976	
BOSTON	

b5 - 1
b6 - 1
b7C - 1

- c. conversations of attorneys [redacted] (defendant's past attorney), [redacted] or [redacted] (defendant's present attorneys);
- d. of any conversation conducted from the residence or business office of any defendant, co-conspirator, or attorneys [redacted] or [redacted], the specific locations and phone numbers of which are included in a claim appended hereto, incorporated herein, and marked "A";
- e. of any conversation at any place when the defendants, co-conspirators, or above-named attorneys were present;
- f. of any conversation when the name of either defendant or the subject matter of the above-captioned matter was named;
- g. of any conversation placed under surveillance for the purpose, in whole or in part, of gathering evidence or leads against the defendants.

2. If the Commonwealth affirms any electronic surveillance within the boundaries of Paragraph 1, to produce for inspection and copying the following:

- a. the original of all tapes, voice records, mechanical or electronic recordings, logs, records of any surveillance
- b. the logs, letters, memoranda, affidavits, applications, papers submitted in support of application for executive, administrative, or judicial approval of the surveillance
- c. all executive, administrative, or judicial orders for the surveillance

3. If the Commonwealth denies any electronic surveillance within the boundaries of Paragraph 1, to state, by affidavits, the extent of its inquiries regarding the surveillance and the specific nature of the information disclosed by said inquiries.

By his attorney,

[redacted]

COMMONWEALTH OF MASSACHUSETTS

SUFFOLK, SS.

SUPERIOR COURT

NOS. 89518, 89519, 89520
89522, 89523, 89526
89527, 89529 AND
89521, 89524, 89525
89528, 89530

COMMONWEALTH OF MASSACHUSETTS

VS.

CLAIM

b6 - 2, 6
b7C - 2, 6

Now comes [REDACTED] attorney for [REDACTED]

and [REDACTED] attorney for [REDACTED] who
hereby depose and state the following:

1. That on information and belief some or all of the
following telephone numbers have been the subject of electronic
interceptions by law enforcement agents between the dates of b6 - 2
March 1, 1975 and the present. b7C - 2

1.

2.

3.

4.

SEARCHED INDEXED
SERIALIZED FILED

NOV 5 1976

b6 - 1
b7C - 1

(20-cv-3269)-3337

5.

6.

7.

8.

2. That on behalf of the defendants and pursuant to their motion for the production of information relating to electronic surveillance, formal claim is hereby made of electronic interceptions of conversations involving the defendants or attorneys on some or all of the above-listed telephone numbers.

Sworn to under the pains and penalties of perjury this 9th day of December, 1975.

b6 - 2, 6
b7C - 2, 6

[Redacted]
For defendant [Redacted]

[Redacted]
For defendant [Redacted]

COMMONWEALTH OF MASSACHUSETTS

SUFFOLK, SS.

DECEMBER 9, 1975

b6 - 6
b7C - 6

Then personally appeared [Redacted] and [Redacted] and made oath that they had read the contents of a Claim which they had previously signed, and that the statements therein are true to the best of their knowledge and belief.

Linda J. Nallett
Notary Public
My commission expires:

9/3/82



*The Commonwealth of Massachusetts
District Attorney Suffolk District*

*Court House, Room 812, Pemberton Square
Boston, Massachusetts 02108*

*Garrett H. Byrne
District Attorney*

SUFFOLK COUNTY INVESTIGATION
AND PROSECUTION PROJECT

THOMAS E. DWYER, JR.
CHIEF COUNSEL

October 12, 1976


Mr. Richard Bates
Special Agent in Charge
Boston Field Office
F.B.I.
J.F.K. Building
Boston, Massachusetts

Dear Mr. Bates:

Enclosed are copies of motions filed in a case which our office is prosecuting. I would request that you or your staff read these motions and inform me as to whether or not any electronic surveillance was utilized with respect to the individuals and places named.

I would ask that you forward a written response to me as soon as possible.

Sincerely,



THOMAS E. DWYER, JR.
Special Assistant
District Attorney

TED/jc

Enclosure

179-262-377

SEARCHED	INDEXED
SERIALIZED 320	FILED 557
OCT 13 1976	
BOSTON	



b6 - 1
b7C - 1

FBI(20-cv-3269)-3339

John F. Kennedy Federal Office Building
Boston, Massachusetts 02203

November 5, 1976

Thomas E. Dwyer
Special Assistant District Attorney
Suffolk District
Commonwealth of Massachusetts
Room 300
73 Tremont Street
Boston, Massachusetts 02108

Dear Mr. Dwyer:

Reference is made to your letter of October 12, 1976, regarding motions filed by [redacted] and [redacted] in connection with indictments pending against them in Suffolk Superior Court.


b6 - 2
b7C - 2

The request by the defendants has been discussed with the Federal Organized Crime Strike Force in the person of Special Attorney Martin B. Boudreau and his letter of November 3, 1976, to you contains a summary of that information requested with respect to the above-named defendants.

Also, this office did not conduct any electronic surveillance of [redacted] and [redacted] between March 1, 1975 and December 9, 1975, relevant to the charges pending against them in Suffolk Superior Court.

b6 - 2
b7C - 2


Sincerely yours,


RICHARD F. BATES
Special Agent in Charge

SEARCHED
SERIALIZED
INDEXED
FILED
BSD
BSN

BS 179-262
JFS:gm
(2)

b6 - 1
b7C - 1



179-262-379

FBI(20-cv-3269)-3342

SUMMARY OF PROSECUTIVE ACTION IN ORGANIZED CRIME CASES

ENTER AN X IN APPROPRIATE BLOCK

Date 10/19/76

- ☐ New Case
- ☒ Additional Data or Modification of Previously Submitted Information
- ☐ Complete Deletion of Entire Record (Give reason for deletion in block #50)

PART 1 - IDENTIFICATION INFORMATION (This information must always be provided)

01 Field Office Abbreviation BS	02 Field Office File Number 179-262	03 Bureau File No. 179-1143
04 Subject's Name (Last Name First - One Subject per Form) LEPORE, MARINO MARIO		05 Total Number of Subjects in Case 11

PART 2 - INDICTMENT OR COMPLAINT INFORMATION (Not required if submitted previously)

20 Type of Process <input type="checkbox"/> A Indictment <input type="checkbox"/> B Complaint <input type="checkbox"/> C Other (Describe)	21 Statute(s) Charged A Title Sect B Title Sect C Title Sect D Title Sect	22 Date(s) of Process A / / B / / C / / D / /	23 Title III <input type="checkbox"/> A X if Title III coverage was in connection with process <input type="checkbox"/> B <input type="checkbox"/> C <input type="checkbox"/> D	24 <input type="checkbox"/> X if Subject is an LCN Member (If X'd, complete Blocks 25 and 26)
25 LCN Family Name				
26 LCN Rank <input type="checkbox"/> A Boss <input type="checkbox"/> B Underboss <input type="checkbox"/> C Consigliere <input type="checkbox"/> D Capodecina <input type="checkbox"/> E Former Leader <input type="checkbox"/> F Soldier <input type="checkbox"/> G Proposed Member <input type="checkbox"/> H Possible Member <input type="checkbox"/> I Unknown <input type="checkbox"/> J Other (Describe)				

PART 3 - CONVICTION DATA

30 Conviction Statute(s)	31 Conviction Date(s)	32 Sentence Date(s)	33 Actual Jail Term(s)	34 Suspended Sentence(s)	35 Probated Sentence(s)	36 Fines Imposed
A Title 18 Sect 892	A 9/20 / 76	A 10 / 15 / 76	A 2 yrs mos	A yrs mos	A yrs mos	A 1000
B Title 18 Sect 892(2)	B 9/20 / 76	B / /	B yrs mos	B yrs mos	B yrs mos	B
C Title Sect	C / /	C / /	C yrs mos	C yrs mos	C yrs mos	C
D Title Sect	D / /	D / /	D yrs mos	D yrs mos	D yrs mos	D
37 Conviction Comments						

PART 4 - PROSECUTION DISMISSED OR ACQUITTED

40 Statute(s) Dismissed A Title Sect B Title Sect C Title Sect D Title Sect	41 Date(s) Dismissed A / / B / / C / / D / /	42 Reason SEARCHED SERIALIZED INDEXED FILED BSN BSN 179-262-380
---	--	---

PART 5 - MISCELLANEOUS COMMENTS

50
51 Was Form #2 Submitted? <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> Yes Date Submitted

1 Bureau 2 Boston (179-262) (1-94-536)

FBI(20-cv-3269)-3343

SUMMARY OF PROSECUTIVE ACTION IN ORGANIZED CRIME CASES

ENTER AN X IN APPROPRIATE BLOCK

Date 10/19/76

- ☐ New Case
- ☒ Additional Data or Modification of Previously Submitted Information
- ☐ Complete Deletion of Entire Record (Give reason for deletion in block #50)

PART 1 - IDENTIFICATION INFORMATION (This information must always be provided)

01 Field Office Abbreviation BS	02 Field Office File Number 179-262	03 Bureau File No. 179-1143	b6 - 2 b7C - 2
04 Subject's Name (Last Name First - One Subject per Form) <div style="border: 1px solid black; height: 15px; width: 250px;"></div>			05 Total Number of Subjects in Case <u>11</u>

PART 2 - INDICTMENT OR COMPLAINT INFORMATION (Not required if submitted previously)

20 Type of Process <input type="checkbox"/> A Indictment <input type="checkbox"/> B Complaint <input type="checkbox"/> C Other (Describe)	21 Statute(s) Charged A Title Sect B Title Sect C Title Sect D Title Sect	22 Date(s) of Process A / / B / / C / / D / /	23 Title III <input type="checkbox"/> A X if Title III coverage was in connection with process <input type="checkbox"/> B <input type="checkbox"/> C <input type="checkbox"/> D	24 <input type="checkbox"/> X if Subject is an LCN Member (If X'd, complete Blocks 25 and 26)
25 LCN Family Name				
26 LCN Rank <input type="checkbox"/> A Boss <input type="checkbox"/> B Underboss <input type="checkbox"/> C Consigliere <input type="checkbox"/> D Capodecina <input type="checkbox"/> E Former Leader <input type="checkbox"/> F Soldier <input type="checkbox"/> G Proposed Member <input type="checkbox"/> H Possible Member <input type="checkbox"/> I Unknown <input type="checkbox"/> J Other (Describe)				

PART 3 - CONVICTION DATA

30 Conviction Statute(s)	31 Conviction Date(s)	32 Sentence Date(s)	33 Actual Jail Term(s)	34 Suspended Sentence(s)	35 Probated Sentence(s)	36 Fines Imposed
A Title Sect 18 USC 892	A 9/20 / 76	A 10/15 / 76	A yrs mos 18 mos	A yrs mos	A yrs mos	A 1000
B Title Sect 18 USC 892(2)	B 9/20 / 76	B 10/15 / 76	B yrs mos	B yrs mos	B yrs mos	B
C Title Sect	C / /	C / /	C yrs mos	C yrs mos	C yrs mos	C
D Title Sect	D / /	D / /	D yrs mos	D yrs mos	D yrs mos	D
37 Conviction Comments						

PART 4 - PROSECUTION DISMISSED OR ACQUITTED

40 Statute(s) Dismissed A Title Sect B Title Sect C Title Sect D Title Sect	41 Date(s) Dismissed A / / B / / C / / D / /	42 Reason SEARCHED SERIALIZED INDEXED FILED <u>BSV</u>	<u>179-262-381</u>
---	--	---	--------------------

PART 5 - MISCELLANEOUS COMMENTS

50	<div style="border: 1px solid black; width: 100px; height: 60px; display: flex; align-items: center; justify-content: center;">b6 - 1 b7C - 1</div>
51 Was Form #2 Submitted? <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> Yes Date Submitted	

1 Bureau 2 Boston (1-179-262) (1-94-536)

FBI(20-cv-3269)-3344

SUMMARY OF PROSECUTIVE ACTION IN ORGANIZED CRIME CASES

ENTER AN X IN APPROPRIATE BLOCK

Date 10/19/76

- ☐ New Case
- ☒ Additional Data or Modification of Previously Submitted Information
- ☐ Complete Deletion of Entire Record (Give reason for deletion in block #50)

PART 1 - IDENTIFICATION INFORMATION (This information must always be provided)

01 Field Office Abbreviation <u>BS</u>	02 Field Office File Number <u>179-262</u>	03 Bureau File No. <u>179-1143</u>	b6 - 2 b7C - 2
04 Subject's Name (Last Name First - One Subject per Form) <div style="border: 1px solid black; height: 20px; width: 100%;"></div>			05 Total Number of Subjects in Case <u>11</u>

PART 2 - INDICTMENT OR COMPLAINT INFORMATION (Not required if submitted previously)

20 Type of Process <input type="checkbox"/> A Indictment <input type="checkbox"/> B Complaint <input type="checkbox"/> C Other (Describe)	21 Statute(s) Charged A Title Sect B Title Sect C Title Sect D Title Sect	22 Date(s) of Process A / / B / / C / / D / /	23 Title III <input type="checkbox"/> A X if Title III coverage was in connection with process <input type="checkbox"/> B <input type="checkbox"/> C <input type="checkbox"/> D	24 <input type="checkbox"/> X if Subject is an LCN Member (If X'd, complete Blocks 25 and 26)
25 LCN Family Name				
26 LCN Rank <input type="checkbox"/> A Boss <input type="checkbox"/> B Underboss <input type="checkbox"/> C Consigliere <input type="checkbox"/> D Capodecina <input type="checkbox"/> E Former Leader <input type="checkbox"/> F Soldier <input type="checkbox"/> G Proposed Member <input type="checkbox"/> H Possible Member <input type="checkbox"/> I Unknown <input type="checkbox"/> J Other (Describe)				

PART 3 - CONVICTION DATA

30 Conviction Statute(s)	31 Conviction Date(s)	32 Sentence Date(s)	33 Actual Jail Term(s)	34 Suspended Sentence(s)	35 Probated Sentence(s)	36 Fines Imposed
A Title 18 Sect 892	A 9/20/76	A 10/16/76	A 2 yrs mos	A yrs mos	A yrs mos	A 1000
B Title 18 Sect 892(2)	B 9/20/76	B 10/16/76	B yrs mos	B yrs mos	B yrs mos	B
C Title Sect	C / /	C / /	C yrs mos	C yrs mos	C yrs mos	C
D Title Sect	D / /	D / /	D yrs mos	D yrs mos	D yrs mos	D
37 Conviction Comments						

PART 4 - PROSECUTION DISMISSED OR ACQUITTED

40 Statute(s) Dismissed A Title Sect B Title Sect C Title Sect D Title Sect	41 Date(s) Dismissed A / / B / / C / / D / /	42 Reason
		<div style="text-align: center;"> SEARCHED SERIALIZED INDEXED FILED </div> <div style="text-align: right;"> <u>B50</u> <u>B50</u> <u>179-262-382</u> </div>

PART 5 - MISCELLANEOUS COMMENTS

50	b6 - 1 b7C - 1
51 Was Form #2 Submitted? <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> Yes Date Submitted	

FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

Date of transcription 10/14/75

On October 10, 1975, a surveillance was initiated in the vicinity of 508 Main Street, Medford, Massachusetts (Vico Sales), and the following activity was observed, and in some instances photographed, at the times indicated:

8:30 a.m.

Surveillance initiated. Motion pictures of the store front were taken and also photographs with a 35 mm camera were taken of the store front and area.

9:58 a.m.

A white male, wearing a maroon leisure suit, medium build, with dark hair, in a mod cut, known to agents as ROBERT VISCONTI, arrived and opened Vico Sales store. Motion pictures were taken of VISCONTI entering the store.

10:00 a.m. to 10:02 a.m.

ROBERT VISCONTI left Vico Sales and went next door to an insurance company. Approximately two minutes later, VISCONTI returned from the insurance company with a cup of coffee and entered Vico Sales. Photographs were taken with the 35 mm camera.

10:09 a.m.

A Pontiac station wagon pulled up adjacent to Vico Sales and parked on Hicks Avenue. The driver, a white male, medium build, short hair, middle thirties, wearing a windbreaker and slacks, was observed to get out of the Pontiac and enter Vico Sales. Photographs were taken with the 35 mm camera.

Interviewed on 10/10/75 at Medford, Massachusetts File # Boston 179-262-383
by SA [redacted] and [redacted] b6 - 1
S [redacted] - WGS/gm 10/14/75 b7C - 1
Date dictated

BS 179-262

10:10 a.m.

A yellow Ford was observed to pull up and park behind the Pontiac station wagon on Hicks Avenue. The driver, a white male, age middle sixties, heavy build, balding, wearing slacks and a button-type sweater, departed the Ford and entered Vico Sales. Motion pictures were taken of this individual leaving the Ford and entering the store.

10:12 a.m.

The white male, who previously entered Vico Sales from the Pontiac station wagon, was observed to depart the store, enter the Pontiac and drive away. Motion pictures were taken of this individual.

10:12 a.m.

Two young white females were observed to enter the store.

10:12 a.m.

ROBERT VISCONTI and the driver of the yellow Ford, an unknown white male in his middle sixties, came out of Vico Sales and commenced a conversation in front of the store.

10:26 a.m.

VISCONTI and the white male in his middle sixties terminated the conversation. VISCONTI returned to Vico Sales and the other white male returned to his Ford and drove away. Motion pictures were taken at various times during VISCONTI's and the unidentified white male's conversation. Also, photographs with the 35 mm camera were taken.

10:30 a.m.

Surveillance discontinued.

The motion pictures were taken by SA [redacted] b6 - 1
and the photographs with the 35 mm camera were taken by [redacted] b7C - 1
SA [redacted]

DIRECTOR, FBI (179-1143)

1/3/77

SAC, BOSTON (179-262) (P)

b6 - 2, 7
b7C - 2, 7
b7D - 1

[redacted] aka
ET AL:
[redacted] - VICTIM;
LCT

Re report of SA [redacted] dated 10/18/76
at Boston.

b6 - 1
b7C - 1

For information of the Bureau on 12/8/76
the Court of Appeals for the First Circuit, Boston, Mass.
confirmed the conviction of [redacted] and ROBERT
VISCONTI in captioned matter.

b6 - 2
b7C - 2

At this writing the appeal of [redacted]
is outstanding and Bureau will be kept advised of the
status of this appeal by appropriate communication.

2 - Bureau
(2) - Boston
TJD/po's
(4)

PSD

PSD

b6 - 1
b7C - 1

179-262-384

FBI(20-cv-3269)-3348

Boston Police

BUREAU OF INSPECTIONAL SERVICES

GENERAL INFORMATION RECORD		REPORT RE: _____ DATE: 1-19-77
TO: DEPUTY [redacted] FROM: DET [redacted]	DISSEMINATION/NO DISSEMINATION TO: [redacted]	IDENTIFICATIONS: [redacted]

Observations made by Detective [redacted] on January 18, 1977.

At 11:25 a.m., I observed [redacted] (younger) with [redacted] at North Station, inside near the Iron Horse Restaurant. The vehicle he was using was a blue Oldsmobile convertible, Mass. Reg. [redacted]

11:26 a.m., observed [redacted] a Federal parolee, in Mass. Reg. [redacted] a 1976 black Ford, in the parking lot opposite Joe Tecce's Restaurant on North Washington Street.

1:15 p.m., observed [redacted] on Salem and Sheaf Streets in a green Dodge pick-up truck, Mass. Reg. B/B [redacted]

1:20 p.m., observed [redacted] and [redacted] of [redacted] They were with an unknown male operating Mass. Reg. 515-784. This vehicle is listed to New England Leasing, Inc., 720 Broadway, Melrose; a 1974 Buick, blue.

1:20 p.m., observed [redacted] in a Jeep snow plow, Mass. Reg. [redacted] He was with an unknown male, 5', 200 lbs., black hair, on Commercial and Prince Streets.

1:20 p.m., observed [redacted] in the window of 538 Commercial Street.

1:55 p.m., observed [redacted] in a green Chevrolet, Mass. Reg. Dealer [redacted] on Lancaster Street - an auto body shop.

2:30 p.m., observed [redacted] on Medford and Chelsea Streets, in Mass. Reg. [redacted] a blue Oldsmobile.

3:50 p.m., observed [redacted] on Thatcher and Prince

183-84
 92-2343
 179-262
 92-1950

SEARCHED _____ INDEXED _____
 SERIALIZED 352 FILED B-17
 JAN 22 1977
 BOSTON
 92-262-385
 92-2343
 92-1950

Boston Police
BUREAU OF INSPECTIONAL SERVICES

GENERAL INFORMATION RECORD

REPORT RE:

Monthly activities.

DATE

b6 - 1, 2, 3

February 11, 1977

b7C - 1, 2, 3

DISSEMINATION/NO DISSEMINATION

TO:

SCIPP

S/A

FBI

IDENTIFICATIONS

From: Det's [redacted]

Continues

11:25 P.M. Francesco's [redacted] entertaining as usual at the rear. M/R [redacted]

[redacted] parked outside.

Duke's in the Park, crowded but no one we knew.

11:40 P.M. The Huntington Realty and the club at 96 Prince street both closed and in darkness.

b6 - 2, 6

b7C - 2, 6

The shylock club at 538 Commercial street remained close throughout the entire tour of duty.

12:05 A.M. Coliseum restaurant, just the female help and the cook [redacted]

At 12:55 A.M. Playboy club, and at the bar displeased to see us to say the least

were, [redacted] and [redacted] plus

b6 - 2, 6

b7C - 2, 6

1:15 A.M. [redacted] at Causeway and Canal. (Bookie)

1:20 A.M. [redacted] standing in the doorway of Vincent's, [redacted]

179-262-386

We also checked the Stuart and Iroquois area, living room, back square and Bay Village which was very quiet.

Charlestown and Chinatown both visited.

42-2246

SEARCHED	INDEXED
SERIALIZED <i>BSD</i>	FILED <i>BSD</i>
FEB 16 1977	
FBI - BOSTON	

b6 - 3

b7C - 3

INVESTIGATOR

Boston Police

BUREAU OF INSPECTORIAL SERVICES

GENERAL INFORMATION RECORD	REPORT NO.	
	DATE	PAGE 1 OF
DISSEMINATION TO DISSEMINATION TO.	IDENTIFICATIONS	

151-5th
171
174-102

179-262-387

SEARCHED	INDEXED
SERIALIZED	FILED
APR 19 1971	
FBI - BOSTON	

[Signature]

Boston Police
BUREAU OF INSPECTIONAL SERVICES

2-23-77

GENERAL INFORMATION RECORD

REPORT RE:

DATE

2-23-77

PAGE 1 OF

DISSEMINATION, NO DISSEMINATION
TO:

IDENTIFICATIONS:

Mr. Kellys (Jefe)
62 Burner St. Quincy

b6 - 1, 3
b7C - 1, 3

To: Dep. Supt.

From: Sgt.

Det.

Sir:

b6 - 2, 6
b7C - 2, 6

Since the appearance of Mr. Kellys in telephone books seized in raids of bookies, the above officers went to Main and Burner St, Quincy and made observations of a 1 story brick building sign posted Mr. Kellys. Various vehicles parked around the premises, Mass Reg. [redacted]

[redacted] It was learned that the premises are owned by

[redacted] Also it is run by his brother [redacted] On Dec 10 1970, [redacted] was arrested for Lottery, Apparatus, Being present. At that time the phones were removed from the premises. Since then two phones were approved and installed in the premises. [redacted] and all the bookmakers hang in the premises, also patrons from the Red Garnet. His associates are [redacted]

At about 10:35 observed [redacted] Vehicle Mass Reg. Dealer [redacted] parked in driveway of his mother home [redacted] 179-262-389
At about 10:40 A. M. Observed [redacted] in driveway of [redacted] by [redacted]

SEARCHED INDEXED
SERIALIZED FILED
FEB 23 1977
BOSTON
FBI(20-153269)-3354
1-75

179-262

Boston Police

BUREAU OF INSPECTORIAL SERVICES

GENERAL INFORMATION RECORD

REPORT RE: Daily Activities

DATE March 9, 1977

b6 - 1, 2, 3
b7C - 1, 2, 3

Det/ [redacted]
Det/ [redacted]

DISSEMINATION NO DISSEMINATION

TO: [redacted]
Dept. [redacted]
SA [redacted] ✓

IDENTIFICATION [redacted]

9:00A.M. Boston Juvenile Court [redacted] etal: Using W/O Authority Case cont. to a date to be notified.

11:00A.M. Sheraton Boston re: [redacted] on March 10, 1977

11:30A.M. [redacted] at Nashua and Causeway Sts.

11:35A.M. [redacted] with female in Commercial St. at Prince.

11:35A.M. [redacted] in Commercial St. at Seylocks Club.

12:30P.M. [redacted] in 2W1 in Hanover St. at Clark St.

b6 - 2, 6
b7C - 2, 6

12:35P.M. [redacted] in Hanover at Short Prince St.

12:55P.M. [redacted] in Cad. sdn. M.R. [redacted] with older female. in Hanover St. at Prince St.

1:30P.M. [redacted] entering white Corvette in Lancaster St. [redacted] complaining about business and the fact that his wife is telling him to get another job away from his Hoodlum friends.

[redacted] in the Expert Garage

3:10P.M. [redacted] in Stuart St. at Dartmouth, [redacted] and [redacted] suspected of being involved in Narcotics Traffic.

INTELLIGENCE DIVISION

The information contained herein is the property of the City of Boston Police Department and will be treated as confidential. It is to be used only for the purpose of or in connection with the official duties of the Police Department and is not to be released to any person or organization outside the Police Department without the official approval of the Commissioner of the City of Boston Police Department.

179-262-391

SEARCHED [redacted]
SERIALIZED [redacted]
b6 - 1, 3
b7C - 1, 3

[redacted]

179-262-391
92-7656

IN

W-3269)-3357

Boston Police
BUREAU OF INSPECTIONAL SERVICES

GENERAL INFORMATION RECORD

REPORT RE:

Daily Activities

DATE

March 21, 1977

PAGE 1 OF

IDENTIFICATIONS:

b6 - 1, 3

b7C - 1, 3

DISSEMINATION/NO DISSEMINATION

TO:

To: Deputy [redacted]
Intelligence Division

From: Dets. [redacted]
[redacted]

b6 - 2, 3, 6

b7C - 2, 3, 6

Sir:

As a result of a conversation by telephone with Spt. [redacted] of this unit the following information was requested of us: the subject in question is one [redacted] [redacted] white male, date of birth [redacted] his last arrest to our knowledge was in [redacted] for I&E. He was arrested with one [redacted], white female, date of birth [redacted] - you will find a picture of [redacted] attached to this report. [redacted] has an arrest in Boston but the picture is out of file. The arrest was for firearms violation. [redacted] was operating Mass. [redacted] listed to [redacted] at [redacted]. She was a [redacted] etc. in the downtown area. Our latest information is that she is [redacted] has served time in the [redacted] House of Correction. The latest address we have on [redacted] We would like to have the picture back again if possible.

b6 - 2, 3, 6

b7C - 2, 3, 6

Also [redacted] at one time lived at [redacted] While the subject [redacted] lived at that address 5 hi-jacked trucks were recovered in the area. Three of these trucks contained liquor, one contained Polaroid cameras and the other was cigarettes. This car was mixed up in hi-jacking back in 1972.

Spt. [redacted] stated that the [redacted] has a picture of [redacted] taken from his apartment. If this [redacted] isn't the guy in the [redacted] the picture then have it possible.

179-262-392
~~92-2298~~

SEARCHED	INDEXED
SERIALIZED	FILED
MAR 20 1977	
BOSTON	

b6 - 1

b7C - 1

INVESTIGATOR

FBI(20-cv-3269)-3358

1-75

Boston Police
BUREAU OF INSPECTIONAL SERVICES

GENERAL INFORMATION RECORD

REPORT RE:

DATE

March 24, 1977

PAGE 1 OF

DISSEMINATION NO DISSEMINATION
TO:

IDENTIFICATIONS:

2.

Ward 8, nothing going.

Venetian Gardens, no one known to us.

Bulldog, only local drinkers.

[redacted] car parked on Vesterville Street.

Harbor Lights, nothing.

[redacted] car parked outside of Navarre Street.

b6 - 2, 6
b7C - 2, 6

[redacted] eating pizza at Nina's on Hyde Park Avenue.

[redacted] Boylston St. and Washington Street.

McEvoy's, nothing.

[redacted] parked at the intersection of Commercial St. and Lewis St.

this is the car that [redacted] usually operates. This car was a brand new Cadillac.

Sonny and Unitey's, nothing of interest.

Spent the remainder of the time in the downtown area.

DIRECTOR, FBI (179-1143)

4/5/77

SAC, BOSTON (179-262) (C)

[redacted] aka;
et al:
[redacted] - VICTIM
ECT


b6 - 2, 7
b7C - 2, 7
b7D - 1

Re Boston letter to Bureau 1/3/77.

For information of the Bureau, on 3/30/77 the Court of Appeals for the First Circuit, Boston, Mass., affirmed the conviction of [redacted] and mandate will be issued in approximately 21 days. b6 - 2
b7C - 2

All subjects, who have outstanding appeals in this matter, have had their appeals denied and accordingly all investigation has been completed in this matter.

2 - Bureau
① - Boston
TJD:gm
(3)



SEARCHED
SERIALIZED BSN
INDEXED
FILED BSN

179-262-393

Boston Police
BUREAU OF INSPECTIONAL SERVICES

GENERAL INFORMATION RECORD

REPORT RE:

100-101111-100

DATE

April 4, 1977

b6 - 1, 2, 3

b7C - 1, 2, 3

IDENTIFICATIONS:

To: Deputy [redacted]
Intelligence Division

DISSEMINATION NO DISSEMINATION
TO: [redacted]

From: Det. [redacted]
[redacted]

Sir:

b6 - 3
b7C - 3

Upon arrival at the office we received a call from Officer [redacted] of the Bomb Disposal Unit relative to a bomb picked up by officer of this unit working late. He referred us to the Intelligence Unit to view the bomb. Officer [redacted] stated that the following articles were contained inside of the plastic unit: several hard to matches, black powder, a yellow residue unknown at this time, 63 1/2 in. staples, steel wool, a ladies chain wrapped around the outside that would break into small pieces when extension lock place, a long thin fuse of black powder wrapped in cellophane. Photos of this apparatus was taken by Det. [redacted] present. A copy of all notes and information will be forwarded to this office. The contents of the device as taken by Officer [redacted] who stated that it would be submitted to 101. Canal Avenue.

Drove through the downtown section, [redacted] to the Intermission Lounge.

[redacted] at the visa place at Arlington and Washington Street. b6 - 2, 6
b7C - 2, 6

95 and 96 Prince Street, 95 closed, 96 lights.

Checked [redacted] names of people, they were having a "come and go" [redacted] attendance. [redacted] at the bar. [redacted] arrived in [redacted] with an [redacted] white male passenger.

Witnesses, three people on the premises.

While driving in Tremont Street he observed [redacted] at the wheel. [redacted] came out of [redacted] and he was [redacted] [redacted]

[redacted] and a female at [redacted]

Robert Johnsons, nothing.

Last Venture, [redacted] attending the movie.

[redacted] [redacted] at [redacted] there was a Cadillac [redacted] leaving [redacted]. This had a double [redacted] the rear, [redacted] of [redacted]. This car was [redacted] earlier at 96 Prince Street. He did not see anyone [redacted] [redacted].

[redacted] [redacted] [redacted], nothing.

[redacted] and.

FBI(20-cv-3269)-3361

Boston Police
BUREAU OF INSPECTIONAL SERVICES

GENERAL INFORMATION RECORD

REPORT RE:

DATE

PAGE 1 OF

DISSEMINATION NO DISSEMINATION
TO:

IDENTIFICATIONS:

2.

Edgar Tufts, no use name.

Checked Alfies, no one known to us.

[REDACTED] at Sam's, formerly the leaf and Ale.

b6 - 6
b7C - 6

Drove around the downtown area and finished the tour there.

Boston Police

BUREAU OF INSPECTIONAL SERVICES

GENERAL INFORMATION RECORD

REPORT RE: Activities

DATE April 5, 1977

PAGE 1 OF

From: Det. [redacted]

DISSEMINATION NO DISSEMINATION
TO: [redacted]

IDENTIFICATIONS: b6 - 1, 3
b7C - 1, 3

To: [redacted]
Intelligence Division

File:

Checked around the Dorchester area, Blintridge and the Harbor Lights, nothing.

Checked around the Quincy area, near Elmwood and [redacted] at the old Garret in Quincy. [redacted] in his car, [redacted]

Checked the streets, dead.

Went on River Street, just local drinking.

b6 - 2, 6
b7C - 2, 6

Hill's Saloon, no one of interest.

Irish bar, zero.

Prior Pucks also dead.

[redacted] at Francisco's.

Duke's in the Park, nothing.

b6 - 2, 6
b7C - 2, 6

McAvoy's, only the barmaid.

[redacted] at Clarke's. While we were there [redacted] arrived in his car,

[redacted] saw with [redacted] last night at [redacted] in
is named Henry, first name unknown. It is alleged that he is the owner of [redacted] in
Quincy. The reason that he was there is that he is having a domestic dispute with his wife and
he is trying to get his car back from her. It is parked in the garage behind the corner.

179-262-395

SEARCHED <u> </u>	INDEXED <u> </u>
SERIALIZED <u> </u>	FILED <u> </u>
APR 11 1977	
FBI - BOSTON	

b6 - 3
b7C - 3

INVESTIGATOR [redacted]

Boston Police

BUREAU OF INSPECTIONAL SERVICES

GENERAL INFORMATION RECORD

REPORT RE:

DATE

6-3-77

b6 - 1, 2, 3

b7C - 1, 2, 3

DISSEMINATION/NO DISSEMINATION
TO:

IDENTIFICATIONS:

Investigation: by Detective [redacted]
During their tour on June 2, 1977.

At 10:10 a.m., the detectives observed [redacted] of [redacted] b6 - 2, 3, 6
[redacted] in [redacted] b7C - 2, 3, 6
[redacted] and. He was seen at [redacted]

At this time, [redacted] did not appear in Dorchester District Court for the trial of the hearing.

At 2:45 p.m., observed [redacted]

2:50 p.m., observed [redacted] (younger) at [redacted]
in a yellow Cadillac, dealer's plates 1-L.

2:55 p.m., observed [redacted]

b6 - 2, 6

b7C - 2, 6

3:00 p.m., observed [redacted]

3:05 p.m., observed [redacted]

red Lincoln at [redacted]

3:15 p.m., observed [redacted]

3:20 p.m., observed [redacted]

Isiah, black, at [redacted]

a 1976

4:10 p.m., observed [redacted]

Isiah, black, [redacted] they work at the Mobil Service Station
on Marine and Friend Streets.

179-242-396

SEARCHED INDEXED
SERIALIZED FILED

JUN 3 1977

b6 - 3

b7C - 3

FBI - BOSTON

INVESTIGATOR

FBI(20-cv-3269)-3364

92-1658
179-262
153-84

92-2344

CSO

Boston Police

BUREAU OF INSPECTIONAL SERVICES

GENERAL INFORMATION RECORD

REPORT RE:
Nightly activities.

DATE
June 29, 1977.

PAGE 1 OF

DISSEMINATION/NO DISSEMINATION
TO:

Deputy Sup

IDENTIFICATIONS:

b6 - 3
b7C - 3

From: Det's

Sir:

We checked Howard Johnson's in south Boston. No hoodlums present at the time.

9:20 P.M. Last Venture

Last Boston. LaStrada in Haverick Sq, and A-Jays. LaStrada locked and dark. A-Jays, no one we're interested in.

b6 - 2, 6
b7C - 2, 6

Day square was extremely quiet and we observed no familiar people or cars in Bread square.

9:25 P.M. Vincent's just leaving in . Incidentally

started his term at the bookie also just leaving as we pulled up. Otherwise no one on the premises and the owner crying again.

10:15 P.M. Brando's,

b6 - 2, 6
b7C - 2, 6

Clarke's. No one.

Cityside, jammed with people but, no wise guys.

10:35 P.M. New Deal who had just returned from Navy's in Charlestown.

walking in Marshall street heading for the

10:40 P.M. at the Golden Haven.

Francesco's

The Italo American club was closed and in darkness.

The Martington Realty was closed, locked and dark.

10:45 P.M. The area directly to the rear of the club at 96 Prince street was lit up

like daylight. This is something brand new. All the angulo vehicles are parked

behind a chain fence off the alley from Thatcher street. Why all the lights suddenly???

92-2240

179-262-397

SEARCHED	INDEXED
SERIALIZED	FILED
JUL 11 1977	
FBI - BOSTON	

b6 - 3
b7C - 3

Boston Police
BUREAU OF INSPECTIONAL SERVICES

GENERAL INFORMATION RECORD

REPORT RE:

Nightly activities.

DATE

June 29, 1977.

PAGE 1 OF 2

DISSEMINATION/NO DISSEMINATION

TO:

Deputy Sup't

IDENTIFICATIONS:

b6 - 3
b7C - 3

From: Det [redacted]

Continues

The lights were on inside 96 Prince street and the door was ajar [redacted] was seated at the center of the long banquet type table with other people, eating and drinking. [redacted] was walking around the room.

The club at 538 Commercial street remained in darkness throughout the entire tour of duty.

b6 - 2, 6
b7C - 2, 6

at 10:50 P.M. we went to the Aquarium 11:00 one we knew with the exception of the hostess.

11:00 P.M. Charlestown, de-voys [redacted]

At 11:15 P.M. we returned to the area of 96 Prince street. This time we could also observe [redacted] at the table. This kid is always with [redacted] and was arrested for murder when he was 17 years old. It looks like he's being groomed for a button man.

11:50 P.M. Coliseum, just the bartender, his wife and one waitress. No customers.

12:45 A.M. Alfie's, [redacted]

1:10 A.M. Intermission lounge, [redacted] (arrested on Tuesday for selling guns)

[redacted] and [redacted] acting like he was bonned up.

1:25 A.M. [redacted] at the 776 Lounge.

We continued to cruise Chinatown, My Village, downtown and south Boston, until the expiration of the tour of duty.


b6 - 3
b7C - 3

INVESTIGATOR

179-267-398

SEARCHED	INDEXED
SERIALIZED	FILED
OCT 21 1977	
BOSTON	

b6 - 1
b7C - 1



City of Medford, Massachusetts
Police Department



100 Main Street, Medford, Mass. 02155

Telephone 395-1212

JOHN C. KIRWAN
CHIEF OF POLICE

December 24, 1976

To whom it may concern:

We, the undersigned, are the day shift and night shift Commanders of the Medford Police Department. We are writing this letter on behalf of Mr. Robert L. Visconti, whose business, Vico Sales, is located in Medford, Mass.

We have each known Mr. Visconti for many years. In addition to personal acquaintances, we know something of Mr. Visconti's background, including his prior involvement in the criminal courts of the Commonwealth.

Having the benefit of that knowledge, we have both seen fit to have his place of business watched occasionally after he opened it in the belief that good police work includes keeping track of persons who we know to have prior records of criminal involvement.

Over the years since Vico Sales opened, the type of surveillance work mentioned above has given us what we believe to be an accurate view of Mr. Visconti and his business in our city. We have learned that Mr. Visconti has run an honest business and has been, to our knowledge, honest and law abiding himself. We both know he works long hours at his store, at least six days a week and sometimes seven.

In addition to maintaining an honorable business, we both have personal knowledge of an almost countless number of people he has helped over the years without seeking personal gain for himself.

We both honestly believe that Mr. Visconti has completely separated himself from the associations and conduct that led him to his prior criminal actions. We believe, based on personal knowledge, that Mr. Visconti has been a law abiding citizen.

Neither of us are in the habit of welcoming criminals into Medford and we began our observations of Mr. Visconti from that standpoint. He had to prove himself to us. He has done so and we are glad to have him in our city.



City of Medford, Massachusetts

Police Department

100 Main Street, Medford, Mass. 02155

Telephone 395-1212

JOHN C. KIRWAN
CHIEF OF POLICE

Page -2-

We also know quite a bit about the man who went to trial with Mr. Visconti. We wholeheartedly agree that he is a criminal and a danger to society.

We suspect that the long period of imprisonment that Mr. Visconti now faces is, in large part, due to his apparent association with [redacted]. What may not be known, however, is that many people, including us, believe Mr. Visconti has been forced to associate himself with [redacted] out of fear of the man. He is a man who is always ready to do violence to those who do not do his bidding. Why then haven't people, like Visconti, simply reported him to the police and have him arrested? It is a sad fact that police protection is often no guarantee against somebody like [redacted].

This does not excuse Visconti by any means or give him the right to break any laws. But he is still a man who has helped many, many people and who is liked by many, many good people.

b6 - 2
b7C - 2

We believe anyone who breaks the law should not escape punishment; but, we know that punishment has many forms. In Visconti's case we honestly believe he should not be imprisoned for eight years.

We would respectfully request that Mr. Visconti be given the opportunity to stay on in business in Medford. We know he was convicted of a very serious charge, but we also know that by no means is he the same type of character that [redacted] is.

b6 - 2, 3
b7C - 2, 3

Respectfully,

[redacted]
Captain, Medford Police Department

[redacted]
Captain, Medford Police Department

179-262-399

SEARCHED	INDEXED
SERIALIZED	FILED
OCT 26 1977	
STON	

b6 - 1
b7C - 1

(6)

Perhaps something like this could be worked out for a long period of time. I have a sister who has been there for many years so I can understand perhaps a little better than the average person. I have been a constant donor of clothes etc. to the people there.

Your Honor if at the outset you can not see your way clear to do this perhaps the three years I was offered at the start. I would probably have to do at least two years of this and by the shorter sentence I could be transferred closer to home and my loved ones. I would do my time and promise to never violate your trust in me. I write this your Honor not only as a prisoner to his judge but also as one human being to another. I beg you please find it in your heart to help me out of this mess I have gotten myself into. I promise your Honor I will never give you the chance to regret it.

Respectfully
Albert L. Visconti

Robert L. Visconti

SEP 29 1977

Dear Judge Caffery,

Please sir grant me this opportunity to plead for my life. Sir as you are well aware, I am sixty years old and not in the best of health. With an eight year sentence at this stage of my life, I feel that I have very little to look forward to. Very few people make parole from here and with the statutory and meritorious good time which I now receive and with no incidents or (shots) as they are called here, my release date will be five years and four months.

If God willing and the state of my health allows I can look forward to being released when I'm sixty six years old. Just thinking of it gives me chest pains. Your Honor, what have I done so bad that you have seen fit to keep me in prison for such a long period of time. Even Mr. Bondreau through my attorney offered me three years recommendation, and six years for my co defendant. This at least ^{shows} Mr. Bondreau must have felt that I was at least half as bad as my co defendant. I wanted to plead guilty, I believed that I might have gotten even less. I was advised by my attorney that you would not take a recommendation of members and could give me twenty years and the ball game would be over as he put it, and I would have no recourse.

(2)

I later saw the next few people involved by the same government witness plead before your honor and get one year and the other eighteen months for the same charges as me. Every time I think of it and the sentence I got, I get sick. Yes your Honor I was afraid to plead because of what I was told, but worse than that my co-defendant told me "you aint pleading guilty and den I get buried." When he said - "you aint pleading guilty" he meant just that and I knew it. I was more afraid of the implicit threat of those words than I was of the twenty years. I took the lesser of two evils and went on trial. I was found not guilty of two charges, one of which was the worst. The conspiracy to collect the money by force or whatever.

The truth of the matter is the government witness was a friend of [redacted] and even though the interest was great there was no intent ever to harm him.

I'm sorry your Honor I don't mean to try this case all over in my letter. The harm has been done and I must look forward to convincing you that I am not all that bad. I exercised my right to a fair and speedy trial to which everyone is entitled. I was

(3)

I was found guilty of two charges and not guilty of the other two yet I was given eight years. Am I being punished five extra years for exercising this right? Did I get to be a worse man than the one who was offered a recommendation of three years just for going on trial and exercising this right? Please your Honor I ask you to consider this.

I am not a fluent speaker and yet I tried in the courtroom when you asked if I had anything to say. I must say I was shaking when I got up to talk. I know I came across badly but I only tried to beg for a chance to stay free. I said then please let me walk out the door and I will never be back in here again. I meant it then, I mean it now.

By that I didn't mean I would run away, but that I would never do wrong again.

I believe I have been and could be again a good citizen. I see in the Boston paper so many people in all walks of life who have not paid their taxes. My name is not among them.

I am not a violent person nor am I an animal. I am well respected in my community as your Honor must be aware of with the many fine letters you have

(4)

received in my behalf. I would stake my life (which is what I am doing) that if the Probation Department would go to my store and start out in any direction to ring door bells and question people as to my reputation I fully believe there would not be two people in the entire city that would have a bad word for me. I have lived in Medford all my life.

Even though I might have been a wild one in your young days I believe I am a much older and wiser person now. I have had my experiences with the law in Medford, yet even they believe I am a changed person and a respected business man. I have treated all the younger children in the neighborhood with kindness. In fact I was many times a baby sitter and looked after them while the mothers went to work or shopping. I was very active with the older boys and sponsored many of their sports programs. As for the grownups and there were plenty of them that came to my store I was fair and respectful to all and had a personal relationship with most. I have done business with people all over the city plus some from Maine, New Hampshire, Vermont New York Connecticut and Rhode Island. Also all over the state of Massachusetts.

(5)

Yes your Honor I have worked very hard for seven days a week and have built up a good business. I worked on Sunday to allow the people from out of state that were closed on Sunday to have a chance to come to my store at least once a week. I would say for a good many years I have worked long hard days well into the night. I have not associated with so called wise guys or frequented their restaurants and bars. I worked every day and night and went home from the store and stayed home every night except once a week on Saturday night I went out to a movie or a play and a bite to eat. I have done this for years and anyone who knows me can verify this. I was very happy and content to do this and would like with your help to go back to doing just that again. I am still much of the same man in prison that I was outside and I still try to help my fellow man.

If your Honor could see his way clear to grant me time served and let me go back home to my loved ones. Perhaps a long period of probation or suspended sentence. I have read in the Boston paper how some people were allowed to go to the Fernald School for the Retarded instead of prison.

179-262-400

SEARCHED	INDEXED
SERIALIZED <i>for</i>	FILED <i>for</i>
FEB 23 1978	
FBI - BOSTON	
<i>Alan</i>	

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
DISTRICT OF MASSACHUSETTS

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

V.

Crim. No. 75-L36-C

b6 - 2
b7C - 2

MOTION FOR A NEW TRIAL

Now comes the defendant, [REDACTED] who moves, pursuant to the Due Process Clause of the Fifth Amendment of the United States Constitution and Rule 33 of the Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure, that this Honorable Court order a new trial in the above-captioned matter.

The defendant asserts the following facts and assigns the grounds set forth below in support of this motion:¹

1. On September 25, 1975, a four count indictment against [REDACTED] b6 - 2
[REDACTED] b7C - 2

[REDACTED] was returned charging the defendant [REDACTED] with two conspiracies and two substantive violations of the Consumer Credit Protection Act, 15 U.S.C. §§ 251-896 for alleged lending and collection activities. Of the four named in the indictment, [REDACTED] and [REDACTED] were severed and entered pleas of guilty, and [REDACTED] and [REDACTED] were tried together.

2. On June 9, 1976, a jury trial commenced in the District of Massachusetts before Chief Judge Caffrey.

3. On June 14, 1976 the jury returned verdicts of guilty on all four counts against the defendant [REDACTED] and verdicts of acquittal on all four counts for the defendant [REDACTED]

4. On July 7, 1976 defendant [REDACTED] was b6 - 2
sentenced to ten years imprisonment and fined \$10,000.00. b7C - 2

5. On September 26, 1975, defendant [REDACTED]

1. Defendant [REDACTED] Affidavit is attached as "Exhibit A".

RECEIVED

FEB 13 1978

BOSTON FIELD OFFICE

was arraigned before Magistrate Davis and on said date was represented by [redacted] Esquire. b6 - 2, 6
b7C - 2, 6

6. On September 29, 1975, defendant [redacted] was arraigned before Magistrate Davis and on said date was represented by [redacted] Esquire. Upon the Court being orally advised by Mr. [redacted] that his law partner [redacted] Esquire will represent defendant [redacted], Magistrate Davis in the absence of defendant [redacted] informed defendant [redacted] or Mr. [redacted] of the "Foster" decision and instructed defendant [redacted] to file a statement with the Court pertaining to its instructions. No recording or transcript of this arraignment proceeding was made. b6 - 2, 6
b7C - 2, 6

7. On September 29, 1975, [redacted] Esquire filed a Notice of Appearance in the instant case for the defendant Halloran and on September 30, 1975, [redacted] Esquire filed a Notice of Appearance in the instant case for the defendant [redacted]

8. On October 3, 1975 a letter to Magistrate Davis dated September 30, 1975 from defendant [redacted], a copy of which is attached hereto as "Exhibit B", was filed with the Court in which the defendant [redacted] asks that [redacted] Esquire represent him even though his office also represents a co-defendant in the case.

9. On October 7, 1975 an identical letter to Magistrate Davis dated September 30, 1975 from defendant [redacted], a copy of which is attached hereto as "Exhibit C" was filed with the Court in which the defendant [redacted] asks that [redacted], Esquire represent him even though his office also represents a co-defendant in the case. b6 - 2, 6
b7C - 2, 6

10. Prior to the return of the instant indictment Mr. [redacted] had previously represented the defendant [redacted] in

several criminal cases.

b6 - 2, 6
b7C - 2, 6

11. At no time since the return of the instant indictment did [redacted], Esquire or [redacted] Esquire ever advise that there was a conflict of interest nor did they comment to the defendant [redacted] on the particular nature of the dangers and/or risks involved in joint representation to permit the defendant [redacted] to make a knowing, intelligent and informed decision with regard to the propriety of their representation of him in the instant case, all in violation of the defendant's right to the effective assistance of counsel guaranteed by the Sixth Amendment to the United States Constitution.

12. At no time since the return of the instant indictment did the Magistrate or Trial Court ever state to the defendant [redacted] that there was a conflict of interest or b6 - 2
b7C - 2
part of his counsel or comment on the actual dangers and/or risks confronted where defendants [redacted] and [redacted] were represented by two lawyers who are members of the same firm to ensure the defendant was aware of the actual dangers and/or risks nor did the Magistrate or Trial Court ever inquire whether defendant [redacted] has discussed the risks with his attorney or whether the defendant understands that he may retain separate counsel, or if qualified, may have court-appointed counsel, all in violation of the defendant's right to a fair trial guaranteed by the due process clause of the Fifth Amendment to the United States Constitution and to the effective assistance of counsel guaranteed by the Sixth Amendment to the United States Constitution.

13. The Trial Court, on several occasions during the trial, improperly restricted and prevented further cross-examination of witnesses by defendant's counsel, Mr. [redacted] into areas that the Court stated had been covered on cross-examination by his partner, Mr. [redacted] in violation of the defendant's right to a fair trial guaranteed by the Due Process Clause of

b6 - 6
b7C - 6

Fifth Amendment to the United States Constitution and to the effective assistance of counsel and right of confrontation guaranteed by the Sixth Amendment to the United States Constitution.

b6 - 1, 7
b7C - 1, 7
b7D - 1

14. Agent [] testified that on December 2, 1974 the chief government witness, [] was outfitted with a body transmitting device and denied the existence of a body recorder. Agent [] further testified that at the time of the alleged conversation on December 2, 1974, said conversation was transmitted to a point where agents were secreted and that at the point of reception a tape recording of the conversation was made; that thereafter in reviewing the "tape" at his office it proved to be "inaudible" and thereafter was destroyed. To the best of the agent's memory, the "tape" was in the custody of Agent [] when he last saw the "tape".

b6 - 1
b7C - 1

15. From the context of the Agent [] testimony at trial, it appears that the tape was destroyed prior to grand jury proceedings and also was not available at the time of the trial; Trial Counsel having no knowledge of the actual existence of the "tape" did not pursue this line of questioning. Subsequent to the conviction and sentencing of the defendant, the defendant procured in January of 1978 certain information from the records and files of the Department of Justice pursuant to an action filed under the Freedom of Information Act. This information disclosed the following:

(1) That not only was this conversation on December 2, 1974 transmitted, but it appears from the context of records and reports furnished to the defendant [] may also have been outfitted with a "body transmitter and recorder"; that a "tape" of said conversation was,

b6 - 2, 7
b7C - 2, 7
b7D - 1

in fact, made. Copies of information which are relied upon in part are attached as "Exhibit D". See pages 42-45, 54-55.

(2) That this "tape" was never, in fact, destroyed, but rather was in the possession of the F.B.I. at the time of the Grand Jury proceedings and, in fact, the F.B.I. agent reported to the Justice Department that as a result of the use of "body recorders and transmitters", indictments were returned by the Grand Jury. A copy of information which is relied upon, in part, is attached hereto as "Exhibit E". See page 130.

(3) No report was ever filed as to the "tape" being inaudible or destroyed in accordance with Justice Department Regulations, but, to the contrary, that the reports filed clearly indicate that the "tape" was audible and it was presented before the Grand Jury.

16. The use of false testimony of Agent and the concealment and suppression by the Government of the information contained in paragraphs 11-15 b6 - 1
b7c - 1

18. That the evidence summarized in paragraphs 6, 8-17 is newly discovered and could not have been discovered earlier by due diligence of counsel; it was apparently and highly exculpatory which may or probably would result in an acquittal if available to counsel; it is in the interests of justice to order a new trial.

An evidentiary hearing and oral argument of thirty minutes is requested pursuant to Rule 12(c) of the Local Rules of the District of Massachusetts.

[Redacted]
By his attorney,


b6 - 2, 6
b7C - 2, 6

[Redacted]
15 [Redacted] Street
Boston, MA 02109
(617) 742-6565

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I, Richard J. Vita, hereby certify that I have this day served a copy of the attached Motion for a New Trial upon the United States by delivering in hand a copy to Martin D. Boudreau, Assistant U.S. Attorney, U.S. Attorney's Office, U.S. Post Office and Court House, Boston, Massachusetts 02109.

Dated at Boston this sixth day of February, 1978.


Richard J. Vita

AFFIDAVIT OF [REDACTED]

b6 - 5
b7C - 5

I, [REDACTED] on my oath, swear and depose as follows:

On or about September 25, 1975, the instant indictment was returned against me and several others.

b6 - 6
b7C - 6

At my arraignment on September 26, 1975, I was represented by [REDACTED] Esquire. Shortly thereafter, I contacted

[REDACTED] Esquire to represent me and learned at that time that he and his partner [REDACTED] Esquire would also be representing a co-defendant named [REDACTED]

b6 - 2, 6
b7C - 2, 6

I also discussed with [REDACTED] the matter of representation in certain state indictments which were then pending against me and [REDACTED] [REDACTED] indicated that since he and his partner [REDACTED] were already representing [REDACTED] in the state cases, they could represent the both of us.

[REDACTED] never informed me, nor was I ever aware, that he had previously represented [REDACTED] in criminal cases, nor of the risks that may result because of this prior representation.

On September 29, 1975, [REDACTED] filed a Notice of Appearance on behalf of co-defendant [REDACTED] only and on September 30, 1975,

[REDACTED] filed a Notice of Appearance on my behalf only.

b6 - 2, 6
b7C - 2, 6

On October 1, 1975, I went to the offices of [REDACTED] and [REDACTED] and had a conference at which [REDACTED] only was present.

At that meeting, [REDACTED] informed me he had prepared a letter ("Exhibit B") for me to sign for the Court stating "It's merely a formality to satisfy the Magistrate that there's no conflict of interest." In addition to the letter addressed to Magistrate Davis,

[REDACTED] showed me a letter addressed to myself by [REDACTED] I

started to read the letter addressed to myself and after reading the first several lines, I said to [redacted] "What's this?" He said "There's no problem, there's no conflict here. It's just required by the Court." At that point, relying upon [redacted]'s advice, I signed the letter to the Court and gave it to him.

b6 - 6
b7C - 6

Notwithstanding the above discussion with [redacted] at no time prior to or during trial did [redacted] the Magistrate or the Trial Court ever state to me that there was a conflict of interest in this case nor did anyone comment on the dangers and/or risks involved in joint representation of [redacted] and me.

As a result of the conflict of interest on the part of [redacted] and [redacted] I have been deprived of the effective assistance of counsel and denied a fair trial. Without limiting my claims to matters stated here, I have been prejudiced in the following respects:

Up until the evening of the first day of trial, neither [redacted] nor I was expecting to testify. We were told by [redacted] and [redacted] that we will rebut the government's key witness [redacted] with the testimony of [redacted]

b6 - 2, 6, 7
b7C - 2, 6, 7
b7D - 1

The substance of his anticipated testimony was exculpatory to me.

On the first day of trial, counsel received certain discovery material from the government including a prior statement of [redacted]

That evening I discussed with [redacted] and [redacted] the nature of some of the discovery material.

b6 - 2, 6, 7
b7C - 2, 6, 7
b7D - 1

I was informed that the statement of [redacted] was inconsistent with his anticipated testimony. Further, they told me, in their opinion, even if he testified, his testimony would not help [redacted] and that the jury might draw an adverse inference against him and thereby also

affect me. In response, I pressed my belief that it was essential to my defense to put [] on the stand.

At that point, [] advised me against doing so and stated to me: "The only way we can pull this out of the fire [] is for you to testify and rebut testimony about [] reputation. And [] will have to testify, too." [] agreed and told me he agreed with his partner.

I was surprised by these remarks and was reluctant to testify particularly because I was aware my prior criminal record could be introduced to impeach me. b6 - 2, 6, 7
b7C - 2, 6, 7
b7D - 1

Following further discussion, I finally acceded to the advice of [] and [] to testify, relying upon my expectation that they were acting in my best interests exclusively.

At the conclusion of the government's case, [] informed me it was necessary that I testify first in order that I may rebut testimony of [] reputation and character.

At the conclusion of my testimony, I was told that [] would not be taking the stand to testify, as I expected. [] told me he participated in the decision not to have [] testify. He advised me that, in his opinion, on the present state of the evidence, [] would be acquitted and he didn't want to take a chance to detract from his chances of acquittal. [] in the presence of [] then told me "Our strategy all along, [], was to get [] If he's found not guilty, you're not guilty." [] agreeing, then added: "That's right. [] beats the armed robbery, (referring to the alleged extortionate act) there's no way they can convict you. You'll automatically get off." b6 - 2, 5, 6
b7C - 2, 5, 6

At various occasions throughout the trial, during cross-examination by [redacted] of witnesses who had previously been cross-examined by [redacted] on behalf of [redacted] the Court prevented my counsel from inquiring into areas that the Court reminded him had been covered by [redacted]

Following the return of verdicts of acquittal of [redacted] on all four counts and verdicts of guilty against me on all four counts, I was stunned as I recalled our trial strategy. Even following the verdicts, [redacted] and [redacted] maintained, "We'll appeal. The verdicts are inconsistent as a matter of law and cannot stand on appeal." The Court of Appeals rejected their issue on appeal.

b6 - 2, 6
b7C - 2, 6

Upon reflection, it is now clear to me that [redacted] and [redacted] represented me with divided loyalties between myself and [redacted] which affected their judgment and trial decisions ultimately to [redacted] s benefit and to my detriment. I believe I was denied the exclusive loyalty and allegiance of counsel and, had I been made aware by either [redacted] or the Court of what risks or dangers exist in joint representation, I definitely would have retained separate counsel to represent my interests exclusively.

Commonwealth of Massachusetts

b6 - 5
b7C - 5

Suffolk, ss

February 5, 1978

Then personally appeared the above-named [redacted] and made oath that the foregoing affidavit is true to the best of his knowledge and belief, before me

My Commission expires:

March 1, 1984



Notary Public



Exhibit D
UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE
FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

In Reply, Please Refer to
File No.

Boston, Massachusetts

December 19, 1974

[REDACTED] JAMES J. BULGER:

[REDACTED] MARIO LE PORE:

b6 - 2, 7
b7C - 2, 7
b7D - 1

ROBERT L. VISCONTI [REDACTED] - VICTIM

[REDACTED] indebted to captioned subjects
[REDACTED] Le Pore, [REDACTED]
and Visconti with remainder of subjects conspiring with
the above in either the collection, furnishing money, or
arranging loans. Victim [REDACTED]

b6 - 2, 5
b7C - 2, 5
b7D - 1

Victim incurred debts as a result of [REDACTED]
[REDACTED] Victim has [REDACTED]
[REDACTED] and unable to secure loans from any
legitimate source. Victim has been threatened with bodily
harm by subjects and was on one occasion "slapped around"
and told to pay his debts. Victim was [REDACTED]

b6 - 2, 5
b7C - 2, 5
b7D - 1

[REDACTED]
in order to satisfy back "juice" on loan from [REDACTED]

Victim currently acting in undercover capacity at
direction of Bureau Agents and has telephonically contacted
majority of subjects. These conversations were monitored
and recorded and considered highly incriminatory.

On December 2, 1974, victim made partial payments
of back juice at subjects [REDACTED] and [REDACTED] place of
business in amounts of [REDACTED]. Payments
surveilled and victim wore transmitting device for security
Conversations which took place were recorded and will
corroborate victim's potential testimony.

b6 - 2, 5
b7C - 2, 5
b7D - 1

ENCLOSURE

FBI(20-cv-3269)-3390

airtel

12/3/74

To: SAC, Boston (179-262)

1 - Mr. [redacted]

b6 - 1

1 - Mr. [redacted]

b7C - 1

From: Director, FBI

REC-11

[redacted] b6 - 2, 7

EX-117 179-1143-7X

b7C - 2, 7

b7D - 1

- VICTIM

BCT

OO: Boston

ReBStel 11/26/74, Buairtel 11/29/74, and Butelcalls to Boston 11/27 and 12/2/74.

This is to confirm reBucall dated 11/27/74 granting emergency authority for the use of a body recording device on the person of [redacted] with his written consent.

b6 - 2, 5

b7C - 2, 5

b7D - 1


This will also confirm reBucall 12/2/74 confirming receipt of Deputy Assistant Attorney General Henry S. Dogin approval dated 12/2/74 in connection with the use of the body recording device for a period of 30 days for the purpose of recording conversations [redacted] may have with [redacted] James Bulger, [redacted] Mario Iannora, [redacted]

Robert Visconti, and others relative to violations of the BCT Statute and related offenses.

Following expiration of the 30-day period authorized by the Deputy Assistant Attorney General or when the recording device is no longer required, the Bureau is to be promptly advised by letterhead memorandum suitable for dissemination of the results regardless of whether or not the information is of a positive nature.

SEE NOTE PAGE 2

RFH:bam
(6)



MAIL ROOM ☐

TELETYPE UNIT ☐

FBI(20-cv-3269)-3394

Airtel to Boston

Re: [REDACTED] Et Al.

b6 - 2
b7C - 2

In the event a renewal of this authority is deemed to be warranted, submit your request with full justification for same at least seven days prior to the expiration of the existing authority.

NOTE: ReBucalls were from Supervisor [REDACTED] to Boston Supervisor [REDACTED]

SPECIAL INVESTIGATIVE DIVISION

September 29, 1975

Eleven Boston area hoodlums, including members of the [redacted] LCN Faction, have been indicted for violation of the Extortionate Credit Transactions and Conspiracy Statutes.

The [redacted] [redacted] was constantly threatened by subjects for excessive "juice" payments on his indebtedness to subjects.

Use of Department approved body recorders and transmitters produced substantial evidence upon which indictments were returned.

1 - Mr. [redacted]

1 - Mr. [redacted]

WPB:jas

b6 - 2

b7C - 2

b6 - 7

b7C - 7

b7D - 1

b6 - 1

b7C - 1

September 29, 1977

Dear Judge Caffrey, -

Please sir grant me this opportunity to plead for my life, Sir as you are well aware, I am Sixty years old and not in the best of health. With an eight year sentence at this stage of my life I feel that I have very little to look forward to. Very few people make parole from here and with the statutory and meritorious good time which I now receive and with no incidents or (shots) as they are called here, my release date will be five years and four months.

If God willing and the state of my health allows I can look forward to being released when I'm Sixty-Six years old. Just thinking of it gives me chest pains. Your Honor, what have I done so bad that you have seen fit to keep me in prison for such a long period of time. Even Mr. Boudreau through my attorney offered me three years recommendation, and six years for my co-defendant. This at least shows Mr. Boudreau must have felt that I was at least half as bad as my co-defendant. I wanted to plead guilty, I believed that I might have gotten even less. I was advised by my attorney that you would not take a recommendation of numbers and would give me twenty years and the ball game would be over, as he put it, and I would have no recourse.

I later saw the next few people involved by the same government witness plead before your honor and get one year and the other eighteen months for the same charges as me. Every time I think of it and the sentence I got, I get sick. Yes your Honor I was afraid to plead because of what I was told, but worse than that my co-defendant told me "You aint pleading guilty and den I get buried." When he said - you "you aint pleady guilt" he meant just that and I knew it. I was more afraid of the implicit threat of those words than I was of the twenty years. I took the lesser of two evils and went on trial. I was found not guilty of two charges, one of which was the

worst. The conspiracy to collect the money by force or whatever. The truth of the matter is the government witness was a friend of [] and even though the interest was great there was no intent ever to harm him.

b6 - 2
b7C - 2

Im sorry your Honor I don't mean to try this case all over in my letter. The harm has been done and I must look forward to convincely you that I am not all that bad. I exercised my right to a fair and speedy trial to which everyone is entitled. I was I was found guilty of two charges and not guilty of the other two yet I was given eight years. Am I being punished five extra years for exercising this right? Did I get to be a worse man than the one who was offered a recommendation of three years just for going on trial and exercising this right? Please your Honor I ask you to consider this.

I am not a fluent speaker and yet I tried in the courtroom when you asked if I had anything to say. I must say I was shaking when I got up to talk. I know I came across badly but I only tried to beg for a chance to stay free. I said then please let me walk out the door and I will never be back in here again. I meant it then, I mean it now.

By that I didn't mean I would run away, but that I would never do wrong again.

I believe I have been and could be again a good citizen. I see in the Boston papers so many people in all walks of life who have not paid thier taxes. My name is not among them.

I am not a violent person nor am I an animal. I am well respected in my community as your Honor must be aware of with the many fine letters you have received in my behalf,

I would stake my life (whis is what I am doing) that if the probation department would go to my store and start out in any direction to ring door bells and question people as to my reputation I fully believe there would not be two people in the entire city that would have a bad word for me. I have lived in Medford all my life.

Even though I might have been a wild one in younger days I believe I am a much older and wiser person now. I have had my experiences with the law in Medford, yet even they believe I am a changed person and a respected business man. I have treated all the younger children in the neighborhood with kindness. In fact I was many times a babysitter and looked after them while the mothers went to work or shopping. I was very active with the older boys and sponsered many of their sports programs. As for the grownups and there were plenty of them that came to my store I was fair and respectful to all and had a personal relationship with most. I have done business with people all over the city plus some from Maine, New Hamsphire, Vermont New York Connecticut and Rhode Island. Also all over the state of Massachusetts.

Yes your Honor I have worked very hard for seven days a week and have built up a good business. I worked on Sundays to allow the people from out of state that were closed on Sundays to have a chance to come to my store at least once a week. I would say for a good many years I have worked long hard days well into the night, I have not associated with so called wise guys or frequented thier resturants and bars. I worked every day and nite and went home from the store and stayed home every night except once a week on Saturday night I went out to a movie or a play and a bite to eat. I have done this for years and anyone who knows me can verify this. I was very happy and content to do this and would like with Your help to go back to doing just that again. I am still much of the same man in prison that I was outside as I still try to help my fellow man.

If your Honor could see his way clear to grant me time served and let me go back home to my loved ones perhaps a long period of probation or suspended sentence. I have read in the Boston papers how some people were allowed to go to the Fernald School for the Retarded instead of prison.

Perhaps something like this could be worked out for a long period of time. I have a sister who has been there for many years so I can understand perhaps a little better than the average person. I have been a constant donor of clothes etc to the people there.

Your Honor if at the outset you cannot see your way clear to do this perhaps the three years I was offered at the start I would probably have to do at least two years of this and by the shorter sentence I could be transferred closer to home and my loved ones. I would do my time and promise to never violate your trust in me. I write this your Honor not only as a prisoner to his judge but also as one human being to another. I beg you, please find it in your heart to help me out of this mess I have gotten myself into. I promise your Honor I will never give you the chance to regret it.

Respectfully

Robert L. Visconti

(Mount Clipping in Space Below)

Loan shark suspect asks new trial

By Richard J. Connolly
Globe Staff

James M. Martorano of Quincy, a reputed organized crime figure and a convicted loan shark, has asked for a new trial in Federal Court, claiming that two members of a Boston law firm represented him, and a codefendant with "divided loyalties" to his detriment but to the benefit of his codefendant, who was acquitted.

Martorano, 36, said he was denied "the exclusive loyalty and allegiance" of counsel when Joseph S. Oteri represented him and Oteri's partner, Martin G. Weinberg, defended Edward B. Halloran.

Martorano was convicted by a Federal Court jury in Boston in June 1976 on four loan sharking charges, was sentenced to 10 years in prison and was fined \$10,000 by Chief Judge Andrew Caffrey. Halloran was acquitted by the jury.

The motion for a new trial has been filed with Judge Caffrey. Martin D. Boudreau, special Justice Department attorney assigned to the Organized Crime Strike Force, who prosecuted Martorano, has asked Judge Caffrey for additional time to reply to the request.

Martorano, who is represented by Richard J. Vita in his latest action, is confined to the Essex County Correctional Alternatives Center in Lawrence, serving a three-to-four-year state sentence for receiving a stolen motor vehicle and possession of firearms. He was sentenced to the Walpole State Prison July 5, 1977, but was sent to the Lawrence facility because Walpole was crowded.

Martorano, who is described as a powerful figure in the Greater Boston underworld, will not begin serving his loan sharking sentence until he has completed his state term. He has lost in court attempts to overturn his loan sharking conviction and to obtain a reduction in the federal sentence.

Martorano has been a business associate of Howie and T. Winter, the reputed organized crime leader who was convicted recently in a Somerville pinball case. Winter was given two nine-to-10-year prison terms.

Winter and Martorano owned a Columbus ave-

(Indicate page, name of newspaper, city and state.)
Boston Herald
Boston, Mass.

Boston Globe
Boston, Mass.
22

Christian Science
Monitor, Boston, Mass.

Date: 2/26/78
Edition: SUNDAY

Title: 0 SA
JAMES M.
MARTORANO

Character:
or ECT
Classification: 179-
Submitting Office: BOSTON

179-261-401
CC; BUREAU

SEARCHED ☒ INDEXED ☒
SERIALIZED ☒ FILED ☒
FEB 27 1978
FBI - BOSTON

nue building in Boston where Chandler's Restaurant was situated. Martorano, a graduate of Boston College, also owned an automobile body shop at a Somerville address where police claimed that Winter directed underworld activities.

Martorano and Halloran were among 10 men arrested in a loan sharking case involving Peter J. Pallotta of Revere, a onetime bank robber, who said he borrowed money from Martorano in an unsuccessful attempt to save his Revere nightclub, known as "The Bitter End."

Pallotta testified that Martorano's interest rate was \$100 weekly on the \$2000 he borrowed in a visit to Chandler's. He testified he was threatened with a gun because of his failure to make payments. Pallotta was outfitted by FBI agents with a body transmitter and recorder so evidence could be gathered against the defendants.

In his appeal, Martorano said he would have retained separate counsel to represent his own interests if he had been made aware by Oteri, Weinberg or the court of the risks or dangers that existed in joint representation.

He said that on various occasions in the trial, Oteri, during cross-examination of witnesses who had been examined by Weinberg on behalf of Halloran, was prevented by the court from inquiring into certain areas covered by Weinberg.

"Following the return of verdicts of acquittal of Halloran on all counts and verdicts of guilty against me on all four counts, I was stunned as I recalled our trial strategy," Martorano told Judge Caffrey.

Even after the verdicts, Martorano said, Oteri and Weinberg maintained that they would appeal Martorano's conviction because the verdict was inconsistent as a matter of law. But he said the Court of Appeals rejected their issue on appeal.

"Upon reflection," he said in his motion for a new trial, "it is now clear to me that Mr. Oteri and Mr. Weinberg represented me with divided loyalties between myself and Halloran which affected their judgment and trial decisions ultimately to Halloran's benefit and to my detriment."

Martorano said he reluctantly testified because he realized that his criminal record could be introduced to impeach him. He was convicted in 1966 for conspiracy to harbor a fugitive and served six months at the Deer Island House of Correction. He also was convicted as an accessory in the murder of a Combat Zone waitress and got out of prison in 1968.

He claimed in his motion that Oteri had participated in a defense decision not to have Halloran testify and that it was the strategy of the two lawyers to win acquittal for Halloran.


He quoted Oteri as saying:

"If he's found not guilty, you're not guilty."

Martorano's motion raises the question of whether Pallotta, the chief government witness, wore a body recorder as well as a transmitter to gather evidence. FBI Agent John Connolly testified that one conversation between Pallotta and others was transmitted to a point where FBI agents were hidden.

The FBI agent said a tape recording of the conversation was made but that it was found to be inadmissible and thereafter destroyed.

But Martorano claims in his motion that information obtained from FBI files shows that a tape may not have been destroyed. Martorano's lawyer has asked Judge Caffrey for an evidentiary hearing at which the lawyers could testify.



JAMES M. MARTORANO
... reputed underworld figure

(Mount Clipping in Space Below)

Court rules against Martorano furlough

By Richard J. Connolly
Globe Staff

James M. Martorano of Quincy, an alleged top-level member of the Howard T. Winter crime organization, has lost an attempt to force the state Correction Department to grant him a furlough from a campus-like penal facility in Lawrence.

Through his attorney, Richard J. Vita of Boston, the 36-year-old Martorano claimed that the Correction Department violated his constitutional rights by refusing his furlough.

Judge Roger J. Donahue of Suffolk Superior Court has denied Martorano's petition for a preliminary injunction against Terrence Marks, director of the Lawrence Correctional Alternative Center, which is the former Essex County Training School for Boys.

Judge Donahue sentenced Martorano to three to four years in Walpole state prison on July 5, 1977, on a charge of receiving a stolen motor vehicle, but allowed Martorano to serve the time at the House of Correction, in Lawrence which has jurisdiction over the alternative center. However, Essex County officials and the Department of Correction allowed Martorano to serve the sentence at the Alternative Center, a facility with less security than the House of Correction.

Martorano, who will be eligible for parole consideration in several months, faces a 10-year federal prison sentence for loansharking when he has completed his state sentence. He was convicted on four loansharking charges and fined \$10,000 in US District Court in Boston in June, 1976. The 10-year federal sentence was postponed pending completion of the state sentence.

He is seeking a new federal court trial, contending that two members of a Boston law firm, attorneys Joseph S. Oteri and Martin G. Weinberg, represented him and a codefendant in the loansharking trial with "divided loyalties" to his detriment and to the benefit of the codefendant, Edward B. Halloran of Dorchester, who was acquitted.

Law enforcement officials have described Martorano as a powerful figure in the Boston underworld and a close associate of Winter, the Somerville-based gang leader who is serving a prison sentence for trying to force Somerville establishments to use certain pinball machines.

(Indicate page, name of newspaper, city and state.)
Boston Herald
Boston, Mass.

Boston Globe
Boston, Mass.

7

Christian Science
Monitor, Boston, Mass.

Date: 5/18/78
Edition: MORNING

Title: JAMES M.
MARTORANO

Character:
or ECT

Classification: 179-262*
Submitting Office: BOSTON

179-262-402

C.C. BUREAU

SEARCHED	INDEXED
SERIALIZED	FILED
MAY 18 1978	
FBI - BOSTON	

KLMW

FBI(20-cv-3269)-3404

3+0

Wanted-Flash-Cancellation Notice
I-12 (Rev. 6-17-74)

Date 5-10-78

TO: DIRECTOR, FBI
Washington, D. C. 20537

Attention: Identification Division

Dear Sir:

Instructions on the reverse side of this form have been read. Please place the type of service checked below against the Fingerprint Record of subject named.

Your Case or File Number _____

☐ WANTED FOR _____ Date of Warrant or Escape _____

☒ FLASH:
MANDATORY RELEASE _____ 19__ EXPIRES _____ 19__ ; PAROLE _____ 19__ EXPIRES _____ 19__ ;
PROBATION 5-1-78 EXPIRES 4-30-1979 ; SENTENCE EXPIRES _____ 19__ ; SPT EXPIRES _____ 19__ .
WHEN REQUESTING FLASH NOTICE, GIVE FOLLOWING INFORMATION:

Date and Place of Sentence <u>Boston, MA 5-1-78</u>	Final Disposition <u>Imposition of sentence suspended</u>
Charge <u>Making False Stat. on Firearm App.</u>	<u>Probation one (1) year</u>
Contributor of Fingerprints <u>□</u>	<u>b6 - 2</u> <u>b7C - 2</u>

☐ CANCEL Because _____

Name _____		Residence _____	
Aliases <u> </u>	Numbers Arrest _____ Military _____ Alien _____ Social Security <u> </u>	Occupation <u>salesman</u> Race <u>White</u> Sex <u>Male</u> Height <u>5'7"</u> Weight <u>165</u> Eyes <u>Brown</u> Hair <u>Black</u> Complexion <u>Medium</u>	Marital Status <u>Married</u> Nationality <u>U.S.</u>
FBI # <u> </u>	Date of birth <u> </u>	Scars, marks and peculiarities <u>174-260-403</u> RETAIN PERMANENTLY IN FBI # _____	
Place of birth <u> </u>			

Fingerprint class _____	<input type="checkbox"/> PLEASE FURNISH IDENTIFICATION RECORD <input type="checkbox"/> Will extradite <input type="checkbox"/> Will not extradite
Agency and Address of Parties to be notified of arrest <u>945 J. W. LOGAN PO & CH</u> <u>BOSTON, MA 02109</u>	Name and Address of Contributor <u>945 J. W. LOGAN PO & CH</u> <u>BOSTON, MA 02109</u> <u> </u> <u> </u> <u> </u>

See over for Instructions

FBI(20-cv-3269)-3405

Request **WANTED** notice when subject's apprehension or location is desired.

Request **FLASH** notice when subject is placed on parole or probation and you desire to be advised if he is arrested while in this status. Fingerprints for offense must be in Identification Division files or FBI number furnished when requesting flash notice.

Request **CANCELLATION** when subject's custody is secured or you no longer desire information concerning him.

The Name, Number, and Agency should be exactly the same as they appear on the Fingerprint card in the files of the FBI. In all instances when the FBI number is known, it should be indicated.

In the event a Law Enforcement Agency is not certain there is a previous Fingerprint Record for the subject in the files of the FBI and desires a Wanted Notice posted, the descriptive data indicated on this form should be completed. If a possibly identical record is located by the FBI, it will be furnished in order that the Law Enforcement Agency may determine whether or not this record is identical with the subject wanted. Wanted or Flash Notices are not posted in our files unless positive identifications can be effected. Therefore, if an identification with a particular Fingerprint Record is made by you after receipt of possibly identical information from us, it will be necessary to resubmit this form so that the notice can then be posted in your behalf.

BS0004 1732115

RR HQ DN

DE BS

R 221430Z JUN 78

FM BOSTON (179-262)

TO DIRECTOR ROUTINE

DENVER ROUTINE

BT

UNCLAS

b6 - 2, 7
b7C - 2, 7
b7D - 1

[REDACTED] AKA; ET AL; [REDACTED] -VICTIM,
ECT, 00: BS

STRIKE FORCE ATTORNEY MARTIN D. BOUDREAU, BOSTON,
MASSACHUSETTS, REQUESTS PRESENCE SA [REDACTED] CURRENTLY
ASSIGNED DENVER DIVISION AT MOTION FOR NEW TRIAL IN CONNECTION
WITH CAPTIONED MATTER SCHEDULED FOR 10 A.M. ON JUNE 27, 1978
BEFORE U.S. DISTRICT JUDGE ANDREW CAFFREY. TESTIMONY WILL
CONCERN CUSTODY OF ORIGINAL CONSENSUAL TAPE RECORDINGS BY
SA [REDACTED] AND COPYING OF SAME. SA [REDACTED] SHOULD TRAVEL TO
BOSTON IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE ABOVE.

b6 - 1
b7C - 1

BT

179-262-404

SEARCHED

SERIALIZED

INDEXED

FILED

Boston, Massachusetts

August 11, 1978

b6 - 2
b7C - 2

[REDACTED] ✓

The Boston Globe on July 13, 1973 carried an article regarding Chandler's Restaurant, Boston South End, being a hangout for the Boston area organized crime element and the rebuttal of those charges by James Martorano. Martorano said that if the law was no cure of criminal activities, they should do something about it and further described his partner, Howie Winters, as a legitimate businessman. At that time, Martorano had not had any formal problems with the law since leaving Walpole Prison after serving two years of a 4 - 6 year sentence for assault with intent to murder. Howie Winters was sentenced on February 1, 1978 to two consecutive 9 - 10 year state prison terms by Judge Paul Garrity, Middlesex Superior Court for using extortionate means to force pinball machines into various Somerville, Massachusetts establishments.

b6 - 2
b7C - 2
b7D - 1

On July 30, 1974, a source advised that [REDACTED]

An undercover operation engaged in jointly by Federal and local authorities was directed at [REDACTED] inasmuch as it was considered to [REDACTED]

b7E - 4

During early 1975, [REDACTED] who owned several restaurants in the Boston area, advised that he went to Chandler's to attempt to negotiate a \$15,000 loan from [REDACTED]. Instead, [REDACTED] introduced Feathers to [REDACTED] who was then connected to [REDACTED] and who offered the \$15,000 the six percent per week. [REDACTED] declined the loan saying the interest rate was too high.

b6 - 2, 6
b7C - 2, 6

This document contains neither recommendations DI nor conclusions of the FBI. It is the property of the FBI and is loaned to your agency; it and its contents are not to be distributed outside your agency.

179-262-405

b6 - 1
b7C - 1

JLK: mm

[REDACTED] R
FBI(20-cv-3269)-3408

[REDACTED]

b6 - 2
b7C - 2

Records maintained at the Middlesex County Court-house during 1974 indicate that James Martorano was listed as the Vice President of Marshall Motors, now known as Motorama Sales, and that Steve Flemmi was listed as Secretary - Treasurer. Marshall Motors/Motorama Sales has been known by the Boston FBI to have been the headquarters of the Howie Winter gang in Somerville, Massachusetts.

During 1975, former United States Magistrate Willie J. Davis received a threatening letter from [REDACTED] while [REDACTED] was in Federal custody. During November, 1975, former Magistrate Davis was contacted by [REDACTED] attorney and was assured that no threats from [REDACTED] existed inasmuch as [REDACTED] had discussed the matter with [REDACTED] and had forbid him to harm the Magistrate. [REDACTED] and others were suspects in a mass murder in the Boston area several years prior and a surviving witness who was to testify against them was [REDACTED]

b6 - 2, 6
b7C - 2, 6

[REDACTED]

b6 - 2, 7
b7C - 2, 7
b7D - 1

In January 28, 1975, a source advised that [REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

Source advised on January 20, 1977 that following

[REDACTED]

b6 - 2, 7
b7C - 2, 7
b7D - 1

b6 - 2
b7C - 2
b7D - 1

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]

A source advised on May 17, 1977 that [REDACTED]

b6 - 2, 6
b7C - 2, 6
b7D - 1

[REDACTED]

A source advised on February 25, 1977 that James

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED] has been observed by members of the Boston Police
Force in frequent association with [REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

(Mount Clipping in Space Below)

Convicted loanshark denied new trial

By Richard J. Connolly
Globe Staff

James M. Martorano of Quincy, a convicted loanshark who is classified by law enforcement officers as a top-level figure in organized crime, has been denied a new trial. The federal judge in the case said one of Martorano's claims was "preposterous" and there was no evidence that his case had been prejudiced.

Martorano, 36, who is serving a 10-year federal prison sentence, contended that two members of a Boston law firm, Joseph S. Oteri and Martin G.

Weinberg, had "divided loyalties" in representing him and a codefendant in a 1976 trial in US District Court, Boston.

Mortorano maintained the joint representation was to his detriment and to the benefit of the codefendant, Edward B. Halloran of Dorchester, who was acquitted.

But Chief Judge Andrew A. Caffrey said that Martorano participated actively in his own defense which was "substantial and vigorous." If his defense had been believed by the jury, he would have been exonerated, accord-

ing to Judge Caffrey, who presided at the trial.

The judge said Martorano was defended in "an able manner indicative of their (the lawyers) extensive experience in criminal law." Caffrey said the record did not indicate any divergence between the interests of Martorano and Halloran.

In an evidentiary hearing, Martorano testified that he signed but did read a letter in which he said he wanted Oteri to represent him, realizing that Oteri's associate represented Martorano's codefendant.

"Martorano now complains that this letter of waiver to the court was not knowingly executed," Judge Caffrey said in his ruling. "I find that testimony preposterous."

"He is also a sophisticated businessman, and as such, I find he would not and did not sign such a letter without reading it ..." the judge said.

Martorano's attorney Richard J. Vita, has indicated he will appeal the ruling.

(Indicate page, name of newspaper, city and state.)
Boston Herald
Boston, Mass.

Boston Globe
Boston, Mass.
41

Christian Science
Monitor, Boston, Mass.

Date: 9/24/78
Edition: SUNDAY

Title: JAMES M.
MARTORANO

Character:
or ECT

Classification: 179-262* BS

Submitting Office: BOSTON
BU

179-262-406
CC; BUREAU

SEARCHED INDEXED
SERIALIZED DEF FILED DEF

SEP 25 1978

FBI(20-CV-3269)-3411

CFO
CFO

FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

Date of transcription 6/26/78

[redacted] Attorney at Law, of Oteri and Weinberg, Attorneys at Law firm, 10 Post Office Square, Boston, Massachusetts, appeared at the office of MARTIN D. BOUDREAU, Special Attorney, Strike Force, Boston, Massachusetts, as a result of a subpoena issued. [redacted] appeared at BOUDREAU's office alone at approximately 8:41 a.m. to be interviewed regarding allegations made by [redacted] which are set forth in a motion for a new trial before the U. S. District Court at Boston, Criminal [redacted]

b5 - 3
b6 - 2, 5
b7C - 2, 5

[redacted] expressed the opinion that the [redacted]

[redacted]

b5 - 3
b6 - 2, 5
b7C - 2, 5Investigation on 6/26/78 at Boston, Massachusetts File # Boston 179-262-407by SA [redacted] /gm Date dictated 6/26/78b6 - 1
b7C - 1

FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

Date of transcription 6/26/78

b5 - 3

b6 - 1, 2, 5

b7C - 1, 2, 5

[redacted], Attorney at Law, of Oteri and Weinberg, Attorneys at Law firm, 10 Post Office Square, Boston, Massachusetts, appeared at the office of MARTIN D. BOUDREAU, Strike Force, Boston, Massachusetts, as a result of a subpoena issued. [redacted] appeared at BOUDREAU's office alone at approximately 12:15 p.m. and was interviewed by Attorney BOUDREAU and Special Agent [redacted] FBI, the latter having advised [redacted] of his identity. [redacted] was advised by BOUDREAU that he wished to interview him regarding his association as an attorney with [redacted] [redacted] and further to interview him regarding the allegations set forth in [redacted] motion for a new trial in U. S. District Court under Criminal [redacted] said at the outset of the interview that he wanted to make two things very clear. Firstly, he had no intentions of perjuring himself regarding this matter and secondly, he had no intentions of having [redacted]

[redacted]

[redacted] advised that he had not [redacted]

[redacted]

b5 - 3

b6 - 2, 6

b7C - 2, 6

Investigation on 6/26/78 at Boston, Massachusetts File # Boston 179-262-408by SA [redacted] /gmDate dictated 6/26/78

b6 - 1

b7C - 1

BS 179-262

JEC/dw

1

On 6/20/79, [redacted] acquainted [redacted] advised that he is [redacted] and was a friend of deceased [redacted]

b6 - 2
b7C - 2
b7D - 2, 3

363-409

SEARCHED	INDEXED
SERIALIZED	FILED
JUN 21 1979	
FBI - BOSTON	

FBI(20-cv-3269)-3429

BS 179-262

JEC/dw

1

On 6/20/79, [redacted] advised that he is
acquainted [redacted]
[redacted], and was a friend of deceased
[redacted]

b6 - 2

b7C - 2

b7D - 2, 3

179-262-16

SEARCHED	INDEXED
SERIALIZED	FILED
JUN 21 1979	
FBI - BOSTON	

memorandum

DATE: 12/17/79

REPLY TO
ATTN OF: SUPV. [REDACTED]

SUBJECT: "CHANGED"

aka;

- VICTIM

TO: ECT (B)
OO: BSb6 - 1, 2, 7
b7C - 1, 2, 7
b7D - 1

TO: SAC, BOSTON (179-262) (P)

Title marked "CHANGED" to delete all other subjects from the case and add alpha character "B".

On 12/7/79, Strike Force Attorney MARTIN D. BOUDREAU telephonically advised that the U. S. Court of Appeals for the First Circuit had reversed the conviction of captioned subject on 12/6/79. BOUDREAU advised that the basis for reversal and remanding the case to the District Court for re-trial was based upon the conflict of interest by trial defense counsel.

Although a petition for a re-hearing of the matter has been filed by the Government appealing the decision, Mr. BOUDREAU requested that the Bureau begin attempts to locate government witness [REDACTED] in order to prepare the case for re-trial in the event the petition is denied or subsequently lost by the Government.

b6 - 7
b7C - 7
b7D - 1JMM/dn
(2) *[Signature]*

179-262-410

179-262-559

SEARCHED	INDEXED
SERIALIZED	FILED
DEC 17 1979	
FBI - BOSTON	
<i>[Signature]</i>	

b6 - 1
b7C - 1

Buy U.S. Savings Bonds Regularly on the Payroll Savings Plan

Pls see me

OPTIONAL FORM NO. 10
(REV. 7-76)
GSA FPMR (41 CFR) 101-11.6
5010-112
FBI(20-cv-3269)-3431



12/17/79

SUPV. [REDACTED]

"CHANGED" /

[REDACTED], aka;

[REDACTED] - VICTIM

ECT (B)

OO: BS

b6 - 1, 2, 7

b7C - 1, 2, 7

b7D - 1

TO: SAC, BOSTON (179-262) (P)

Title marked "CHANGED" to delete all other subjects from the case and add alpha character "B".

On 12/7/79, Strike Force Attorney MARTIN D. BOUDREAU telephonically advised that the U. S. Court of Appeals for the First Circuit had reversed the conviction of captioned subject on 12/6/79. BOUDREAU advised that the basis for reversal and remanding the case to the District Court for re-trial was based upon the conflict of interest by trial defense counsel.

Although a petition for a re-hearing of the matter has been filed by the Government appealing the decision, Mr. BOUDREAU requested that the Bureau begin attempts to locate government witness [REDACTED] in order to prepare the case for re-trial in the event the petition is denied or subsequently lost by the Government.

b6 - 7

b7C - 7

b7D - 1

JMM/dn
(2)

179-262-410

179-262-559

FBI(20-cv-3269)-3432

memorandum

DATE: 1/15/80

REPLY TO
ATTN OF: SUPV. [REDACTED]

SUBJECT: [REDACTED], aka

[REDACTED] - VICTIM

ECT (B)

TO: OO: BS

b6 - 1, 2, 7

b7C - 1, 2, 7

b7D - 1

TO: SAC, BOSTON (179-262) (P)

On 1/14/80, Martin D. Boudreau, Departmental Attorney, New England Organized Crime Strike Force, Boston, MA, telephonically advised that the U. S. Court of Appeals granted the Government's motion for a re-hearing en banc, rendering an opinion reversing the Appeals Court's earlier decision by vacating same and denying [REDACTED] petition for bail.

b6 - 2

b7C - 2

It is requested that case Agent contact Mr. Boudreau and obtain copies of the above.

JMM/dn

(2) [REDACTED]

179-262-411
179-262-560

SEARCHED	INDEXED
SERIALIZED	FILED
JAN 16 1980	
FBI - BOSTON	

[REDACTED] [Signature]

b6 - 1

b7C - 1

Buy U.S. Savings Bonds Regularly on the Payroll Savings Plan

OPTIONAL FORM NO. 10
(REV. 7-76)
GSA FPMR (41 CFR) 101-11.6
5010-112

FBI(20-cv-3269)-3433



1/15/80

SUPV. [REDACTED]

b6 - 1, 2, 7
b7C - 1, 2, 7
b7D - 1

[REDACTED] aka
[REDACTED] - VICTIM
ECT (B)

OO: BS

TO: SAC, BOSTON (179-262) (P)

On 1/14/80, Martin D. Boudreau, Departmental Attorney, New England Organized Crime Strike Force, Boston, MA, telephonically advised that the U. S. Court of Appeals granted the Government's motion for a re-hearing en banc, rendering an opinion reversing the Appeals Court's earlier decision by vacating same and denying [REDACTED] petition for bail.

b6 - 2
b7C - 2

It is requested that case Agent contact Mr. Boudreau and obtain copies of the above.

JMM/dn
(2)

179-262-411

179-262-560

[Handwritten signature]

(Mount Clipping in Space Below)

Martorano awaiting appeal

Reputed organized crime figure James M. Martorano, 38, of Quincy is being held without bail until his case can be reheard Feb. 1 in the US Court of Appeals in Boston.

The court yesterday changed its mind and withdrew an opinion it issued last month which had set aside Martorano's 1976 loansharking conviction and 10-year prison sentence. The court gave no reason for its reversal.

(Indicate page, name of newspaper, city and state.)
BOSTON HERALD
BOSTON, MASS.

BOSTON GLOBE
BOSTON, MASS.

—14

CHRISTIAN SCIENCE
MONITOR; BOSTON, MASS.

Date: 1/16/80
Edition: MORNING

Title: JAMES M.
MARTORANO

Character: EXTORTION
or CREDIT

Classification: 179-262*
Submitting Office: BOSTON

179-262-564
C C: BUREAU

SEARCHED	INDEXED
SERIALIZED	FILED
JAN 17 1980	
FBI - BOSTON	
FBI(20-cv-3260)-3435	

179-262-482

(Mount Clipping in Space Below)

Prosecution wins bid in the Martorano case

The First Circuit U.S. Court of Appeals has decided to give government prosecutors a new hearing on an appeal by reputed organized crime figure James Martorano, 38, of Weymouth, whose loansharking conviction and 10-year prison sentence were overturned last month.

The appeals court said yesterday it would grant the rehearing request by attorneys Jeremiah T. O'Sullivan and Martin Boudreau of the New England Organized Crime Strike Force.

The circuit court had overturned Martorano's conviction Dec. 6 on the grounds that Martorano was not properly advised of the dangers of having the same law firm, Oteri and Weinberg of Boston, representing both him and his co-defendant, who was acquitted.

Martorano had been convicted in 1976 of charging extortionate interest rates on a \$2,000 loan to a Revere nightclub owner. Chief U.S. District Judge Andrew A. Caffrey at that time sentenced Martorano to 10 years imprisonment.

Martorano, said to have been a key member of Somerville's so-called "Winter Hill Gang, also was sentenced to two years imprisonment last summer for his role in a multi-million dollar horse race fixing scheme.

The latest decision by the appeals court also denies Martorano's request for bail pending a new trial on the loansharking conviction.

U.S. District Judge A. David Mazzone had set bail pending appeal at \$100,000 on the race-fixing charge, but Martorano had remained in custody because Caffrey denied attorney Richard Egbert's request for bail on the loansharking case.

The new hearing on the appeal will be held in February before the full bench of the appeals court, Chief Judge Frank Coffin, Circuit Judge Levin Campbell and Circuit Judge Hugh Bownes.

At the original appeal hearing, U.S. District Judge Raymond Pettine of Rhode Island sat in for Campbell.

(Indicate page, name of newspaper, city and state.)

BOSTON HERALD
BOSTON, MASS.

DLOW

BOSTON GLOBE
BOSTON, MASS.

CHRISTIAN SCIENCE
MONITOR; BOSTON, MASS.

Date: 1/16/80
Edition: MORNING

Title: JAMES MARTORANO

Character:
or EXTORTION
CREDIT

Classification: 179-234* B8
Submitting Office: BOSTON

179-234-562
C.C. BUREAU

SEARCHED INDEXED
SERIALIZED FILED

JAN 17 1980

FBI(20-CV-3269)-3436

b6 - 1
b7C - 1

179-262-413

(Mount Clipping in Space Below)

New hearing is set for Martorano

By William F. Doherty
Globe Staff

The US Court of Appeals in Boston yesterday changed its mind and withdrew an opinion it issued last month which had set aside the 10-year prison sentence and loansharking conviction of a reputed organized crime figure.

The court ordered a new hearing Feb. 1 before a different panel of judges in the case of James M. Martorano, 38, of Quincy, and also denied Martorano bail pending the rehearing. The court gave no reason for its reversal.

In setting aside the conviction in a 2-to-1 decision in December, the court upheld Martorano's claim that two members of a Boston law firm represented him and a codefendant with "divided loyalties" to Martorano's detriment and to the benefit of the codefendant who was acquitted.

Martorano claimed he was harmed by the joint representation at the 1976 trial. He was defended by attorney Joseph S. Oteri while Oteri's partner, Martin Wein-

berg, represented the codefendant, Edward B. Halloran.

Martorano claimed that Oteri failed to call a witness who could have helped his case because the witness would have harmed Halloran's case.

(Indicate page, name of newspaper, city and state.)
BOSTON HERALD
BOSTON, MASS.

BOSTON GLOBE
BOSTON, MASS.

57

CHRISTIAN SCIENCE
MONITOR; BOSTON, MASS.

Date: 1/16/80
Edition: MORNING

Title: JAMES M.
MARTORANO

Character: EXTORTION
or CREDIT

Classification: 179-234* BS

Submitting Office: BOSTON

179-234-563
C.C. BUREAU
SEARCHED INDEXED
SERIALIZED FILED

JAN 17 1980

FBI(20-cv-3269)-3437
FBI-BOSTON

b6 - 1
b7C - 1

memorandum

DATE: 4/17/80

REPLY TO
ATTN OF:

SA [REDACTED]

SUBJECT:

[REDACTED] aka;
[REDACTED] VICTIM

ECT (B)

TO: OO: BS

TO: SAC, BOSTON (179-262) (C)

b6 - 1, 2, 7
b7C - 1, 2, 7
b7D - 1b6 - 1
b7C - 1

Re memo of Supv. [REDACTED] 1/15/80.

Attached are copies of the decision rendered by the U. S. Court of Appeals for the First Circuit on 12/6/79 regarding United States vs. [REDACTED] and subsequent order of the court rendered 1/10/80 granting a re-hearing en banc in the matter of US vs. [REDACTED]

b6 - 2
b7C - 2

Inasmuch as the USDC has reversed the decision of 12/6/79, this case should be placed in a closed status. Should the court in subsequent rulings order a new trial, the case will be re-opened.

JPC/dn
*[Signature]**Close 4
[Signature]
4/17/80**179-262-415**179-262-564**release release*

Buy U.S. Savings Bonds Regularly on the Payroll Savings Plan.

OPTIONAL FORM NO. 10
(REV. 7-76)
GSA FPMR (41 CFR) 101-11.6
5010-112

FBI(20-cv-3269)-3438

United States Court of Appeals For the First Circuit

No. 78-1445

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

APPELLEE,

JAMES MARTORANO,

DEFENDANT-APPELLANT.

APPEAL FROM THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

FOR THE DISTRICT OF MASSACHUSETTS

[HON. ANDREW A. CAFFEY, *U.S. District Judge*]

Before COFFIN, Chief Judge,

BOWNES, Circuit Judge,

PETTINE, District Judge.*

Richard J. Vita for appellant.

Wade Livingston, Attorney, Department of Justice, with whom *Edward F. Harrington*, United States Attorney, District of Massachusetts, and *Joseph S. Davies*, Attorney, Department of Justice, were on brief for appellee.

December 6, 1979

BOWNES, *Circuit Judge*. In this appeal from the denial of his motion for a new trial, appellant seeks reversal of his extortion conviction on grounds of ineffective assistance of counsel due to joint representation.

Appellant and Brian Halloran were tried together on four counts of extortion in June 1976. The jury acquitted Halloran, but found appellant guilty on all counts. We affirmed appellant's conviction and denied his petition for rehearing. *United States v. Martorano*, 557 F.2d 1 (1st Cir.), rehearing denied, #76-1372 (1st Cir. May 23, 1977) (unpub.) and 561 F.2d 406 (1st Cir. 1977), cert. denied 435 U.S. 922 (1978).

* Of the District of Rhode Island, sitting by designation.

In February 1978, appellant moved for a new trial on grounds of newly discovered evidence. Because final judgment had been entered more than one year before the motion was filed, a motion for new trial on any other grounds would have been untimely. See Fed. R. Crim. P. 33. Appellant's "newly discovered evidence" was that he had been prejudiced at trial because he and Halloran had been jointly represented by members of the same law firm. This "evidence" was said to be "newly discovered" because appellant did not learn of the prejudice until he obtained new (his present) counsel, which was after his appeal and petition for rehearing had been decided.

The district court held an evidentiary hearing on appellant's motion for a new trial, at which appellant and all counsel involved in his trial testified. In its opinion and order on the motion, the court ruled, as a threshold matter, that it was treating the motion as one to vacate a sentence under 28 U.S.C. § 2255, on the ground that the issue of ineffective assistance due to joint representation does not constitute "newly discovered evidence" within the meaning of Rule 33. As to the merits of the motion, the court found that, prior to his trial, appellant had knowingly waived his sixth amendment right to separate counsel. Because, however, the trial judge had not complied with *United States v. Foster*, 469 F.2d 1, 4-5 (1st Cir. 1972), by personally addressing appellant concerning his waiver, the district court, in ruling on the motion for a new trial, believed it was necessary to reach the issue whether appellant had been prejudiced by his joint representation and to place the burden of proof on this issue on the government. After reviewing the evidence bearing on the issue of prejudice, the district court ruled that appellant had not been harmed by the fact he had shared trial counsel with Halloran. Accordingly, the court denied appellant's motion for a new trial. *United States v. Martorano*, 457 F.Supp. 803, 810 (D. Mass. 1978). This timely appeal followed.

WAIVER

Appellee argues that since the district court's finding of a knowing and voluntary waiver is supported by the record, we should affirm the denial of the new-trial motion on that ground and not reach the issue of prejudice. Appellant contends that the district court's finding of waiver is erroneous and that, in any event, the issue of prejudice must be reached because the trial judge failed to comply with its duty of inquiry under *Foster*. We agree with the latter portion of appellant's argument.

The right to separate counsel, guaranteed by the sixth amendment, is waivable in recognition of the fact that occasionally joint representation can be appropriate or even advantageous to multiple defendants. *United States v. Waldman*, 579 F.2d 649, 651 (1st Cir. 1978). An understanding, or even an awareness, of the risks inherent in joint representation, however, is not a part of the basic knowledge of the average layperson. For this reason, and because waiver of any constitutional right is a serious matter, courts bear a special responsibility in evaluating a criminal defendant's purported waiver of his sixth amendment right to separate counsel. *Id.* In view of this responsibility, in *Foster* we devised a rule under our supervisory powers for district courts to adhere to in evaluating such waivers. The district court's "duty of inquiry" under *Foster* requires a trial court to comment, "as early in the litigation as practicable," on some of the risks indigneous to joint representation and to "inquire diligently" of jointly-represented defendants whether they have discussed these risks with counsel and whether they are aware of their rights to separate (and, if indigent, court-appointed) counsel.¹ 469 F.2d at 5.

¹ Since *Foster*, we have expanded the district court's duty of inquiry to require a fuller warning which includes a more detailed explanation of the risks involved in joint representation. *United States v. Donahue*, 560 F.2d 1039, 1943-4 (1st Cir. 1977).

It is undisputed that the trial court failed to address appellant about the waiver which appellant filed with the court. The trial court first learned that appellant and Halloran were being represented by two members (Oteri and Weinberg) of the same firm at Halloran's arraignment, which was held several days after appellant's. Upon learning of the joint representation, the magistrate, in recognition of his duty under *Foster*, questioned Halloran about possible conflicts of interest. He then instructed attorney Weinberg, who had accompanied Halloran, to inform Halloran and appellant about their right to separate counsel and, if either defendant wished to waive that right, to have him send a letter of waiver to the court. Appellant was not present at Halloran's arraignment and at no other time during the course of the trial did the magistrate or the trial judge speak to him about his joint representation.

The district court found, however, upon the basis of the evidence presented at the hearing on the motion for a new trial, that appellant did in fact voluntarily and knowingly waive his right to separate counsel before he was tried. The evidence upon which the court's finding was based is the following. Shortly after Halloran's arraignment, appellant was called to the office of Oteri and Weinberg. There, Weinberg gave him two letters which Weinberg had drafted, one purporting to be from Oteri to Martorano (advising Martorano of the magistrate's instructions to counsel) and the other from Martorano to the magistrate (stating that appellant was aware of his

This expansion of our supervisory rule does not, however, apply retroactively, *Waldman*, 579 F.2d at 652, so it is inapplicable to the present case in which trial occurred in 1976.

As of August 1, 1979, Rule 44(c), Fed. R. Crim. P., requires district courts to advise jointly-represented defendants about their right to separate representation. The Rule, however, does not require as detailed an inquiry as our supervisory rule which, of course, is controlling in this circuit.

right to separate counsel and of the risks of joint representation, but that he still wished to retain his present counsel). Appellant was given a few minutes to read these letters, he then signed them, and later Weinberg had the letter to the magistrate filed with the court.

Conflicting evidence was presented at the hearing concerning whether Weinberg ever explained the meaning of the letters to appellant. The district court found that Weinberg did discuss the contents of the letters with appellant and ruled, on the basis of the letters and this discussion, that appellant's letter to the magistrate constituted a knowing and voluntary waiver of appellant's right to conflict-free counsel. Appellee argues that this finding is supported by the record, so there is no need for us to reach the issue of prejudice even though the trial court failed to comply with *Foster*.

The short answer to appellee's argument is that rarely, if ever, will we consider a waiver adequate when the trial court has not met its duty of inquiry under *Foster* (and where applicable, *Donahue*, see note 1 *infra*), *United States v. Lawrie*, 568 F.2d 98, 105 (8th Cir. 1977), cert. denied 435 U.S. 969 (1978) (dictum); cf. *Waldman*, *supra*, 579 F.2d at 651-53 & n.6, and this case does not constitute such a rarity. The purpose of the *Foster* rule is to ensure that there is an on-the-record exchange between the trial court and defendant from which it can readily be inferred that the waiver was voluntary and knowing. In the absence of such an exchange, it is doubtful that the evidence of a valid waiver would ever be so unambiguous that, "indulg[ing] [in] every reasonable presumption" against a finding of waiver, *Glasser v. United States*, 315 U.S. 60, 70 (1942), we could still affirm a district court's pro-waiver finding.

Here, the evidence that appellant's waiver was voluntary and knowing was certainly not unambiguous. The letters which Martorano signed stated that he had been

informed of the risks of sharing trial counsel and of his right to separate counsel. These letters, however, do not constitute strong evidence that he understood the dangers involved because they only stated that appellant was "aware" of the "risks" and did not describe any risks.² Appellant testified, as did attorneys Oteri and Weinberg, that he never did discuss the contents of the letters with his attorneys. Counsel believed that there were no conflicts of interest between Halloran and appellant. Thus,

² The letters were as follows:

Dear Jim:

Pursuant to general instructions issued by the Magistrate Willie Davis on September 29, 1975, I advise you as follows pursuant to the rules articulated by the United States Court of Appeals for the First Circuit in a case styled *United States v. Foster*, 369 F2d 1. As you know, I represent both yourself and Brian Halloran in the above-captioned matter. The Foster case held that there were dangers to criminal defendants like yourselves inherent in any joint representation. The Court asked me to advise you of the risks involved in joint representation so that you would be aware of these risks and, would if you wished, retain separate counsel.

I enclose for your consideration the following letter which I have authored on your behalf which, if it conforms to your desires, may be signed by you and forwarded back to me for the purposes of sending to the Court to satisfy its burden under the Foster case of ascertaining whether or not you are conscious and aware of the fact that you may retain separate counsel and may be prejudiced by joint representation.

Sincerely,

Joseph S. Oteri

Dear Magistrate Davis:

Pursuant to your instructions of September 29, 1975, I state to you that I am aware of the dangers which exist to criminal defendants and which are inherent in joint representation. I have discussed these risks with my attorney, Joseph S. Oteri, and I understand that I may retain separate counsel or if I qualify as an indigent I may have such counsel appointed for me by the Court. I am mindful of the risks and dangers of joint representation and I desire for Mr. Oteri to continue to represent me despite these risks and despite my knowledge that his office also represents a co-defendant in the above-captioned matter.

Sincerely,

James Martorano

they viewed the preparation of the letters as a mere technicality to ensure, in view of the magistrate's instructions, their continued representation of appellant and Halloran. Appellant testified that, given this attitude of counsel towards the letters, he felt no need to satisfy himself as to their meaning before signing them. Due to this conflicting evidence concerning the validity of appellant's waiver, the district court was correct in proceeding to consider the issue of prejudice and in placing the burden of proof on appellee.³

PREJUDICE

Citing to *Holloway v. Arkansas*, 435 U.S. 475 (1978), appellant argues that, when a jointly-represented defendant has not voluntarily and knowingly waived his right to separate counsel, we should find prejudice as a matter of course. This issue was not raised below. Therefore, only if a failure to consider the issue would result in manifest injustice, do we need to reach it. See, e.g., *United States v. Emery*, 541 F.2d 887, 889 n.3 (1st Cir. 1976).

We declined to adopt the per se rule appellant desires in *Foster*, 469 F.2d at 5, and do not read *Holloway* as

³ Defense counsels' failure to explain the risks of joint representation fell below the standards established for members of the Massachusetts bar by the Code of Professional Responsibility. *Donahue, supra*, 560 F.2d at 1043 n.2 ("in those few situations where joint representation may be justified, . . . 'a lawyer . . . should explain fully to each client the implications of the common representation and should accept or continue employment only if the client consents.'" (emphasis added)). Furthermore, these same attorneys were trial defense counsel in *Donahue*, another case which required a new trial because of prejudice arising from joint representation. We might have referred these matters to a disciplinary committee except that both appellant's and Donahue's trials occurred at about the same time and before our decision in *Donahue* issued. At the post-*Donahue* hearing on appellant's motion for a new trial, both defense counsel testified that in light of *Donahue* they no longer represent more than one defendant at a trial.

requiring us to reconsider that decision.⁴ It is true that this is the second post-*Foster* trial of which we are aware in which the trial court failed to adhere to our supervisory rule concerning waiver, thereby requiring us to analyze a lengthy trial record and engage in "the impossible task of speculating about what might have happened" had appellant had his own lawyer.⁵ *Lollar v. United States*, 376 F.2d 243, 248 (D.C. Cir. 1967) (C.J. Bazelon, dissenting). Both trials occurred, however, in 1976 before our opinion in *Donahue* in which we stressed how important we viewed compliance with the rule on waiver to be. Thus, it is not apparent at this time that a per se rule is necessary to encourage such compliance. Compare *United States v. Scott*, 583 F.2d 362, 364 (7th Cir. 1978) (en banc as to adoption of per se rule). In sum, we see no reason to reconsider in this appeal whether a per se rule should be adopted.

In *Foster* we ruled that where a satisfactory inquiry into waiver does not appear on the trial record, the government bears the burden of persuasion on the issue of prejudice. The government must "demonstrate from the record that prejudice to the defendant was improbable" if the issue is raised by way of a direct appeal from a conviction. "If the issue arises in the context of a [28 U.S.C.] § 2255 motion, the government will bear the burden of establishing the unlikelihood of prejudice by a preponderance of the evidence." 469 F.2d at 5.

Appellee contends that the district court properly treated appellant's motion for a new trial as a § 2255 motion. Thus, appellee argues, it need only prove "the unlikelihood of prejudice by a preponderance of the evidence."

⁴ In *Holloway* the Court held that, when a trial court fails to give due consideration to a court-appointed defense counsel's contention that the defendants he is jointly-representing have conflicting interests, reversal of the defendants' convictions is automatically required. 435 U.S. at 488.

⁵ The first trial was *Donahue*.

Appellant argues that the district court erred in treating its motion as a motion to vacate sentence under § 2255. Therefore, the government must prove that prejudice was "improbable."

The issue whether ineffective assistance can ever properly be raised in a motion for new trial on grounds of newly discovered evidence is an open question in this circuit, *United States v. Carlson*, 561 F.2d 105, 109 (1st Cir.), cert. denied 434 U.S. 973 (1977); *United States v. McCambridge*, 551 F.2d 865, 873 (1st Cir. 1977) which will not be resolved here, for whichever standard we apply our decision on the issue of prejudice remains the same.

Appellant points to three of defense counsel's tactical decisions as indicating that his interests, in terms of which defense strategy to pursue, conflicted with Halloran's and that defense counsel consistently chose the tactics which benefitted Halloran. Defense counsels' reasons for so proceeding were, according to appellant, their theory of defense that, if Halloran was acquitted, appellant's acquittal would automatically follow and their preference for Halloran due to their longer association with him.

The three decisions which allegedly benefitted Halloran at appellant's expense were defense counsel's insistence that appellant testify, their decision that Halloran not testify, and their refusal to call Louis Pallotta. Counsels' decision not to call Pallotta constitutes sufficient evidence of prejudice to require a new trial. Hence, we need not consider whether counsels' other tactics also resulted in prejudice to appellant.

Appellant contends that, if Louis Pallotta had testified, he would have corroborated appellant's version of the loan transaction and rebutted the version of the government's chief witness, Louis' brother, Peter Pallotta. Appellant thought it would be very effective to have Peter contradicted by his own brother. For these reasons, appellant

continually urged defense counsel to call Louis. Louis was not called, however, because defense counsel, according to appellant, feared that the prosecution would on cross examination of Louis elicit testimony damaging to Halloran. Appellee argues that even a defense counsel loyal only to appellant would not have called Louis because his credibility was so open to attack.

In determining whether the decision not to call Louis indicates that appellant was prejudiced by his joint representation we consider whether, in terms of appellant's defense, calling Louis was "an alternate strategy [which]—whatever its ultimate merit—plainly existed" and whether it "involved, as between the joint defendants, some potential for conflict of interest" *Donahue*, 560 F.2d at 1045.

On the basis of our review of the trial record we find that calling Louis was "an alternate strategy [which]—whatever its ultimate merit—plainly existed." In other words, an attorney representing only appellant might reasonably have pursued this strategy. After the government rested its case-in-chief, any competent defense counsel would have realized that for appellant to have any chance of being acquitted, he had to put on some kind of defense. The victim of the alleged extortion scheme, Peter Pallotta, has testified in detail about how appellant had lent him \$2000 at extortionate rates, how, after Peter defaulted, appellant had threatened him with harm, and how appellant had sent Halloran to rob Peter's nightclub to recover overdue interest payments. It is true that Peter's credibility was vigorously attacked on cross-examination⁶ but the government's case against appellant did not rest on Peter's testimony alone. The government also admitted

⁶ On direct examination Peter informed the jury about his lengthy criminal record. On cross, testimony was elicited from Peter from which it could be inferred that he had fabricated his allegations against Halloran and appellant in order to obtain

a taped telephone conversation between appellant and Peter in which appellant's statements practically constituted admissions of guilt.⁷ Therefore, as appellant's trial counsel recognized, they could not rest without putting in some kind of defense.

Calling Louis Pallotta, however, was not defense counsel's only choice in terms of putting on a defense for appellant. Counsel had reason to believe that appellant would make a good witness. One-half of his criminal record was already in evidence and the other half was relatively minor, so the fact that appellant's record would probably be revealed to the jury if he testified was not a major drawback to calling appellant. Counsel believed that appellant was charming, articulate, intelligent, and had the appearance of a business man. They knew that appellant was

government protection. According to Peter's testimony, he was in default on loans from other alleged loan sharks, an arrest warrant for him was outstanding in Massachusetts for failure to pay child support, and he had committed numerous parole violations which, if discovered by the proper authorities, would result in a minimum jail term of seven years. Other portions of Peter's testimony on cross indicated that he thought all of these problems would be "cured" if he assisted the government in preparing a case against Halloran and appellant.

⁷The telephone conversation initiated by Peter and recorded with his permission was as follows:

Peter: Jimmy [appellant], I ain't got any money. I'm trying to put something together.

Appellant: Oh, why don't you stop by and see me.

Peter: I'm afraid to go down there, you know that.

Appellant: You don't have to be afraid to come down to see me.

Peter: I'm afraid I'll wind up gettin' slapped in the face.

Appellant: Nothin's gonna happen.

Peter: Huh?

Appellant: Don't talk like that on the phone.

Peter: Oh, all right. All right, can I meet you somewhere else?

Appellant: You can come any time. What do you think I'm gonna do something 'round my own place? Be kind of stupid wouldn't it? Ah, first chance you get drop by and see me, all right?

Peter: Okay. All right, Jim.

a family man, had been steadily employed for the last few years, and had recently earned a B.S. degree by going to Boston College nights. Furthermore, appellant was the only person who could attempt to explain away his statements on the tape because the recorded conversation admitted in evidence had been solely between Peter and himself. Therefore, defense counsel would have been foolish not to call, and in fact did call, appellant for purposes of presenting a defense on his own behalf.

Since calling appellant to testify was a reasonable strategy to pursue, defense counsel were not faced with a situation where calling Louis was realistically their only choice in terms of presenting a defense for appellant. Still, we cannot say on the basis of the record before us that it would have been unreasonable for an attorney representing only appellant to have called Louis as well. Louis was the only other person present besides Peter and appellant when the loan was negotiated. If he had been called, he would have corroborated appellant's version of these negotiations and rebutted Peter's. Appellant had testified that the loan had been made to his good friend, Louis, not to Peter, whom he met for the first time that day, that Peter accompanied Louis because Louis thought appellant might be able to give Peter some advice on an unrelated matter, and that the loan to Louis was interest-free and without definite terms as to repayment. Louis, according to appellant, repaid the loan within a few weeks, before the date on which appellant allegedly had Halloran rob Peter's club. If Louis had testified, he would have corroborated this version of the loan transaction, rather than Peter's. Although the government's case against appellant was strong, this corroboration of appellant's testimony might have made the difference in the jury's decision as to whether appellant was guilty "beyond a reasonable doubt."

It is true, as appellee argues, that calling Louis was a risk because the prosecution was aware that Louis had a history of mental health problems and had made a prior inconsistent statement to the F.B.I. Thus, defense counsel were properly concerned that Louis' credibility might have been destroyed during cross examination, which could then have led to a weakening of appellant's credibility in the eyes of the jury. Still, there is no evidence in the record indicating that Louis could not have overcome his credibility problems. For all we know his mental health problems might have had no bearing on his ability to tell the truth or to give a reliable account of the loan transaction. Moreover, there is no evidence indicating that Louis could not have persuaded the jurors that his prior statement to the F.B.I. was false and that they should believe his testimony at trial. It is possible that Louis lied to the F.B.I. on impulse to protect his brother (who had already agreed to "cooperate" with the F.B.I. in building a case against appellant), but then later decided to tell the truth even though he would be contradicting his brother by doing so. The question of credibility is always an iffy one. The only way appellant's testimony about the terms of the loan could have been corroborated was through Louis. We have no reason to doubt that calling him would have been an acceptable risk. In sum, on the basis of the record before us, we cannot say with any assurance that an attorney representing only appellant would not reasonably have called Louis to strengthen the defense. Thus, calling Louis was "an alternate strategy [which]—whatever its ultimate merit—plainly existed" in terms of making a case for appellant.

We next consider whether, as between Halloran and appellant, there was a potential for conflict in calling Louis. In other words, since calling Louis was not an unreasonable strategy in terms of appellant's defense, we consider

whether it might have been an unwise tactic in terms of Halloran's defense.

It is undisputed that Louis could not have given any testimony that would have directly benefitted Halloran because Louis had no personal knowledge concerning Halloran's alleged involvement in the extortion scheme. Moreover, there is evidence that calling Louis might have harmed Halloran. At the hearing on appellant's motion for a new trial appellant testified that defense counsel told him they were not going to call Louis because they feared that on cross examination of Louis the prosecution would elicit damaging evidence with regard to Halloran's reputation and character. Attorney Weinberg admitted that this was one reason why Louis was not called. A review of the trial record corroborates the testimony at this hearing that calling Louis might have harmed Halloran.

During the government's case-in-chief at appellant's trial, Peter Pallotta had testified that he was afraid of Halloran because he knew Halloran was "a loan shark, collector, and enforcer and a madman" and "if you didn't ... pay [him] you would get a beating or maybe worse." However, because Peter had been subjected to vigorous cross examination, see note 6 *supra*, his testimony alone did not constitute overwhelming evidence against Halloran. Furthermore, the government had not been successful in admitting any other evidence (e.g., a taped conversation) against Halloran. Therefore, it is probable that a major concern of any attorney representing Halloran would have been to prevent any damaging evidence from coming in against Halloran during the presentation of the defense. In view of Louis' expected testimony on cross, corroborating Peter's testimony about Halloran's reputation and character, a defense attorney representing Halloran would not only not have called Louis, but would have been concerned to hear that he would be called by a codefendant. Thus,

calling Louis would have involved, "as between the joint defendants, a potential for conflict of interest." *Donahue*, 560 F.2d at 1045. Given this fact and the fact that calling Louis was "an alternate strategy [which]—whatever its ultimate merit—plainly existed" for appellant, *id.*, appellee has not established that prejudice to appellant arising from his joint representation was improbable. Moreover, there is enough evidence of a conflict here so we also cannot say that appellee has proven the unlikelihood of prejudice by a preponderance of the evidence. Hence, we reverse appellant's conviction and remand for a new trial.

Having found that appellant is entitled to a new trial we need not reach the issue whether the district court erred in refusing to recuse itself from considering appellant's motion.

Reversed and remanded.

COFFIN, *Chief Judge* (dissenting). While I am uncomfortable with the court's holding that appellant, a college graduate and sophisticated businessman, did not waive his right to conflict-free counsel, I can see the value of generally requiring an on-the-record exchange between court and defendant. I can therefore accept the court's holding on waiver.

My problem materializes when I consider the question of prejudice. The court's opinion seizes on the decision not to call Louis Pallotta as sufficient evidence of prejudice to require a new trial. It notes appellant's arguments that Pallotta would have corroborated appellant's version of the loan transaction, rebutting his brother Peter, which would have been helpful; and that defense counsel refused to call Pallotta because cross-examination would elicit testimony damaging to Halloran.

The standard adopted by the court's opinion is, to quote from *United States v. Donahue*, 560 F.2d 1039, 1045 (1st Cir. 1977), whether the action (i.e., calling Louis Pallotta as a witness) was "an alternate strategy [which]—whatever its ultimate merit—plainly existed." The court's opinion obviously assumed this standard was met.

The trial court, however, made these findings—that Louis Pallotta would be a liability to both appellant and Halloran; that Pallotta had made a prior statement to the FBI flatly contradicting any exculpatory testimony he might have given for the defense; that he had a lengthy psychiatric history including diagnosis as a paranoid schizophrenic; that Halloran ran less risk of embarrassment from Pallotta's testifying, because of prior inconsistencies, than did appellant; that after a trial run at testifying Martorano's lawyer thought Pallotta to be a "terrible witness". The court concluded that "there is no reason in the world to speculate that separate counsel would have analyzed the potential impact of Louis Pallotta's testimony any differently" than did appellant's counsel.

These observations I think it important to note, are not evaluations of strategy, where our hindsight judgments might well be as valid as those of the trial court. They are the clearest indicia of the vulnerability of Pallotta's credibility. I see absolutely no basis for disagreement with the trial judge and cannot see how the court can say: "We have no reason to doubt that calling him [Pallotta] would have been an acceptable risk."

In short, I would hold that the government has more than adequately sustained its burden of demonstrating that "prejudice to the defendant was improbable". *United States v. Foster*, 469 F.2d 1, 5 (1st Cir. 1972). It seems to me that the court, in holding that calling Louis Pallotta was a strategy that "plainly existed", may well be taking too literally the caveat in *Donahue*, "whatever its ultimate

merit", and therefore is confusing the ability to articulate a strategy with a realistic chance to pursue one. Such a reading, of course, would entirely eviscerate the standard of probability of prejudice established in *Foster*. I fear that the court's approach in this case comes too close to adopting a per se rule of prejudice in all cases where conflict is not waived.


UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS
FOR THE FIRST CIRCUIT

No. 78-1445.

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,
Appellee,

v.

JAMES MARTORANO,
Defendant, Appellant.



Before COFFIN, Chief Judge,
CAMPBELL and BOWNES, Circuit Judges.

ORDER OF COURT

Entered January 10, 1980

A majority of the judges in regular active service on
this Court having voted for a rehearing en banc,

The opinion of the Court filed on December 6, 1979,
is withdrawn and the judgment entered on said date is vacated;

The petition for release on bail is denied; and

This case is assigned for rehearing en banc on Friday,
February 1, 1980.

By the Court:

/s/ DANA H. GALLUP
Clerk.

[Cert. C. Clerk, U.S.D.C., Mass.; cc: Messrs. Vita and Livingston.]
and Boudreau

(Mount Clipping in Space Below)

Martorano the loser as court flip-flops

By JAMES CONNOLLY

Staff Writer

James Martorano, 38, of Weymouth, yesterday suffered a setback in his bid to overturn his 1976 loansharking conviction. The conviction carried a 10-year prison sentence.

Martorano, a business partner and friend of reputed Somerville organized crime boss Howard T. Winter, had achieved a victory in December when the First Circuit U.S. Court of Appeals overturned the conviction on grounds that Martorano had not been warned of the dangers of having the same law firm represent him and a co-defendant.

But yesterday, by a 2-1 vote, the circuit court reversed its December finding under a rarely-used procedure known as an "en banc" hearing.

The rehearing had been sought by New England Organized Crime Strike Force attorneys Jeremiah T. O'Sullivan and Martin Boudreau after a panel including Chief Judge Frank Coffin, Circuit Judge Hugh Bownes and U.S. District Judge Raymond Pettine set aside the conviction.

That panel had ruled that Chief U.S. District Judge Andrew A. Cafrey erred in not warning Martorano that his defense could be damaged if the same law firm, Oteri and Weinberg of Boston, represented both him and co-defendant, Brian Halloran of Dorchester. (Halloran was acquitted while Martorano was found guilty of making an extor-

tionate \$2000 loan to a Revere nightclub owner.)

But at the rehearing of the appeal in February, from which yesterday's decision resulted, Pettine was replaced by Circuit Judge Levin Campbell, who with Coffin and Bownes is on the regular circuit bench.

Over the objections of Martorano's latest attorney, Richard Egbert of Boston, the court voted to rehear the case. In his 16-page opinion, Campbell said a judge who is not a regular member of the court can be replaced by a regular member when the "uniformity and stability of precedent will suffer" by a decision.

The new ruling, which said that Martorano was not prejudiced by Weinberg's decision not to call a witness who Martorano felt might have hurt Halloran's defense at the 1976 trial, was an attempt to "clarify" the standard by which prejudice in cases of joint-representation are evaluated according to Campbell. The decision removes from the government's shoulders the burden of proving that the defendant was not prejudiced.

Campbell joined Coffin, who had dissented on the December decision, in affirming the Martorano conviction.

Martorano began his 10-year prison term 20 months ago after serving a state prison term for illegal possession of a firearm. In August, U.S. District Judge A. David Mazzone sentenced Martorano to an additional two-year prison term for his role in a scheme to fix horse races.

(Indicate page, name of newspaper, city and state.)
BOSTON HERALD
BOSTON, MASS.

A4

BOSTON GLOBE
BOSTON, MASS.

CHRISTIAN SCIENCE
MONITOR; BOSTON, MASS.

Date: 5/13/80
Edition: MORNING

Title: JAMES
MARTORANO

Character:
or EXTORTION
Classification: 179-234* BS
Submitting Office: BOSTON

179-262-268
C C; BUREAU

SEARCHED	INDEXED
SERIALIZED	FILED
MAY 14 1980	
FBI - BOSTON	

179-262-268

John F. Kennedy Federal Building
Government Center
183A-298 Boston, Massachusetts 02203

December 5, 1980

b6 - 4
b7C - 4

[redacted]
Departmental Attorney
Organized Crime and Racketeering Section
United States Department of Justice
Room 1905
John W. McCormack Federal Building
Boston, Massachusetts 02109

Attention: Martin D. Boudreau
Special Attorney

Re: HOWARD T. WINTER, aka
ET AL
RICO: SPORTS BRIBERY;
ITAR - GAMBLING

Dear Sir:

Sources, informants and past prosecutions have identified [redacted] member of what is often referred to as the Howard T. Winter gang or Irish Mob. Through a series of investigations and resultant prosecutions, the hierarchy of the Winter gang has been either incarcerated or in fugitive status, rendering them of little value to the gang. Other developments such as the murder of Salvatore Sperlinga have also made a devastating impact on this gang's hierarchy. At present time, only [redacted] and James "Whitey" Bulger remain to form the nucleus of the leadership of the once powerful gang. Currently, the gang is very much in a struggle for survival due to the loss of the majority of the gang's hierarchy, including [redacted]

b6 - 2
b7C - 2

[redacted] possesses the expertise that the Winter gang is currently lacking with regard to gambling and loansharking matters. The return of [redacted] to a facility nearby to

b6 - 2
b7C - 2

2 - Addressee
2 - Boston (1-183A-298)
(1-179-262)

JMM/dn
(4)

FBI(20-cv-3269)-3458

179-262-566

the Boston area would once again place him in the position of assisting [redacted] and Bulger in the leadership of the Winter gang. It is known that while [redacted] was incarcerated locally, he was in regular contact with [redacted] Bulger and others with regard to the managing of the Winter gang's operation.

b6 - 2
b7C - 2

In view of the above, it is not believed to be in the public interest to have [redacted] located within a proximity which would enable him to once again become involved in criminal activities, particularly exercising his leadership capabilities.

b6 - 2
b7C - 2

Very truly yours,

Lawrence Sarhatt
Special Agent in Charge

By: [redacted]
Supervisory Special Agent

b6 - 1
b7C - 1

BS 179-262
DFO/sct
1.

On 7/16/82, [redacted]

advised he was [redacted]

b6 - 6
b7C - 6
b7D - 2, 3

Source understands [redacted]

[redacted]



179-262 567
[handwritten marks]

[signature]

BS 179-262
DFO/sct
1.

On 7/16/82, [redacted] advised he was [redacted]

Source understands [redacted]

b6 - 6
b7C - 6
b7D - 2, 3

119-262-561

for

for

C

FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION
FOI/PA
DELETED PAGE INFORMATION SHEET
Civil Action# 20-cv-3269

Total Deleted Page(s) = 2
Page 27 ~ b6 - - 2; b7C - - 2;
Page 29 ~ b6 - - 2; b7C - - 2;

XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX
X Deleted Page(s) X
X No Duplication Fee X
X For this Page X
XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX

(Title)

(File No.)

179-262

Date Filed		Disposition
11/29/74	(1) TELEPHONE LOG DATED 11/22/74	
"	(2) CONSENT TO RECORD AND MONITOR TELEPHONE CONVERSATION DATED 11/23/74	
"	(3) TELEPHONE LOG DATED 11/23/74	
"	(4) CONSENT TO RECORD AND MONITOR TELEPHONE CONVERSATION DATED 11/25/74	
"	(5) TELEPHONE LOG DATED 11/25/74	
"	(6) CONSENT TO RECORD & MONITOR TELEPHONE CONVERSATIONS DATED 11/22/74	
12/11/74	(7) SURVEILLANCE LOG BALTIMORE NEWS CLUB 12/9/74	
12/12/74	(8) TELEPHONE LOG, DTL, 12/10/74	
"	(9) CONSENT TO MONITOR & RECORD TELEPHONE CONVERSATIONS 12/10/74	
12/17/74	(10) TELEPHONE LOG, 12/16/74	
"	(11) CONSENT TO RECORD & MONITOR TELEPHONE CONVERSATIONS, 12/16/74 FOR 30 DAYS.	
X 12/19/74	(12) 1 COPIES PHOTO [REDACTED] 1 BHW PHOTO OF [REDACTED]	b6 - 2 b7C - 2 b7D - 1
1/2/74	(13) TELEPHONE LOG FOR [REDACTED] 12/31/74	
"	(14) " " " " 12/30/74	
"	(15) " " " " 12/28/74	
1/15/75	(16) " " " " [REDACTED]	
"	(17) " " " " [REDACTED]	
"	(18) " " " " [REDACTED]	

179-262-1A

6

C

File No. 179 - 262 - 1A(1)

Date Received 11/22/74

From _____

b6 - 1, 5.
b7C - 1, 5
b7D - 1

To Be Returned ☐ Yes

☒ No

Receipt Given ☐ Yes

☒ No

Description:

Telephone Log dated
11/22/74

Telephone log.

b6 - 1, 5
b7C - 1, 5
b7D - 1

11-22-74

Sa FBI Boston, Ma. 11/22/74

SA FBI Boston, Mass. 11/22/74

179-262-1A(1)

File No. 179-262-1A(2)Date Received 11 / 23 / 74

From

By

b6 - 1, 5

b7C - 1, 5

b7D - 1

To Be Returned ☐ Yes☒ NoReceipt given ☐ Yes☒ No

Description:

Consent to record and
monitor telephone
conversations dated
- 11 / 23 / 74

b6 - 5
b7C - 5
b7D - 1

November 23, 1974

I [redacted] hereby authorize
Special Agents of the Federal Bureau of Investigation,
on this date, to record and monitor my
telephone conversations.

[redacted]

Witnesses:

[redacted]

SA, FBI, Boston, Ma. 11/23/74
SA, FBI, Boston, Mass. 11/23/74

b6 - 1
b7C - 1

179-262-1A(2)

File No. 179-262-1A/3 b6 - 1, 5
b7C - 1, 5

Date Received 11/23/74 b7D - 1

From

To Be Returned ☐ Yes ☒ No
Receipt Given ☐ Yes ☒ No

Description:

Telephone log dated
11/23/74

Telephone Log.

11/23/74

b6 - 1, 2, 5

b7C - 1, 2, 5

b7D - 1

179-262-1A(3)

b6 - 1, 5

b7C - 1, 5

b7D - 1

File No. 179 - 262 - 1A(4)Date Received 11 / 25 / 74

From

By

To Be Returned ☐ Yes
☒ NoReceipt given ☐ Yes
☒ No

Description:

Consent to record and
monitor telephone
conversations dated
11 / 25 / 74

2 [redacted] November 25, 1974
[redacted] hereby authorize
Special Agents of the Federal Bureau of Investigation
to monitor and record telephone conversations
on this date.

b6 - 1, 5
b7C - 1, 5
b7D - 1

Witness

[redacted] SA, FBI, Boston, Ma, 11/25/74
[redacted]

179-262-1A(4)

b6 - 1, 5
b7C - 1, 5
b7D - 1

File No. 179-262-10(5)

Date Received 11/25/74

From 

(SPECIAL AGENT)

To Be Returned ☐ Yes

☒ No

Receipt Given ☐ Yes

☒ No

Description:

Telephone log dated
11/25/74

Telephone Log
11/25/74

b6 - 1, 5
b7C - 1, 5
b7D - 1

Sgt. FBI, Boston, Ma. 11/25/74

179-262-1A(5)

FBI(20-cv-3269)-3472

b6 - 1, 5
b7C - 1, 5
b7D - 1

File No. 179 - 262 - 1A(6)

Date Received 11/22/74

From 

(SPECIAL AGENT)

To Be Returned ☐ Yes Receipt Given ☐ Yes

☒ No

☒ No

Description:

Consent to record and
monitor telephone
conversations dated
11/22/74

November 22, 1974

I [redacted] hereby authorize
Special Agents of the Federal Bureau of
Investigation, on this date, to record and
monitor my telephone conversations.

b6 - 1, 5
b7C - 1, 5
b7D - 1

Witnesses

[redacted] SA, FBI, Boston, Ma. 11/22/74
SA, FBI, Boston, Mass. 11/22/74.

179-262-1A(6)

File No. 179 - 265 - 1A(7)

Date Received 12/9/74

From _____

(NAME OF CONTRIBUTOR)
Q. A. R. -

(ADDRESS OF CONTRIBUTOR)

(CITY AND STATE)

(NAME OF SPECIAL AGENT)

To Be Returned ☐ Yes

☒ No

Receipt Given

☐ Yes

☒ No

Description:

Surveillance Log
Pershing County, Nevada
12/9/74

Surveillance Log


Penn, Va

179 - 262

12/9/74

Time	Activity
6:03 pm	Parked in vic of Beachmont Women Club
	front of BP Gas Station
	" " Beachmont Women Club
	" " " " "
	" " " " "
	parked in BP Gas Station


8:40 pm



15:

10:25

Door locked



SA, FBI, Port for, Va 12/1/74

179-262-1A(1)

b6 - 6
b7C - 6

b6 - 6
b7C - 6

b6 - 1
b7C - 1

File No. 179-262-1A(8)

Date Received 12/10/77

From _____

(NAME OF CONTRIBUTOR)
Agent
(ADDRESS OF CONTRIBUTOR)

b6 - 1
b7C - 1

To Be Returned ☐ Yes ☒ No Receipt Given ☐ Yes ☒ No

Description :

Telephone Log dtd 12/10/77

Telephone Log

179-262

12/10/74

b6 - 1, 5

b7C - 1, 5

b7D - 1

Sq, FBI, Bofm, Mr. 12/10/74

179-262-1A(8)

File No. 179-262-1A(9)Date Received 12/10/74From 

b6 - 1, 5

b7C - 1, 5

b7D - 1

(NAME OF SPECIAL AGENT)

To Be Returned

☐

Yes

☒

No

Receipt Given

☐

Yes

☒

No

Description:

Consent to monitor and
record telephone conversations
dated 12/10/74

b6 - 1, 5
b7C - 1, 5
b7D - 1

12/10/74

I, [redacted] hereby authorize
Special Agents of the Federal Bureau of
Investigation to monitor and record my
telephone conversations on this date.

[redacted]
[redacted] by, FBI, Boston, Mass. 12/10/74

179-262-1A(9)

File No. 179-262-1A(10)

Date Received 12/16/74

From _____

(NAME OF CONTRIBUTOR)

Agent

(ADDRESS OF CONTRIBUTOR)

b6 - 1

b7C - 1

To ☒ returned ☐ Yes

☒ No

Receipt Given ☐ Yes

☒ No


Description:

Telephone log dated
12/16/74

179-262

Telephone Log

12/16/74



b6 - 1, 5


b7C - 1, 5

b7D - 1

Time

Tel No.

Person Contacted



SA, FBI, Boston, Mass. 12/16/74

179-262-1A(10)

b6 - 1, 5
b7C - 1, 5
b7D - 1

File No.

179-262-1A(11)

Date Received

12/16/74

From

To

☐

Yes

☒

No

Receipt Given

☐

Yes

☒

No

Description:

Consent to monitor
and record telephone
conversations dated
12/16/74 for 30 day
period.

December 16, 1974
[redacted] hereby authorize
Special Agents of the Federal Bureau of
Investigation to monitor and record my
telephone conversations for a 30 day period
from this date forward.
[redacted]

[redacted] Special Agent, FBI, Boston, Ma. 12/16/74

179-262-1A(11)

File No. 179-262-1A(12)

Date Received 12/19/74

From _____

(NAME OF CONTRIBUTOR)

Agent

(ADDRESS OF CONTRIBUTOR)

b6 - 1

b7C - 1

To be Returned ☐ Yes Receipt Given ☐ Yes

☒ No

☒ No


Description:

1 color photo

b6 - 2

b7C - 2

1 Bow photo




b6 - 2

b7C - 2

119-762-18(12)

FBI(20-cv-3269)-3487



b6 - 2
b7C - 2

179-067-1A(112)

FBI(20-cv-3269)-3489

~~8-11-2020~~ (22)

File No. 179-262-H/13

Date Received 12/31/74

From _____

(NAME OF CONTRIBUTOR)
Agent
(ADDRESS OF CONTRIBUTOR)

b6 - 1
b7C - 1

To Be Returned ☐ Yes ☒ No
Receipt Given ☐ Yes ☒ No

Description:


Telephone log for
[redacted]

b6 - 5
b7C - 5
b7D - 1


dated 12/31/74

Telephone Log
12/31/74

179-262



b6 - 1, 2, 5
b7C - 1, 2, 5
b7D - 1

<u>Time</u>	<u>Type</u>	<u>Telephone No.</u>	<u>Person Contacted</u>
			



SQ, FBI, Boston, Ma. 12/31/74

179-262-1A(13)

File No. 179-262-1A(14)Date Received 12/30/74

From _____

(NAME OF CONTRIBUTOR)

Agent
(ADDRESS OF CONTRIBUTOR)

b6 - 1

b7C - 1

T. _____ ☐ Yes ☒ No Receipt Given ☐ Yes ☒ No

Description:

Telephone Log fordated12/30/74

b6 - 5

b7C - 5

b7D - 1

Telephone Log

12/25 - 29/74



179-262

b6 - 1, 2, 5


b7C - 1, 2, 5

b7D - 1

<u>Time</u>	<u>Type</u>	<u>Telephone No.</u>	<u>Person Contacted</u>
-------------	-------------	----------------------	-------------------------



12/30/74



Det. FBI, Boston, Ma. 12/30/74

179-262-1A(14)

File No. 179-262-1A(15)

Date Received 12/28/74

From _____

(NAME OF CONTRIBUTOR)

Agent

(ADDRESS OF CONTRIBUTOR)

b6 - 1

b7C - 1

To Be Returned

☐

Yes

☒

No

Receipt Given

☐

Yes

☒

No

Description :

Telephone log for

b6 - 5


b7C - 5

dated 12/28/74

Telephone Log


179-262

12/27 - 28/74



b6 - 2, 5
b7C - 2, 5
b7D - 1

Time Type Telephone No. Person Contacted



12/28/74



SA FBI Boston Ma. 12/28/74

179-262-1A(15)

File No. 179-262-1A(16)

Date Received 1/2/75

From _____

(NAME OF CONTRIBUTOR)

Agent

(ADDRESS OF CONTRIBUTOR)

(CITY AND STATE)

[Redacted Box]

b6 - 1

b7C - 1

To Be Returned ☐ Yes ☐ Receipt Given

☒ No

☐ Yes

☒ No

Description:

Telephone Log dated

12/31/74 - 1/2/75

[Redacted Box]

1/1

1/2

b6 - 2

b7C - 2

Telephone log

179-262

12/31/74 - 1/2/75



b6 - 1, 2, 5

b7C - 1, 2, 5

b7D - 1

Time	Type	Telephone Number	Person Contacted
------	------	------------------	------------------



, SA, FBI, Boston, Ma. 1/2/75

179-262-1A(16)

File No. 179 - 262 - 1A(17)

Date Received 1/6/75

From 

To Be Returned ☐ Yes ☒ No Receipt Given ☐ Yes ☒ No


Description :

Telephone Log

b6 - 1, 2, 5
b7C - 1, 2, 5
b7D - 1



1/2 - 6/75



Telephone Log
179-262

[Redacted]

1/2 - 6/75

b6 - 1, 2, 5
b7C - 1, 2, 5
b7D - 1

Time	Type Call	Telephone No.	and/or Person Contacted
------	-----------	---------------	-------------------------

[Redacted]			
------------	--	--	--

[Redacted]

Re. FBI, Boston, Mass. 1/6/75

179-262-1A(17)

File No. 179 - 262 - 1A(18)Date Received 1/13/75From 

b6 - 1, 5

b7C - 1, 5

b7D - 1

To Be Returned ☐ Yes

No

☒

No

Receipt Given ☐ Yes

No

☒

No

Description:

Telephone log dated

1/6 - 13/74

b6 - 2, 5

b7C - 2, 5

b7D - 1

Telephone Log
174-2620

1/6 - 1/75

[Redacted]

b6 - 1, 2, 5
b7C - 1, 2, 5
b7D - 1

Time	From	To	Re: Cont.
11:26am	[Redacted]		
11:30am			
7:00 pm			
7:02 pm			
8:10 pm			

[Redacted]

SA, FBI, Boston, MA 1/12/75

174-262-1A(18)

FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION
FOI/PA
DELETED PAGE INFORMATION SHEET
Civil Action# 20-cv-3269

Total Deleted Page(s) = 31

Page 13 ~ b6 -- 2; b7C -- 2;
Page 16 ~ b6 -- 2; b7C -- 2;
Page 17 ~ b6 -- 2; b7C -- 2;
Page 18 ~ b6 -- 2; b7C -- 2;
Page 19 ~ b6 -- 2; b7C -- 2;
Page 21 ~ b6 -- 2; b7C -- 2;
Page 22 ~ b6 -- 2; b7C -- 2;
Page 23 ~ b6 -- 2; b7C -- 2;
Page 25 ~ b6 -- 2; b7C -- 2;
Page 26 ~ b6 -- 2; b7C -- 2;
Page 27 ~ b6 -- 2; b7C -- 2;
Page 29 ~ b6 -- 2, 6; b7C -- 2, 6;
Page 30 ~ b6 -- 2, 6; b7C -- 2, 6;
Page 31 ~ b6 -- 2, 6; b7C -- 2, 6;
Page 32 ~ b6 -- 2, 6; b7C -- 2, 6;
Page 33 ~ b6 -- 2, 6; b7C -- 2, 6;
Page 34 ~ b6 -- 2, 6; b7C -- 2, 6;
Page 35 ~ b6 -- 2, 6; b7C -- 2, 6;
Page 36 ~ b6 -- 2, 6; b7C -- 2, 6;
Page 37 ~ b6 -- 2, 6; b7C -- 2, 6;
Page 38 ~ b6 -- 2, 6; b7C -- 2, 6;
Page 39 ~ b6 -- 2, 6; b7C -- 2, 6;
Page 40 ~ b6 -- 2, 6; b7C -- 2, 6;
Page 41 ~ b6 -- 2, 6; b7C -- 2, 6;
Page 42 ~ b6 -- 2, 6; b7C -- 2, 6;
Page 43 ~ b6 -- 2, 6; b7C -- 2, 6;
Page 44 ~ b6 -- 2, 6; b7C -- 2, 6;
Page 45 ~ b6 -- 2, 6; b7C -- 2, 6;
Page 46 ~ b6 -- 2, 6; b7C -- 2, 6;
Page 47 ~ b6 -- 2, 6; b7C -- 2, 6;
Page 48 ~ b6 -- 2, 6; b7C -- 2, 6;

XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX
X Deleted Page(s) X
X No Duplication Fee X
X For this Page X
XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX

(Title)

(File No.)

179-262-1A

Date Filed	Disposition
1/15/75 (19) TELF PUBLIC LOG 1/13-	
1/21/75 (20) CURRENT TO MONITOR & RECORD TELEPHONE CONVERSATION OF [REDACTED] FOR 30 days DATED 1/15/75	
1/24/75 (21) TELEPHONE LOG 1/21/75 1/22	
" (22) " " 1/19/75 1/20	
1/31/75 (23) 1 PHOTO OF [REDACTED]	
2/25/75 (24) telephone Co. Log 7/14/74 - 1/14/75	b6 - 2, 5, 6 b7C - 2, 5, 6 b7D - 1
2/25/75 (25) Tel. Toll records [REDACTED]	
2/25/75 (26) Tel. Toll Records [REDACTED]	
2/25/75 (27) Airline tickets for [REDACTED] incl. [REDACTED]	

179-262-1A

IFC

File No.

179-262-1A(19)

Date Received

From

(NAME OF SPECIAL AGENT)

To Be Returned

☐

Yes

☒

No

Receipt Given

☐

Yes

☒

No

Description:

Telephone log

11/13-14/75

b6 - 1, 5

b7C - 1, 5

b7D - 1

Telephone Log

179-262

1/13 - 1/15/75

[Redacted]

b6 - 1, 2, 5

b7C - 1, 2, 5

b7D - 1

Time	Date	Type	Tel. Number	Person Contacted
------	------	------	-------------	------------------

--	--	--	--	--

[Redacted]

SA FBI Boston - Ma. 1/14/75

179-262-1A(19)

File No.

179-262-1A(20)

Date Received

11/15/75

From

To Be Returned

☐

Yes

☒

No

Receipt Given

☐

Yes

☒

No

b6 - 1, 5

b7C - 1, 5

b7D - 1

Description:

Consent to monitor and
record telephone conversations

of for

30 days dated 11/15/75

b6 - 5
b7C - 5
b7D - 1

1/15/75

I, [redacted], hereby authorize
Special Agents of the Federal Bureau of Investigation
to monitor and record my telephone conversations
for the next 30 days [redacted]

[redacted] At FBI, Boston, Ma. 1/15/75

b6 - 1
b7C - 1

179-262-1A(20)

File No.

179-262-1A(21)

Date Received

11/22/75

From

(NAME OF SPECIAL AGENT)

To Be Returned

☐

Yes

Receipt Given

☐

Yes

☒

No

Description:

b6 - 1, 2, 515

b7C - 1, 2, 5

b7D - 1

Telephone Log 11/21/75

Telephone Log
179-262

1/21- 1/25



b6 - 1, 2, 5
b7C - 1, 2, 5
b7D - 1

Time	Date	Type	Telephone Number	Person Contacted
				
		Ag. FBI, Boston, Mass.		

179-262-1A(21)

File No. 179-262-1A(22)Date Received 1/20/75From To Be Returned ☐ Yes☒ No


Receipt Given

☐ Yes☒ No

Description:

b6 - 1, 2, 5
b7C - 1, 2, 5
b7D - 1

Telephone Log 1/19/75



Telephone Log

179-262

1/19-20/75

b6 - 1, 2, 5

b7C - 1, 2, 5

b7D - 1

<u>Time</u>	<u>Date</u>	<u>Type</u>	<u>Tel Number</u>	<u>Person Contacted</u>
-------------	-------------	-------------	-------------------	-------------------------

[Redacted]

Ag, FBI, Boston, Ma.

179-262-1A(22)

File No. 179-262-1A(23)
Date Received 1/10/75
From FBI Ident Div.
(NAME OF CONTRIBUTOR)

(ADDRESS OF CONTRIBUTOR)
Wash. D.C.
(CITY AND STATE)

(NAME OF SPECIAL AGENT)

To Be Returned ☐ Yes ☒ No Receipt Given ☐ Yes ☒ No

Description:

1 photo b6 - 2
b7C - 2

179-262-1A(23)

FBI(20-cv-3269)-3513

File No.

17a-262-1a(24)

Date Received

2/18/75

From

New England Tel Co.

(NAME OF CONTRIBUTOR)

(ADDRESS OF CONTRIBUTOR)

Boston

Ma.

(CITY AND STATE)

(NAME OF SPECIAL AGENT)

To Be Returned

☐

Yes

Receipt Given

☐

Yes

☒

No

b6 - 1, 2

b7C - 1, 2

☒

No

Description:

TELEPHONE TOLL RECORDS!

File No.

179-262-1A(25)

Date Received

2/18/75

From

New England Tel Co.

(NAME OF CONTRIBUTOR)

(ADDRESS OF CONTRIBUTOR)

Boston, Ma.

(DATE)

(AGENT)

To Be Returned

☐

Yes

☒

No

Receipt Given

☐

Yes

☒

No

Description:

b6 - 1, 2

b7C - 1, 2

Tel. Toll records:

File No. 170-202-1A(26)
Date Received 2/18/75
From New England Tel Co.
(NAME OF CONTRIBUTOR)

(ADDRESS OF CONTRIBUTOR)
Boston, Ma.
(STATE)

(NAME OF SPECIAL AGENT)
To Be Returned ☐ Yes ☒ No
Receipt Given ☐ Yes ☒ No

Description:

Tel Toll Records:

b6 - 2
b7C - 2

File No. 179-262-1A(27)Date Received 2/19/75From Adm Eastern Airlines

(NAME OF CONTRIBUTOR)

Wogan Airport

(ADDRESS OF CONTRIBUTOR)

2. Boston, Ma

(NAME OF SPECIAL AGENT)

To Be Returned ☐ Yes Receipt Given ☐ Yes☒ No ☒ No

Description:

b6 - 1, 2, 6

b7C - 1, 2, 6

Airline ticket for

BS 179-262-1a (27)